BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:)
)
EROL F. GIRAY, M.D.) File No. 08-2002-134350
Physician's and Surgeon's)
Certificate No. G49143	,)
)
Respondent.)
)

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall bec	ome effective at 5:00 p.m. on $_$	September 2,	2005
			,
IT IS SO ORDERED _	August 3, 2005 .		

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Ronald L. Morton, M.D., Chair

Panel A

Division of Medical Quality

1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General	
2	of the State of California ISA R. RODRIGUEZ, State Bar No. 104838	
3	Deputy Attorney General	
	California Department of Justice 2550 Mariposa Mall, Room 5090	
4	Fresno, CA 93721 Telephone: (559) 477-1679	
5	Facsimile: (559) 488-7387	
6	Attorneys for Complainant	
7	BEFORE TI	
8	DIVISION OF MEDICAL BOARD OF	AL QUALITY CALIFORNIA
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONS	SUMER AFFAIRS
	STATE OF CALID	FURNIA
10 11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 08-2002-134350
12	EROL F. GIRAY	OAH No. L-2004-010672
13	1551 Bishop Street, Ste. C-320 San Luis Obispo, CA 93401	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND
14	Physician and Surgeon License No. G49143	DISCIPLINARY ORDER
	Respondent.	
15		
16		
17	In the interest of a prompt and speedy s	settlement of this matter, consistent with the
18	public interest and the responsibility of the Division o	f Medical Quality, Medical Board of
19	California of the Department of Consumer Affairs, the	e parties hereby agree to the following
20	Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order which w	rill be submitted to the Division for
21	approval and adoption as the final disposition of the A	eccusation
22	PARTIES	
23	1. David T. Thornton (Complainar	nt) is the Executive Director of the Medical
24	Board of California. He brought this action solely in h	nis official capacity and is represented in
25	this matter by Bill Lockyer, Attorney General of the S	tate of California, by Isa R. Rodriguez,
26	Deputy Attorney General.	
27	2. Respondent EROL F. GIRAY (Respondent) is represented in this
28	proceeding by attorney Peter R. Osinoff, Esq., whose a	address is Bonne, Bridges, Mueller,

4

1

2

Physician and Surgeon License No. G49143 to EROL F. GIRAY (Respondent). The License was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No.

5

08-2002-134350 and will expire on April 30, 2006, unless renewed.

6

JURISDICTION 1

On or about October 25, 1982, the Medical Board of California issued

Accusation No. 08-2002-134350 was filed before the Division of Medical

7 8

Quality (Division) for the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, and is

9

currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required

10

documents were properly served on Respondent on November 14, 2003. Respondent timely filed

11

his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A copy of Accusation No. 08-2002-134350 is

12

attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

13

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

14

5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 08-2002-134350. Respondent has

15 16

also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated

17

Settlement and Disciplinary Order.

each and every right set forth above.

6.

3.

4.

18

Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by

19

20

counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him;

21 22 the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to

23

reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the

24

California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

25

7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up

26 27

CULPABILITY

28

8. Respondent understands and agrees that the charges and allegations in

Accusation No. 08-2002-134350, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Physician and Surgeon License.

- 9. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of further proceedings, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing, Complainant could set forth a factual basis for the charge of inadequate/inaccurate record keeping in the Accusation, and that Respondent hereby gives up his right to contest this charge.
- 10. Respondent agrees that his Physician and Surgeon License is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Division's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

CIRCUMSTANCES IN MITIGATION

11. Respondent EROL F. GIRAY has never been the subject of any disciplinary action. He is admitting responsibility at an early stage in the proceedings.

RESERVATION

12. The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California, or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

CONTINGENCY

Quality. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Division regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Division considers and acts upon it. If the Division fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Division shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

	14.	The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated
Settlement and	Discip	linary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same
force and effec	t as the	originals.

15. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Division may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician and Surgeon License No. G49143 issued to Respondent EROL F. GIRAY is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for ten (10) years on the following terms and conditions.

ACTUAL SUSPENSION As part of probation, respondent is suspended 1. from the practice of medicine for 30 days broken into two 15-day periods with the first period beginning the sixteenth (16th) day after the effective date of this decision. The second period shall be completed within 6 months after the end of the first period. Respondent shall provide specific dates for the second period of suspension to his probation officer within 30 days following the end of the first period of suspension.

