IN THE MATTER OF

REZA FEIZ, M.D.

MD23753

* BEFORE THE BOARD OF

* PHYSICIAN QUALITY

* ASSURANCE

* CASE #84-347

ORDER TERMINATING PROBATION AND REINSTATING LICENSE

BACKGROUND

By Order (attached hereto) dated June 18, 1985 (the "1985 Order"), the Board of Physician Quality Assurance (the "Board"), successor agency to the Commission on Medical Discipline, found Reza Feiz, M.D. (the "Respondent") guilty of committing a prohibitive act as set forth in Health Occupations Article, Annotated Code of Maryland ("HO") §-14-504. Specifically, the Board found that Respondent pled guilty or nolo contendere with respect to a crime involving moral turpitude whether or not any appeal or other proceeding is pending to have the conviction or plea set aside, (recodified as §14-504(b)(1) of the Health Occupations Article). The Board suspended the medical license of Respondent, immediately staying the suspension, conditioned upon the Respondent complying with conditions of probation (the "Conditions of Probation"). The Order further provided that after three (3) years from the date of the Order, if the Respondent demonstrated to the Board's satisfaction that he had complied with the terms and conditions of his probation,

the Board would entertain a petition for termination of Respondent's probationary status and reinstatement of his medical license. By letter dated June 29, 1988, Respondent petitioned for reinstatement of his license to practice medicine in Maryland. At its meeting on December 14, 1988, the Board reviewed Respondent's petition for reinstatement. Based upon its review of the petition, the Board determined that Respondent had fulfilled the Conditions of Probation contained in the 1985 Order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based on the information known and available to it, the Board finds that:

- Respondent has not engaged in activities of the type that led to his criminal conviction;
 - 2. Respondent has obeyed all laws;
- 3. Respondent arranged for the results of record checks performed as a condition of his Court ordered probation to be forwarded to the Board;
- 4. Respondent continued with his treating psychotherapist until the Board was notified that such treatment was no longer recommended;
- 5. Respondent arranged for the forwarding of a psychiatric report to the Board every six (6) months for the duration of his probation;

- 6. Respondent practiced only under supervision or under an alternative arrangement approved by the Board;
- 7. Respondent did not undertake to treat on a regular basis children or adolescents without applying to the Board for approval; and
- 8. Respondent arranged for his supervisor to forward a report of his performance every six (6)months for the duration of his probation.

CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

The Board concludes, as a matter of law, that Respondent has satisfactorily complied with all conditions as set forth in the Order of June 18, 1985.

ORDER

Upon the foregoing Findings of Fact and Conclusions of Law, it is this $\underline{14th}$ day of $\underline{\text{December 1988}}$ by a unanimous vote of a quorum of the Board

ORDERED that effective as of the date of this
Order, the Conditions of Probation imposed upon Respondent's
practice of medicine by the Board's 1985 Order are hereby
TERMINATED and of no further force and effect; and be it
further

ORDERED that Respondent's license to practice medicine in the State of Maryland be REINSTATED without any condition or restriction whatsoever; AND IT IS FURTHER

ORDERED that this is a Final Order and as such is considered a public document pursuant to the Maryland Public Information Article, State Government Article, Annotated Code of Maryland, \$\$10-611 et seq., specifically \$\$10-617(h)(2)(vi).

Israel H. Weiner, M.D.

Chairman, Board of Physician
Quality Assurance

IN THE MATTER OF

BEFORE THE COMMISSION

REZA FEIZ, M.D.

- ON MEDICAL DISCIPLINE
- * OF MARYLAND

FINDINGS OF FACT CONCLUSIONS OF LAW AND ORDER

Based upon information coming to its attention, the Commission on Medical Discipline of Maryland (the "Commission") conducted an investigation and subsequently determined to charge Reza Feiz, M.D. ("Respondent") with violation of the Maryland Medical Practice Act, Health-Occupations Article, Maryland Code Annotated, \$14-504(6) as follows:

Is convicted of or pleads guilty or nolo contendere with respect to a crime involving moral turpitude . . .

Respondent was notified of the charges by letter dated April 22, 1985. A hearing was scheduled for June 18, 1985. On May 21, 1985, a prehearing conference was held. Attending were Respondent, Marna McLendon, counsel for Respondent, Judith K. Sykes, Assistant Attorney General and Administrative Prosecutor; and Karl F. Mech, M.D., Executive Secretary, member and designee of the Commission. As a result of discussions and negotiations at the pre-hearing conference, Respondent agreed to enter into the following Consent Order.

FINDINGS OF FACT

Based upon the information in its files, and otherwise made known to it, the Commission finds:

- 1. That Respondent, Reza Feiz, M.D., is a physician licensed and practicing in Maryland currently and at all times material to the allegations relating to the above matter.
- 2. That on July 18, 1984, Respondent pled guilty by way of an Alford Plea, in the District Court of Maryland for the District of Howard County to a criminal charge against him of a 4th degree sexual offense which alleged that he engaged in sexual contact with a certain person against her will and without her consent in violation of Article 27, Section 464C of the Maryland Annotated Code.
- 3. The Statement of Facts in support of the Plea indicated that on February 11, 1984 Respondent touched a 15 year old girl in her breast area several times and that he did so against her will and without her consent. Respondent, whose specialty is child and adolescent psychiatry, had been the coordinating psychiatrist for the girl during a nine-month period ending in March, 1983 when she was institutionalized in a private facility, and upon her release had prescribed medications for her on three occasions, the last time being in June, 1983. Subsequently, there were several telephone conversations believed to be of a "friendship" type. During one of those conversations the meeting at which the incident occurred was arranged.

