#### COMMONWEALTH OF MASSACHUSETTS

Middlesex, SS. Board of Registration in Medicine

Adjudicatory Case No. 2022-044

In the Matter of

Diana Deister, M.D.

# **CONSENT ORDER**

Pursuant to G.L. c. 30A, § 10, Diana Deister, M.D. (Respondent) and the Board of Registration in Medicine (Board) (hereinafter referred to jointly as the "Parties") agree that the Board may issue this Consent Order to resolve the above-captioned adjudicatory proceeding. The Parties further agree that this Consent Order will have all the force and effect of a Final Decision within the meaning of 801 CMR 1.01(11)(d). The Respondent admits to the findings of fact specified below and agrees that the Board may make the conclusions of law and impose the sanction set forth below in resolution of investigative Docket No. 18-206.

## Findings of Fact

## **Background**

1. The Respondent graduated from the California Institute of Technology ("CalTech") with a Bachelor of Science degree in Chemistry in 1998, and a Master's degree in Biology from the University of Houston in 2003 with a specialization in neuroscience. The Respondent obtained her medical degree from the University of Texas Health Science Center at San Antonio in 2007, and completed her residency in Psychiatry at this institution in 2011. The Respondent subsequently completed a Fellowship in Child and

Adolescent Psychiatry at the Brown University Alpert Medical School affiliated with Rhode Island Hospital in 2013. In 2013, the Respondent accepted a position as an attending Psychiatrist at Boston Children's Hospital. During her treatment of Patient A, Dr. Deister was practicing within the Adolescent Substance Abuse Program ("ASAP").

- The Respondent is Board Certified in both General Psychiatry and Child and Adolescent
  Psychiatry by the American Board of Psychiatry and Neurology, as well as in Addiction
  Medicine by the American Board of Preventative Medicine.
- 3. The Respondent is licensed by the Board of Registration in Medicine to practice within Commonwealth of Massachusetts under certificate number 256262.

### Respondent's Practice

- 4. The Complaint filed by Patient A in April 2018 is the only Board Complaint the Respondent has received in her career, and involves a single patient who she treated as part of the multidisciplinary ASAP at Boston Children's Hospital between 2015 and 2017.
- 5. On December 14, 2019, the Associate Psychiatrist-in-Chief, Vice Chairman of Psychiatry at Boston Children's Hospital, and Professor of Psychiatry at Harvard Medical School, as a colleague of the Respondent authored a letter of support of the Respondent, attesting that he "know(s) Dr. Deister to have superior clinical skills, to be an outstanding teacher, and to consistently display ethical and professional behavior."
- 6. On December 23, 2019, the Chief of the Division of Developmental Medicine at Boston Children's Hospital, authored a letter of support stating that the Respondent is "a dedicated, talented, conscientious clinician who provides excellent care to her patients."

- 7. On December 26, 2019, the Director of the ASAP at Boston Children's Hospital, and Assistant Professor of Pediatrics at the Harvard Medical School, authored a letter of support attesting to the Respondent as a "caring, smart, and hard-working clinician who is beloved by patients and colleagues alike" who is an "experienced and skilled clinician who is thoughtful, meticulous, and particularly known for 'going the extra mile' for her patients."
- 8. Between 2020 and 2022, the Respondent underwent several voluntary follow-up assessments with an independent auditing entity, LifeGuard, recommended by the Board.
  - a. During the first LifeGuard assessment, the Respondent underwent an examination module testing her knowledge of utilizing federal guidelines and best practices related to addiction medicine, including questions on numerous different aspects of addiction medicine and prescriptive practice. The Respondent scored 100% on the testing module (with a threshold of 70% noted to be satisfactory). The January 2021 LifeGuard report raised concerns about the Respondent's decision-making regarding polypharmacy, dosing, and combinations of controlled substances. The report highlighted 12 of 25 randomly reviewed medical records, citing concerns for high-dose prescribing of stimulants, combination controlled substance prescribing, and limited documentation of informed consent and medical decision making. The evaluators also noted the Respondent "demonstrated her ability to identify [numerous hypothetical general] issues which demonstrated variations in compliance with guidelines and regulations/statutes" and noted in the Training portion of the assessment, that the