/// ///

24

25

26

27

28

2. MEDICAL RECORD KEEPING COURSE Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall enroll in the PACE Medical Record Keeping Course at respondent's expense. Failure to successfully complete the course during the first 6 months of probation is a violation of probation unless the Division or its designee agrees in writing to a later time for completion.

If the PACE Medical Record Keeping Course is taken prior to the effective date of the Decision, it may in the sole discretion of the Division or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Division

8

10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25

26 27

28

or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

3. ETHICS COURSE Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall enroll in the new course in ethics, at respondent's expense, approved in advance by the Division or its designee. Failure to successfully complete the course during the first year of probation, or such longer time as the Division or its designee may permit, is a violation of probation.

If the ethics course is taken prior to the effective date of the Decision, it may in the sole discretion of the Division or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Division or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective day of the Decision, whichever is later.

4. PROFESSIONAL BOUNDARIES PROGRAM Within 60 calendar days from the effective day of this Decision, respondent shall enroll in a professional boundaries program, at respondent's expense, equivalent to the Professional Boundaries Program, Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program at the University of California, San Diego School of Medicine ("Program"). Respondent, at the Program's discretion, shall undergo and complete the Program's evaluation, and at minimum, a three-day program of interactive education and training in the area of boundaries, which takes into account data obtained from the evaluation and from the Stipulation, Decision, Accusation and any other information that the Program deems relevant. The Program shall provide a written evaluation of the respondent's participation in the Program to the Division or its designee along with any data and results of its assessment.

Failure to complete the entire Program not later than six months after respondent's initial enrollment shall constitute a violation of probation unless the Division or its designee agrees in writing to a later time for completion. Based on respondent's performance in and evaluations from the assessment, education, and training, the Program shall advise the Division or its designee of its recommendation(s) for additional education, training, psychotherapy, and

other measures necessary to reduce risks in the practice of medicine. Respondent shall comply with Program recommendations and shall comply with the one-year post course follow-up.

The Program's determination whether or not respondent successfully completed the Program shall be binding.

If the Boundaries Program is taken prior to the effective date of the Decision, it may in the sole discretion of the Division or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition.

5. MONITORING - PRACTICE/BILLING Within 30 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for prior approval as a practice and billing monitor, the name and qualifications of one or more licensed physicians and surgeons whose licenses are valid and in good standing, and who are preferably American Board of Medical Specialties (ABMS) certified. A monitor shall have no prior or current relationship with respondent that could reasonably be expected to compromise the ability of the monitor to render fair and unbiased reports to the Division, including, but not limited to, any form of bartering, shall be in respondent's field of practice, and must agree to serve as respondent's monitor. Respondent shall pay all monitoring costs.

The Division or its designee shall provide the approved monitor with copies of the Decision and Accusation, and a proposed monitoring plan. Within 15 calendar days of receipt of the Decision, Accusation, and proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a signed statement that the monitor has read the Decision and Accusation, fully understands the role of a monitor, and agrees or disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan. If the monitor disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan with the signed statement.

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and continuing throughout probation, or for such shorter period as the Division or its designee may deem appropriate, respondent's practice and billing shall be monitored by the approved monitor. Respondent shall make all records available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises by the monitor at all times during business hours, and shall retain the records for the

entire term of probation.

The monitor shall submit a quarterly written report to the Division or its designee which includes an evaluation of respondent's performance, indicating whether respondent's practices are within the standards of practice of medicine or billing, or both, and whether respondent is practicing medicine safely, billing appropriately or both.

It shall be the sole responsibility of respondent to ensure that the monitor submits the quarterly written reports to the Division or its designee within 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, respondent shall, within 5 calendar days of such resignation or unavailability, submit to the Division or its designee, for prior approval, the name and qualifications of a replacement monitor who will be assuming that responsibility within 15 calendar days. If respondent fails to obtain approval of a replacement monitor within 60 days of the resignation or unavailability of the monitor, respondent shall be suspended from the practice of medicine until a replacement monitor is approved and prepared to assume immediate monitoring responsibility. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine within 3 calendar days after being so notified by the Division or designee.