- $\mbox{\bf 4.} \quad \mbox{\bf That said charge related to a crime of moral} \\ \mbox{\bf turpitude.}$
- 5. That on August 15, 1984, Respondent was found guilty by the District Court of Maryland for the District of Howard County. The imposition of sentence was suspended, however, and Respondent was placed on non-active probation under the supervision of the Maryland Division of Parole and Probation for a period of 36 months. The Court, having reviewed both a psychiatric and a psychological report as well as numerous letters praising Dr. Feiz's professional competence, felt that Dr. Feiz did not need supervision nor any special conditions of probation. The only condition imposed was that he obey all laws, and a record check was ordered to be made every 6 months.
- 6. That the incident in question did not involve use of violence or force.
- 7. That Dr. Feiz's supervisor at the time of the incident, who worked with him for seven years, found him to be a competent, energetic and caring psychiatrist committed to high quality patient care. To the knowledge of that supervisor, the incident which forms the basis of the charges in this case was the only occasion on which Dr. Feiz deviated from a high standard of professional ethics and he was known among those with whom he worked as a dedicated physician with high moral codes and personal integrity.
- 8. That Respondent has complied with the terms of his probation.

ting of the second of the control of

- 9. That psychiatric evaluations of Respondent on May
 31, 1984 and on May 13, 1985 and psychological reports dated July
 27 and October 18, 1984 found no evidence of a psychosexual,
 paraphiliac or personality disorder, and concluded that
 repetition of the incident was unlikely, but recommended
 psychotherapy on an ongoing basis to help Respondent deal with
 certain problems he was having.
- 10 That Respondent has followed up on that recommendation and except for a period of time when he was out of the country, has been receiving on-going psychotherapy since May 18, 1984.
- 11. Respondent resigned in April, 1984, from the position he occupied at the time the incident occurred and remained unemployed until April 8, 1985, at which time he assumed the position of staff psychiatrist at Eastern Shore Hospital Center where he is working with adults under supervision.

CONCLUSION OF LAW

Based upon the foregoing Findings of Fact, the Maryland Commission on Medical Discipline, by homeomy vote of those members considering the above case concludes as a matter of law that Respondent violated \$14-504(6) of the Maryland Medical Practice Act, Health-Occupations Article, Maryland Code Annotated and finds Respondent GUILTY of that charge.

report to the content of the property of the same of the same of the same of the content of the same o

ORDER

ORDERED that the license of Respondent Reza Feiz, M.D., an individual licensed to practice medicine on Maryland, is hereby SUSPENDED; and be it further

ORDERED that the suspension of Respondent's license shall be immediately STAYED and Respondent placed on PROBATION subject to the following conditions:

- 1. That Respondent shall not engage in activities of the type that led to his criminal conviction.
 - 2. That Respondent obey all laws.
- 3. That Respondent arrange for the results of the record checks performed as a condition of his Court-ordered probation to be forwarded to the Commission.
- 4. That Respondent continue with his treating psychotherapist until the Commission is notified by the treating psychiatrist that such treatment is no longer recommended and the Commission is in agreement with that recommendation.
- 5. That Respondent shall arrange for the forwarding of a psychiatric report to the Commission every 6 months for the duration of his probation period.

大的,"这是不是在一个,就不是在一种,我们是不够在这个的意思的不够,不会是这种的意思和激发的**是**这些的,这种特殊的是被要的是一种的一种

- 6. That Respondent may practice only under supervision either in his present setting or under an alternative arrangement which has been approved by the Commission.
- 7. That Respondent will not, without Commission approval, undertake to treat on a regular basis, children or adolescents. Respondent may apply to the Commission for such approval at any time during the period of his probation.

 Approval will be contingent on receipt by the Commission of a written communication from Respondent's psychotherapist in support of the application and may be subject to specified conditions.
- 8. That Respondent arrange for his supervisor to forward a report as to his performance every 6 months for the duration of his probation; and be it further

ORDERED, that three years from the date of this Order the Commission will entertain a petition for termination of Respondent's probationary status and for restoration of his license to practice without condition or restriction, upon Respondent's demonstrating to the satisfaction of the Commission that he has complied with the terms and conditions of his probation. If the Commission determines that he has not complied with the terms and conditions of his probation, the Commission may modify one or more of the conditions of his probation; and be it further

12 de seu el el mende de la magage el degla estatividad magazante, que el que especial de la sur el quente de d

ORDERED that if Respondent violates any of the foregoing conditions of probation, the Commission, after notification, a hearing, and determination of violation, may impose any disciplinary sanctions it deems appropriate; and be it further

ORDERED that a copy of this Order shall be filed with the Board of Medical Examiners in accordance with \$14-507 of the Health Occupations Article of the Maryland Annotated Code.

Hilary o

Chairman

ical Discipline Commission_on_Med

of Maryland

CONSENT

By this Consent, I hereby admit the truth of the Findings of Fact and accept and submit to the foregoing Order and its conditions. I have read and acknowledge the validity of the Order as if made after a hearing in which I would have had the right to counsel, to confront witnesses, to give testimony, to call witnesses on my behalf, and to all other substantive and procedural protections provided by law. I also recognize that I am waiving my right to appeal any adverse ruling of the Commission that might have followed any such hearing. By this

and and the second of the second and the second of the

Consent, I understand that I am waiving all such rights. I sign this Consent without reservation and after conferring with counsel and I fully understand its meaning.

Reza) Féiz, M.D.

STATE OF MARYLAND CITY/COUNTY

I HEREBY CERTIFY that on this day of Sung,

1985, before me the subscriber, a Notary Public of the State of

Maryland, personally appeared REZA FEIZ, M.D. and made oath in

due for that the foregoing Consent is his voluntary act and deed.

As witness my hand and Notarial Seal.

Chu I Tancalas

My Commission Expires: 7/1/85

and the region of the contract of the contract