- Respondent "performed well on the assessment components with no areas found to be deficient."
- b. A subsequent June 2021 report highlighted three of 15 randomly reviewed medical records selected from the Respondent's Prescription Monitoring Program (PMP) reports, citing concerns for high dose prescribing of stimulants, use of benzodiazepines in combination with stimulants, and use of benzodiazepines in a patient with a history of substance use disorder. During this follow-up assessment Report, the reviewer noted that "[the Respondent] is limiting the number of controlled substances dispensed at appointments" and "it was clear [the Respondent] made an effort to address quality-of-care concerns in her documentation. Current charts reflect treatment that is aligned with standard of care." In their final comments, the evaluators noted that "there is no evidence in the chart review that her prescribing practices in these three cases directly contributed to a negative outcome for a patient" and "the ongoing recommendations to not imply need for monitoring by a medical board but are for performance improvement…"
- c. The Respondent underwent a supplemental LifeGuard review, and in February 2022 the report highlighted four of 15 medical records, randomly chosen from a reduced list of the targeted group of complex patients for whom the Respondent was co-prescribing medications, citing concerns for substance abuse or suboxone patients prescribed benzodiazepines in combination with gabapentin or stimulants. The report noted that these patients should have been referred to addiction medicine specialists due to the complexities of the patients' conditions.

Further, the report stated that the Respondents "prescribing practices haven't changed much despite the state medical board monitoring...[and the] changes in total daily doses throughout her participating in LifeGuard are minimal." On March 25, 2022, the Respondent provided a detailed submission to the Board addressing her treatment and reasoning for each of the four patients identified by LifeGuard in their February 2022 report.

- 9. From 2022 onward, the Respondent herself has voluntarily sought out additional coaching, mentorship, and guidance from several more seasoned clinicians in connection with her practice moving forward, including concerning her prescriptive practices. The Respondent continues to attempt to better herself as a medical practitioner, and still undergoes coaching and mentorship sessions of her own accord with a triple board-certified psychiatrist.
- 10. On July 1, 2023, the Respondent fundamentally and materially changed the scope of her practice, as she voluntarily made the decision to leave her position with the ASAP at Boston Children's Hospital.
- 11. The Respondent has opened her own solo psychiatric practice focusing on whole body and mind wellness by emphasizing non-medication approaches to healing. The Respondent no longer treats pain in any capacity and does not prescribe buprenorphine, methadone, or any other opioids. The Respondent also no longer treats opioid use disorder, benzodiazepine use disorder, stimulant use disorder or similar substance use disorders. The Respondent was a relatively junior clinician at the time of her care of Patient A, and has made meaningful and difficult changes to her practice since her experience with Patient A.

12. The Respondent is relocating from Massachusetts to be closer to her remaining family.
Respondent's Care of Patient A
13. On 2015, Patient A, a 6.L.c. 4. § 7(26)(c) G.L.c. 4. § 7(26)(c) female, was admitted to Boston
Children's Hospital for $G.L.\ c.\ 4,\ \S\ 7(26)(c)$ .
14. Thereafter, in 2015, Patient A began treatment with the Boston Children's Hospita
ASAP. The ASAP is a multidisciplinary practice in which internal medicine clinicians,
psychiatrists, social workers, and therapists work collectively in the treatment of highly
complex patients with addiction, who often have concomitant mental health and medical
conditions.
15. At the time of Patient A's referral to the ASAP in 2015, Patient A was a
patient with a prior history $G.L.\ c.\ 4,\ \S\ 7(26)(c)$
. Patient A had a significant history of
G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)
At the time of her presentation to the ASAP, Patient A had existing
prescriptions for $G.L.\ c.\ 4,\ \S\ 7(26)(c)$
16. Between 2015 and 2016, the Respondent managed Patient A's treatment for
G.L. c. 4, $\sqrt[6]{7(26)(c)}$ as part of the ASAP. During this time, Respondent also
prescribed G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c) for Patient A, and after a short trial of G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c), continued