In lieu of a monitor, respondent may participate in a professional enhancement program equivalent to the one offered by the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program at the University of California, San Diego School of Medicine, that includes, at minimum, quarterly chart review, semi-annual practice assessment, and semi-annual review of professional growth and education. Respondent shall participate in the professional enhancement program at respondent's expense during the term of probation.

Failure to maintain all records, or to make all appropriate records available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises, or to comply with this condition as outlined above is a violation of probation.

6. NOTIFICATION Prior to engaging in the practice of medicine, the respondent shall provide a true copy of the Decision and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to

respondent, at any other facility where respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Division or its designee within 15 calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

7. <u>RESTITUTION</u> As part of this Stipulated Settlement, and without acknowledging any wrongdoing, respondent agrees to reimburse Michael G. the \$29,000 Michael G. paid to Smith Volvo on a car registered to respondent. Respondent shall provide documentation of the reimbursement to the Board.

Respondent further agrees, without acknowledging any wrongdoing, to contribute \$100,000 of the \$390,000 received from Patient Patricia S. to a worthy charity and to provide documentation of the charitable contribution to the Board. Respondent shall comply with the agreed to restitution and the agreed to charitable contribution on or before the one hundred twentieth (120th) day after the effective date of this decision.

- 8. <u>SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS</u> During probation, respondent is prohibited from supervising physician assistants.
- 9. <u>OBEY ALL LAWS</u> Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California, and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments and other orders.
- 10. QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.
- 11. <u>PROBATION UNIT COMPLIANCE</u> Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation unit. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Division informed of respondent's business and residence addresses. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately

communicated in writing to the Division or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in respondent's place of residence. Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

Respondent shall immediately inform the Division, or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than 30 calendar days.

- 12. <u>INTERVIEW WITH THE DIVISION, OR ITS DESIGNEE</u> Respondent shall be available in person for interviews either at respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with the Division or its designee, upon request at various intervals, and either with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.
- should leave the State of California to reside or to practice, respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding 30 calendar days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in Sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code.

All time spent in an intensive training program outside the State of California which has been approved by the Division or its designee shall be considered as time spent in the practice of medicine within the State. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California will relieve respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; Probation Unit Compliance; and Cost Recovery.

Respondent's license shall be automatically cancelled if respondent's periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California total two years. However, respondent's license shall not be cancelled as long as respondent is residing and practicing medicine in another state of the United States and is on active probation with the medical licensing authority of that state, in which case the two year period shall begin on the date probation is completed or terminated in that state.

14. FAILURE TO PRACTICE MEDICINE - CALIFORNIA RESIDENT

In the event respondent resides in the State of California and for any reason respondent stops practicing medicine in California, respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing within 30 calendar days prior to the dates of non-practice and return to practice. Any period of non-practice within California, as defined in this condition, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term and does not relieve respondent of the responsibility to comply with the terms and conditions of probation. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding 30 calendar days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code.

All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Division or its designee shall be considered time spent in the practice of medicine. For purposes of this condition, non-practice due to a Board-ordered suspension or in compliance with any other condition of probation, shall not be considered a period of non-practice.

Respondent's license shall be automatically cancelled if respondent resides in California and for a total of two years, fails to engage in California in any of the activities described in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052.

- 15. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u> Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., cost recovery, restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- 16. <u>VIOLATION OF PROBATION</u> Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. If respondent violates probation in any respect,

the Division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

17. <u>COST RECOVERY</u> Within 120 calendar days from the effective date of the Decision or other period agreed to by the Division or its designee, respondent shall reimburse the Division the amount of ten thousand (\$10,000) for its investigative and prosecution costs. The filing of bankruptcy or period of non-practice by respondent shall not relieve the respondent of his obligation to reimburse the Division for its costs.

- 18. LICENSE SURRENDER Following the effective date of this Decision, if respondent ceases practicing due to retirement, health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may request the voluntary surrender of respondent's license. The Division reserves the right to evaluate respondent's request and to exercise its discretion whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, respondent shall within 15 calendar days deliver respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Division or its designee and respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation and the surrender of respondent's license shall be deemed disciplinary action. If respondent re-applies for a medical license, the application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.
- 19. PROBATION MONITORING COSTS Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Division, which are currently set at \$3,173, but may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California and delivered to the Division or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar year. Failure to pay costs within 30 calendar days of the due date is a violation of probation.