prescribing her $G.L. c. 4$ , § $7(26)(c)$ (including a prescription for $G.L. c. 4$ , § $7(26)(c)$ ) along with
other various medications.
17. In G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c) 2015, the Respondent noted that Patient A's G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)
By G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c), 2015, Patient A ha
G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)
18. In G.L. c. 4. § 7(26)(c) 2015, Patient A was evaluated in an emergency department for complaints
of pain in her $G.L. c. 4$ , § 7(26)(c), and, after undergoing a pain in her $G.L. c. 4$ , § 7(26)(c), and, after undergoing a pain in her $G.L. c. 4$ , § 7(26)(c), and, after undergoing a pain in her $G.L. c. 4$ , § 7(26)(c)
G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c) in the hospital. After Patient A underwent a G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)
evaluation for complaints of pain and to assess the $G.L.\ c.\ 4,\ \constant{0.5mm}{0$
consultation with Patient A's mother, the Respondent prescribed a G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)
pain medication (GL c.4.87/26/16) to Patient A in GL c.4.87/26/16) 2016 to treat her pain until she could
be seen in a pain clinic.
19. On G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(e), 2016, the Respondent changed Patient A's pain medication from
$G.L.\ c.\ 4,\ \S\ 7(26)(c)\ (G.L.\ c.\ 4,\ \S\ 7(26)(c)$
20. In 2016, Respondent successfully discontinued Patient A's medication
prescription and transitioned her to GL.c.4.87(26)(c) to manage her pain, on which she remained
for several months.
21. On CLC-4.8702000, 2016, Patient A reported to the Respondent that she $G.L. c. 4$ , § $7(26)(c)$
G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)

22.	In 2016, Patient A's mother reported to Respondent that Patient A had been
	G.L. c. 4, $\S$ 7(26)(c)
	Patient A underwent evaluations by the G.L. c. 4. § 7(26)(c) department at Boston Children's
	Hospital who recommended $G.L.\ c.\ 4,\ \S\ 7(26)(c)$
23.	On G.L.c. 4. § 7(26)(c) 2016, the Respondent, in consultation with Patient A's mother, noted her
	intention to prescribe a $G.L.\ c.\ 4,\ \S\ 7(26)(c)$ to treat
	Patient A's pain, and recommended $G.L.\ c.\ 4$ , § $7(26)(c)$
	G.L. c. 4, \$7(20)(c) •
24.	Patient A underwent numerous diagnostic tests and visits with specialists to work up her
	severe pain, as well as G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c) complaints, in an effort to
	ascertain the source of the problem.
25.	Between 2016 and 2017, in an effort to treat Patient A's complaints of
	G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c) pain, the Respondent issued refills and increased Patient A's prescription
	of G.L. c. 4. § 7(26)(c) numerous times in an effort to obtain good pain control in view of Patient
	A's quickly increasing tolerance to the medications.
26.	On GL c. 4. § 7/26/6/6/L c. 4. § 7/26/6/6 Hospital with a chief complaint
	of G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)