28 || ///

///

1	<u>ACCEPTANCE</u>
2	I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and
3	have fully discussed it with my attorney, Peter R. Osinoff, Esq I understand the stipulation and
4	the effect it will have on my Physician and Surgeon License. I enter into this Stipulated
. 5	Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be
6	bound by the Decision and Order of the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of
7 8	California. DATED: 5-16-05
9	\bigcirc \checkmark
10	
11	EROL F. GIRAY Respondent
12	DATED: 5/w/os
13	
14	
15	PETER R. OSINOFF, ESQ. Attorney for Respondent
16	
17	<u>ENDORSEMENT</u>
18	The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully
19	submitted for consideration by the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California of
20	the Department of Consumer Affairs.
21	~/ / ·
22	DATED: <u>5/24/05</u> .
23	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California
24	hu. O. O. C.
25 26	ISA R. RODRIGUEZ Deputy Attorney General
27	Attorneys for Complainant

Exhibit A
Accusation No. 08-2002-134350

	·	FILED
1	BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
2	ISA R. RODRIGUEZ, State Bar No. 104838	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA SACRAMENTO November 14, 20 03
3	Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice	BY Uaces is more ANALYST
4	2550 Mariposa Mall, Room 5090 Fresno, CA 93721	
5	Telephone: (559) 444-2417 Facsimile: (559) 488-7387	
6	Attorneys for Complainant	
7		
8	BEFORE T	
9	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA	
10	DEPARTMENT OF CON STATE OF CAL	
11	In the Metter of the Assuration Assinct	Cara Na 09 2002 124250
12	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 08-2002-134350
13	EROL F. GIRAY, M.D. 1551 Bishop Street, Suite C-320 San Luis Obieno, CA 93401	ACCUSATION
14	1	
15	Physician and Surgeon's Certificate No. G-49143	
16	Respondent.	
17		
18	Complainant alleges:	
19	<u>PARTIE</u>	<u>S</u>
20	1. Ron Joseph (Complainant) bri	ngs this Accusation solely in his official
21	capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Bo	oard of California, Department of Consumer
22	Affairs.	
23	2. On or about October 25, 1982	, the Medical Board of California issued
24	Physician and Surgeon's Certificate Number G-4914	3 to Erol F. Giray, M.D. (Respondent). The
25	Physician and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force	and effect at all times relevant to the
26	charges brought herein and will expire on April 30, 2	2004, unless renewed.
27	- ///	
28	<i>III</i>	
I		

3

4

5

6 7

8

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Division of Medical Quality (Division) for the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Division deems proper.
- 5. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Division may request the administrative law judge to direct a licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
- 6. Section 14124.12 of the Welfare and Institutions Code states, in pertinent part:
 - (a) Upon receipt of written notice from the Medical Board of California, the Osteopathic Medical Board of California, or the Board of Dental Examiners of California, that a licensee's license has been placed on probation as a result of a disciplinary action, the department may not reimburse any Medi-Cal claim for the type of surgical service or invasive procedure that gave rise to the probation, including any dental surgery or invasive procedure, that was performed by the licensee on or after the effective date of probation and until the termination of all probationary terms and conditions or until the probationary period has ended, whichever occurs first. This section shall apply except in any case in which the relevant licensing board determines that compelling circumstances warrant the continued reimbursement during the probationary period of any Medi-Cal claim, including any claim for dental services, as so described. In such a case, the department shall continue to reimburse the licensee for all procedures, except for those invasive or surgical procedures for which the licensee was placed on probation.
 - 7. Section 2234 of the Code states:

The Division of Medical Quality shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

1 2	(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act].
3	
	(b) Gross negligence.
4 5	(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the
6	applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
7	(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.
8	
9.	(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the
10 11	diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.
12	(d) Incompetence.
13	(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or
14	duties of a physician and surgeon.
15 16	(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate.
17	8. Section 2266 of the Code states: "The failure of a physician and surgeon to
18	maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients
19	constitutes unprofessional conduct."
20 21	FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Dishonest or Corrupt Act) [Bus. & Prof. Code section 2234 (e)]
22	```
ŀ	(e) 62 mil
23	Code, in that Respondent engaged in dishonest and/or corrupt conduct relative to improper
24	financial dealings with a patient and attempted to defraud state and/or federal tax authorities.
25	The circumstances are as follows:
26	10. In or about March 1997, Respondent undertook treatment and care of
27	patient Michael G. Michael G. sought psychiatric treatment from Respondent following his
28	wife's diagnosis of terminal cancer. Michael G's wife died in May of 1007. Thereofter

Michael G. continued to see Respondent for treatment and/or therapy. Michael G. paid for Respondent's services by check, initially receiving reimbursement from his employer (until his subsequent lay-off). Respondent advised that he did not accept insurance. All Michael G.'s visits as Respondent's patient occurred at Respondent's office at 1551 Bishop Street, San Luis Obispo, CA.

- A. During Michael G.'s visits, Respondent took few notes and informed Michael G. that this was because he did not want there to be "anything to track". Respondent advised that by taking few notes there would be nothing to track if records were subpoenaed. Michael G. subsequently remarried. During therapy, Respondent became aware that Michael G.'s new wife possessed substantial wealth, which, in turn, gave Michael G. access to large sums of money.
- B. After Respondent learned of Michael G.'s finances, Respondent began to urge Michael G. during their therapy sessions to provide Respondent with monetary gifts. In or about 2001, on three or four occasions Respondent suggested that Michael G. should help pay Respondent's mortgage. In or about August 2001, Respondent asked Michael G. for \$10,000 to make mortgage payment(s). Michael G. informed Respondent he was concerned about any illegality and was also torn by his "trust/fear relationship" with Respondent as his therapist. Notwithstanding his concerns, Michael G. obtained a cashier's check for \$10,000 which he presented to Respondent. Respondent was angered when he learned that the check was traceable by the government due to the amount. Respondent then requested, and Michael G. provided, a personal check to Respondent for \$14,000. When Michael G. asked Respondent about the legality of the payment, Respondent stated that it was "all how you look at it".
- C. Thereafter, Respondent asked Michael G. to pay off Respondent's full mortgage. Respondent wrote out in detail on a piece of paper how Michael G. could to this. Respondent's house was appraised at \$565,000. Respondent asked Michael G. to provide him with \$400,000 in order to pay off his mortgage. Respondent asked Michael G. not to inform his (the patient's) wife. Respondent informed Michael G. that he wanted the \$400,000 in the form of a gift or gifts, in order to avoid taxes and to avoid having to pay anything to Respondent's former

wife. Michael G. informed Respondent that \$400,000 was a lot of money. Respondent replied that "That is nothing to you." Respondent informed Michael G. that if he made the \$400,000 gift, Michael and his wife could receive therapy services for life without charge. Michael G. ultimately refused to make the payment and/or "gift". Thereafter, Respondent advised Michael G. that he no longer needed money to pay off his mortgage because he had succeeded in getting another individual to pay off the mortgage.

D. In or about August or September of 2001, Respondent informed Michael G. that he wanted to "take you off the books." Respondent explained that this would allow Michael G. to make tax free gifts to Respondent instead of payment for services, so that Respondent could avoid paying taxes and reduce his income for purposes of computing alimony payments to his former wife. Respondent subsequently advised Michael G. that he would destroy Michael G.'s patient records in January of 2002.

E. In or about September of 2001, Respondent asked Michael G. to purchase a car for Respondent. On or about October 5, 2001, Respondent repeated his request that Michael G. purchase a car for him, stating to Michael G. that he wanted a specific Volvo automobile at Smith Volvo in San Luis Obispo. Thereafter, Michael G. went to Wells Fargo Bank and got a cashier's check in the amount of \$29,000. Michael G. went to Smith Volvo and paid for a car which was registered to Respondent. Respondent paid \$42, 392.65 for the 2001 Volvo car, Vehicle Identification Number YV1SZ58D311033719, including a trade-in of Respondent's Chevrolet Tracker valued at \$13,400. Thereafter, Respondent requested that Michael G. purchase a Gateway computer for him, which Michael G. did. The computer was subsequently returned for repair and Michael G. did not return it to Respondent.