appointment with the Respondent. The Respondent planned to convert part of her dose to long-acting (extended release) to prevent to prevent price \$1,000 prior to the next dose as was not effective for pain, but short acting does not last long enough, so hopefully this compromise will help."  28. In 2017, the Respondent noted that the 2017, the Respondent was prescribing approximately G.L. c. 4, \$7(26)(c) to Patient A.  29. For months, the Respondent made frequent efforts and had great difficulty in finding pain providers who would agree to accept Patient A as a patient due to the complexity of her G.L. c. 4, \$7(26)(c)  which made management of her care even more challenging.  30. Patient A underwent G.L. c. 4, \$7(26)(c)  G.L. c. 4, \$7(26)(c)  The Respondent still continued treating her pain with medication, and did not discharge Patient A based upon these G.L. c. 4, \$7(26)(c)  The Respondent treatment, on several different occasions Patient A reported to Respondent that she had G.L. c. 4, \$7(26)(c)  On several different occasions, Patient A and her mother reported that Patient A had	27.	On G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c), 2017, Patient A appeared to have an G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c) during an
was not effective for pain, but short acting does not last long enough, so hopefully this compromise will help."  28. In 2017, the Respondent noted that the 2017, the Respondent was prescribing approximately G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c) to Patient A.  29. For months, the Respondent made frequent efforts and had great difficulty in finding pain providers who would agree to accept Patient A as a patient due to the complexity of her G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c) . Patient A also had a G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c) . Which made management of her care even more challenging.  30. Patient A underwent G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c) . The Respondent's notes suggest that Patient A was 2017 and did not discharge Patient A based upon these G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c) . The Respondent treating her pain with 3018 medication, and did not discharge Patient A based upon these G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c) . On several different occasions, Patient A and her mother reported that Patient A had 302. On several different occasions, Patient A and her mother reported that Patient A had 303.		appointment with the Respondent. The Respondent planned to convert part of her dose to
hopefully this compromise will help."  28. In 2017, the Respondent noted that the 2017, the Respondent was prescribing approximately G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c) to Patient A.  29. For months, the Respondent made frequent efforts and had great difficulty in finding pain providers who would agree to accept Patient A as a patient due to the complexity of her G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  which made management of her care even more challenging.  30. Patient A underwent G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  The Respondent still continued treating her pain with medication, and did not discharge Patient A based upon these G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  The Respondent treatment, on several different occasions Patient A reported to Respondent that she had G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  On several different occasions, Patient A and her mother reported that Patient A had a continuent to the complexity of her approximately generally gener		long-acting (extended release) G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c) to prevent prior to the next dose as
28. In 2017, the Respondent noted that the 2017, the Respondent Was prescribing approximately G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c) to Patient A.  29. For months, the Respondent made frequent efforts and had great difficulty in finding pain providers who would agree to accept Patient A as a patient due to the complexity of her G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  which made management of her care even more challenging.  30. Patient A underwent G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  The Respondent still continued treating her pain with 2018 medication, and did not discharge Patient A based upon these G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  The Respondent of the pain with 2018 medication, and did not discharge Patient A based upon these G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  31. During her treatment, on several different occasions Patient A reported to Respondent that she had G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  On several different occasions, Patient A and her mother reported that Patient A had 2018 medication.		was not effective for pain, but short acting does not last long enough, so
was verging on failed/unhelpful. By 2017, the Respondent was prescribing approximately G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c) to Patient A.  29. For months, the Respondent made frequent efforts and had great difficulty in finding pain providers who would agree to accept Patient A as a patient due to the complexity of her G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  which made management of her care even more challenging.  30. Patient A underwent G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  Method and a G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  The Respondent's notes suggest that Patient A was G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  The Respondent still continued treating her pain with medication, and did not discharge Patient A based upon these G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  31. During her treatment, on several different occasions Patient A reported to Respondent that she had G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  On several different occasions, Patient A and her mother reported that Patient A had		hopefully this compromise will help."
approximately <i>G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)</i> to Patient A.  29. For months, the Respondent made frequent efforts and had great difficulty in finding pain providers who would agree to accept Patient A as a patient due to the complexity of her <i>G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)</i> Patient A also had a <i>G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)</i> which made management of her care even more challenging.  30. Patient A underwent <i>G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)</i> The Respondent's notes suggest that Patient A was <i>G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)</i> The Respondent still continued treating her pain with medication, and did not discharge Patient A based upon these <i>G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)</i> 31. During her treatment, on several different occasions Patient A reported to Respondent that she had <i>G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)</i> On several different occasions, Patient A and her mother reported that Patient A had	28.	In 2017, the Respondent noted that the G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c) treatment for Patient A's pain