F. In or about December of 2001, Respondent contacted Michael G. and asked him to come to the office without his wife. At the office, Respondent informed Michael G. that he owed a \$40,000 tax bill, and requested funds from Michael G. to pay the bill. Michael G. expressed concerns about the legality of the request and advised that he needed to consult his accountant. The accountant subsequently advised Michael G. that the proposed gift was illegal. Respondent thereafter suggested to Michael G. that he provide a gift to

1	Respondent's parents who, in turn, could gift the funds to respondent in order to avoid illegality
2	with tax authorities. Michael G. again expressed concerns about the legality of the request, and
3	Respondent said again "It is all how you look at it." Michael G. refused to provide the funds.
4	G. On or about December 10, 2001, Michael G. wrote to Respondent,
5	terminating the doctor-patient relationship and expressing concern about the legality of
6	Respondent's financial requests with his patient.
7	SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Dishonest or Corrupt Act) [Bus. & Prof. Code section 2234 (e)]
9	11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234 (e) of the
10	Code, in that Respondent engaged in dishonest and/or corrupt conduct relative to improper
11	financial dealings with a patient and attempted to defraud state and/or federal tax authorities.
12	The circumstances are as follows:
13	12. In or about December of 2001, Respondent solicited and accepted a "gift"
14	from Patricia S., a wealthy, elderly patient, for \$390,000. Respondent used these funds from
15	patient Patricia S. to pay off his personal home mortgage. After receiving the referenced gift from
16	patient Patricia S., Respondent destroyed her patient records on file in his office.
17 18	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE (Gross Negligence) [Bus. & Prof. Code section 2234 (b)]
19	13. Complainant realleges paragraphs 10 and 12, above, and incorporates them
20	by reference herein as if fully set forth at this point.
21	14. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234 (b) of the
22	Code in that Respondent was grossly negligent in failing to avoid an improper dual relationship
23	and/or exploitive relations with patients Michael G. and Patricia S. in violation of the ethical
24	standards applicable to psychiatrists and/or psychotherapists.
25	///
26	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
27	· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
28	

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)
[Bus. & Prof. Code section 2234 (b)]

15. Respondent is subject to discipline under section 2234 (b) of the Code in that he was grossly negligent in failing to avoid an improper dual relationship and/or exploitive relations with a patient. The circumstances are as follows:

16. In or about 1997, Respondent contracted with Tim H., a patient under Respondent's care for depression, to build an addition to Respondent's residence valued at \$72,420, thereby creating an impermissible dual relationship with a patient in therapy. Patient Tim H. could not produce documentation for any payment from Respondent for the work completed. Respondent kept no records of his treatment nor business transactions with patient Tim H.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Repeated Negligent Acts)
[Bus. & Prof. Code section 2234 (c)]

- 17. Complainant realleges paragraphs 10, 12 and 16, above, and incorporates them by reference herein as if fully set forth at this point.
- 18. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234 (c) of the Code in that he engaged in negligent conduct with patients Michael G., Patricia S. and Tim H., cited above, and with patient Diane J., described herein below, thereby constituting repeated negligent acts. The circumstances pertaining to patient Diane J. are as follows:
- 19. In or about the period between January and October 2001, Respondent treated patient Diane J., a female patient. At the time, Ms. J. sought counseling regarding her marriage and her personal relationship with Dr. S., who was also Respondent's patient and the son of patient Patricia S. referenced in paragraph 10, above. Respondent knew that Diane J. was involved in a personal relationship with patient Dr. S. at the time that Respondent accepted Diane J. as a patient and instituted her therapy. Respondent failed to disclose to Diane J. the fact that Dr. S. was also his patient, and counseled Diane J. regarding her relationship with Dr. S. while both were his patients. Respondent thereby created a therapeutic conflict of interest constituting negligent conduct. Respondent kept no records of his treatment of patient Diane J.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Inadequate Record Keeping) [Bus. & Prof. Code section 2266]

- Complainant realleges paragraphs 10, 14 and 17, above, and incorporates 20. them by reference herein as if fully set forth at this point.
- Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2266 of the 21. Code that he failed to keep and maintain adequate and/or accurate patient records for patients Michael G., Patricia S., Tim H. and Diane J.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Division of Medical Quality issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Physician and Surgeon's Certificate Number G-49143, issued to Erol F. Giray, M.D.;
- Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Erol F. Giray, M.D.'s authority to supervise physician's assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code;
- Ordering Erol F. Giray, M.D. to pay the Division of Medical Quality the 3. reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and, if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring;
 - Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

November 14, 2003 DATED:

Executive Director

Medical Board of California

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California

Complainant

26

27

28