29. For months, the Respondent made frequent efforts and had great difficulty in finding pain providers who would agree to accept Patient A as a patient due to the complexity of her G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  which made management of her care even more challenging.  30. Patient A underwent G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  The Respondent's notes suggest that Patient A was G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  The Respondent still continued treating her pain with medication, and did not discharge Patient A based upon these G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  31. During her treatment, on several different occasions Patient A reported to Respondent that she had G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  On several different occasions, Patient A and her mother reported that Patient A had G.E. c. 4 and her mother reported that Patient A had G.E. c. 4 and her mother reported that Patient A had G.E. c. 4 and her mother reported that Patient A had G.E. c. 4 and her mother reported that Patient A had G.E. c. 4 and her mother reported that Patient A had G.E. c. 4 and her mother reported that Patient A had G.E. c. 4 and her mother reported that Patient A had G.E. c. 4 and her mother reported that Patient A had G.E. c. 4 and her mother reported that Patient A had G.E. c. 4 and G.		was verging on failed/unhelpful. By 2017, the Respondent was prescribing
providers who would agree to accept Patient A as a patient due to the complexity of her  G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  which made management of her care even more challenging.  30. Patient A underwent G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  The Respondent's notes suggest that Patient A was G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  The Respondent still continued treating her pain with medication, and did not discharge Patient A based upon these G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  31. During her treatment, on several different occasions Patient A reported to Respondent that she had G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  On several different occasions, Patient A and her mother reported that Patient A had		approximately $G.L.\ c.\ 4,\ \S\ 7(26)(c)$ to Patient A.
which made management of her care even more challenging.  30. Patient A underwent G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  The Respondent's notes suggest that Patient A was G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  The Respondent still continued treating her pain with medication, and did not discharge Patient A based upon these G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  31. During her treatment, on several different occasions Patient A reported to Respondent that she had G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  On several different occasions, Patient A and her mother reported that Patient A had	29.	For months, the Respondent made frequent efforts and had great difficulty in finding pain
which made management of her care even more challenging.  30. Patient A underwent <i>G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)</i> . The Respondent's notes suggest that Patient A was <i>G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)</i> . The Respondent still continued treating her pain with medication, and did not discharge Patient A based upon these <i>G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)</i> 31. During her treatment, on several different occasions Patient A reported to Respondent that she had <i>G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)</i> . On several different occasions, Patient A and her mother reported that Patient A had		providers who would agree to accept Patient A as a patient due to the complexity of her
30. Patient A underwent <i>G.L. c. 4</i> , § 7(26)(c)  G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  The Respondent's notes suggest that Patient A was G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  The Respondent still continued treating her pain with medication, and did not discharge Patient A based upon these G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  31. During her treatment, on several different occasions Patient A reported to Respondent that she had G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  On several different occasions, Patient A and her mother reported that Patient A had		$G.L.\ c.\ 4,\ \S\ 7(26)(c)$ . Patient A also had a $^{G.L.\ c.\ 4,\ \S\ 7(26)(c)}$
G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  The Respondent's notes suggest that Patient A was GL. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  The Respondent still continued treating her pain with medication, and did not discharge Patient A based upon these GL. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  31. During her treatment, on several different occasions Patient A reported to Respondent that she had G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  . On several different occasions, Patient A and her mother reported that Patient A had		which made management of her care even more challenging.
The Respondent's notes suggest that Patient A was The Respondent still continued treating her pain with medication, and did not discharge Patient A based upon these G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  31. During her treatment, on several different occasions Patient A reported to Respondent that she had G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  . On several different occasions, Patient A and her mother reported that Patient A had	30.	Patient A underwent $G.L. c. 4$ , § $7(26)(c)$
The Respondent still continued treating her pain with medication, and did not discharge Patient A based upon these G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  31. During her treatment, on several different occasions Patient A reported to Respondent that she had G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)  . On several different occasions, Patient A and her mother reported that Patient A had		G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)
The Respondent still continued treating her pain with medication, and did not discharge Patient A based upon these $G.L. c. 4$ , § 7(26)(c)  31. During her treatment, on several different occasions Patient A reported to Respondent that she had $G.L. c. 4$ , § 7(26)(c)  . On several different occasions, Patient A and her mother reported that Patient A had		. The Respondent's notes suggest that Patient A was G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)
The Respondent still continued treating her pain with medication, and did not discharge Patient A based upon these $G.L. c. 4$ , § 7(26)(c)  31. During her treatment, on several different occasions Patient A reported to Respondent that she had $G.L. c. 4$ , § 7(26)(c)  . On several different occasions, Patient A and her mother reported that Patient A had		
31. During her treatment, on several different occasions Patient A reported to Respondent that she had $G.L.\ c.\ 4,\ \S\ 7(26)(c)$ . On several different occasions, Patient A and her mother reported that Patient A had		The Respondent still continued treating her pain with medication, and did
that she had $G.L.\ c.\ 4$ , § $7(26)(c)$ . On several different occasions, Patient A and her mother reported that Patient A had		not discharge Patient A based upon these G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)
several different occasions, Patient A and her mother reported that Patient A had	31.	During her treatment, on several different occasions Patient A reported to Respondent
		that she had $G.L.\ c.\ 4,\ \S\ 7(26)(c)$ . On
The		several different occasions, Patient A and her mother reported that Patient A had
The		
		The

	Respondent reminded Patient A on numerous occasions about the dangers of
	In 2017, Patient A admitted to attempting to G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)
	The Respondent continued to prescribe G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c) medication for
	Patient A, as well as pain medication to treat Patient A's pain during this time.
32.	Ultimately, the Respondent was able to arrange for Patient A's care and treatment to be
	transferred to a provider who would take over prescribing for her pain with a plan to
	transition her to $G.L. c. 4. § 7(26)(c)$ .
33.	Before this transition was completed, in the of 2017 the Respondent informed
	Patient A and her mother that she would no longer prescribe pain medication to
	Patient A, and recommended that she $G.L.\ c.\ 4$ , § $7(26)(c)$
	. The
	Respondent did not see Patient A for any further treatment thereafter.
34.	The Respondent transferred Patient A's care to her primary care provider in 2017.
	Shortly thereafter, Patient A was subsequently discharged from this practice group on
	$(3.16,4.87)(26)(6)$ , 2017, for $G.L.\ c.\ 4,\ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ \ $
35.	On 2017, at the request of Patient A's mother, the Respondent authored a letter
	in support of Patient A's $G.L.\ c.\ 4$ , § $7(26)(c)$
36.	G.L. c. 4, § 7(26)(c)

# G.L. c. 4, $\S$ 7(26)(c)

37. The Respondent's treatment of Patient A fell below the standard of care by failing to adequately document the basis for prescribing her pain medications to Patient A or the justification for her dosing decisions, failing to effectively treat Patient A's and failing to adequately consider the contraindications to further prescribing pain medication to Patient A, including requests for G.L. c. 4, 7(26)(c), as well as the risks of prolonged G.L. c. 4, 7(26)(c).

#### Conclusions of Law

- A. The Respondent has violated 243 CMR 1.03(5)(a)3 in that she has engaged in conduct which places into question her competence to practice medicine, including practicing medicine with negligence on repeated occasions.
- B. The Respondent has engaged in conduct that undermines the public confidence in the integrity of the medical profession. *See Levy v. Board of Registration in Medicine*, 378 Mass. 519 (1979); *Raymond v. Board of Registration in Medicine*, 387 Mass. 708 (1982).

#### Sanction and Order

The Respondent's license is hereby INDEFINITELY SUSPENDED, immediately stayed upon Respondent's entry into a five-year Probation Agreement that includes a requirement that the Respondent submit to quarterly audits of 20 patient charts for individuals with co-occurring disorders, to be performed by an independent Board-Approved entity, which will assess the adequacy of her documentation, prescribing practices, and will meet with her to discuss the

results. The Respondent will propose an audit entity within ninety (90) days of the Board's joint acceptance of the Consent Order and Probation Agreement.

#### **Execution of this Consent Order**

Complaint Counsel and the Respondent agree that the approval of this Consent Order is left to the discretion of the Board. The signature of Complaint Counsel, the Respondent, and the Respondent's counsel are expressly conditioned on the Board accepting this Consent Order. If the Board rejects this Consent Order in whole or in part, then the entire document shall be null and void; thereafter, neither of the parties nor anyone else may rely on these stipulations in this proceeding.

As to any matter in this Consent Order left to the discretion of the Board, neither the Respondent, nor anyone acting on her behalf, has received any promises or representations regarding the same.

The Respondent waives any right of appeal that she may have resulting from the Board's acceptance of this Consent Order.

The Respondent shall provide a complete copy of this Consent Order and Probation

Agreement with all exhibits and attachments within ten (10) days by certified mail, return receipt requested, or by hand delivery to the following designated entities: any in- or out-of-state hospital, nursing home, clinic, other licensed facility, or municipal, state, or federal facility at which the Respondent practices medicine; any in- or out-of-state health maintenance organization with whom the Respondent has privileges or any other kind of association; any state agency, in- or out-of-state, with which the Respondent has a provider contract; any in- or out-of-state medical employer, whether or not the Respondent practices medicine there; the state licensing boards of all states in which the Respondent has any kind of license to practice

medicine; the Drug Enforcement Administration Boston Diversion Group; and the Massachusetts Department of Public Health Drug Control Program. The Respondent shall also provide this notification to any such designated entities with which the Respondent becomes associated during the pendency of the suspension and the subsequent Probation Agreement. The Respondent is further directed to certify to the Board within ten (10) days that she has complied with this directive.

The Board expressly reserves the authority to independently notify, at any time, any of the entities designated above, or any other affected entity, of any action it has taken.

Diana Deister, M.D.

Licensee

Date

Curtis Diedrich, Esq.

Attorney for the Licensee

Date

Rachel N. Shute, Esq.

Complaint Counsel

Data

So ORDERED by the Board of Registration in Medicine this That day of November

2024.

Booker T. Bush, M.D.

**Board Chair**