# BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

| In the Matter of the Petition to                  | )                         |
|---|---------------------------|
| Revoke Probation Against:                         | ) Case No. D1-2002-132281 |
| MICHAEL RYAN TRINDLE, M.D.                        | )<br>)<br>)               |
| Physician's and Surgeon's<br>Certificate #G-63287 | )<br>)<br>)               |
| Respondent.                                       | )<br>)<br>)               |

#### **DECISION AND ORDER**

The attached Stipulation for Surrender of License is hereby accepted and adopted as the Decision and Order by the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on August 2, 2007.

IT IS SO ORDERED July 26, 2007

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Barbara Yaroslavsky

Chair, Panel B

Division of Medical Quality

| 1<br>2<br>3<br>4<br>5           | EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California JOSE R. GUERRERO Supervising Deputy Attorney General SUSAN K. MEADOWS, State Bar No. 115092 Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (415) 703-5552 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 |   |  |
|---------------------------------|--|---|--|
| 7                               | Attorneys for Complainant  |   |  |
| 8<br>9<br>10                    | BEFORE T<br>DIVISION OF MEDIC<br>MEDICAL BOARD OF<br>DEPARTMENT OF CON<br>STATE OF CAL   | CAL QUALITY<br>F CALIFORNIA<br>SUMER AFFAIRS    |  |
| 11<br>12                        | In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke Probation Against:   | Case No. <b>D1-2002-13281</b>                   |  |
| 13<br>14                        | MICHAEL R. TRINDLE, M.D.<br>1895 Page Street<br>San Francisco, CA 94117  | STIPULATION FOR SURRENDER<br>OF LICENSE         |  |
| 15<br>16                        | Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number G 63287   |   |  |
| 17                              | Respondent.  |   |  |
| 18                              | IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGE  | REED by and between the parties to the          |  |
| 19                              | above-entitled proceedings, the following:   |   |  |
| 20                              | 1. Complainant, David T. Thornton, is the I  | Executive Director of the Medical Board of      |  |
| 21                              | California, Department of Consumer Affairs ("Board   | d") and is represented by Edmund G. Brown       |  |
| 22                              | Jr., Attorney General of the State of California and b   | by Susan K. Meadows, Deputy Attorney            |  |
| 23                              | General.   |   |  |
| <ul><li>24</li><li>25</li></ul> | 2. Michael R. Trindle, M.D. ("respondent")   | is represented by Kenneth L. Freeman,           |  |
| 26                              | Attorney at Law, 711 Van Ness Ave., Suite 440, Sar   | n Francisco, California, 94102. Respondent      |  |
| 27                              | has been advised by his attorney, and is fully aware   | of the effect of this Stipulation for Surrender |  |
| 28                              | ~  |   |  |
|                                 | -  |   |  |

of License ("Stipulation").

- 3. Respondent has received and read the Petition to Revoke Probation (hereinafter "Petition") which is presently on file and pending in Case Number D1-2002-132281 before the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs (hereinafter the "Division"), a copy of which is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.
- 4. Respondent has carefully read, been fully advised by his counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in the Petition and the effects of this Stipulation.
- 5. Respondent is aware of his rights, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations, the right to confront and cross-examine witnesses who would testify against respondent, the right to testify and present evidence on his own behalf, as well as to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents, the right to contest the charges and allegations, and other rights which are accorded respondent pursuant to the California Administrative Procedure Act (Gov. Code, § 11500 et seq.) and other applicable laws, including the right to seek reconsideration, review by the superior court, and appellate review.
- 6. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth in paragraph 5 above.
- 7. Respondent wishes to surrender his California license at this time to avoid the costs of an administrative hearing. Respondent admits to all of the charges and allegations in the Petition, and respondent agrees that cause exists to discipline his California physician's and surgeon's certificate pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 2234.
- 8. The admissions made by respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California, or any other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.
  - 9. In consideration of this Stipulation, the Division hereby agrees to waive the total

remaining balance of cost recovery owed by respondent.

- 10. This Stipulation shall be subject to the approval of the Division. Respondent understands and agrees that the Medical Board's staff and counsel for complainant may communicate directly with the Division regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by respondent or respondent's counsel. By signing this stipulation, respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Division considers and acts upon it. In the event that this stipulation is rejected for any reason by the Board, it will be of no force or effect for either party. The Board will not be disqualified from further action in this matter by virtue of its consideration of this stipulation.
- Upon acceptance of this stipulation by the Board, respondent understands that he will no longer be permitted to practice as a physician and surgeon in California, and also agrees to surrender and cause to be delivered to the Board any license and wallet certificate in his possession before the effective date of the decision.
- 12. Respondent fully understands and agrees that if he ever files an application for relicensure or reinstatement in the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a petition for reinstatement, and respondent must comply with all laws, regulations and procedures for reinstatement of a revoked license in effect at the time the petition is filed.
- 13. Respondent understands that he may not petition for reinstatement as a physician and surgeon for a period of three (3) years from the effective date of his surrender. Information gathered in connection the Petition may be considered by the Division of Medical Quality in determining whether or not to grant the petition for reinstatement. For the purposes of the reinstatement hearing, the allegations contained in the Petition shall be deemed to be admitted by respondent, and respondent waives any and all defenses based on a claim of laches or the statute

7.

26 ||

of limitations. As a condition precedent to any order granting reinstatement of respondent's license to practice medicine, respondent shall pay the Division outstanding probation monitoring costs in the sum of \$6,346.00. 14. The parties agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulation, including facsimile signatures, shall have the same force and effect as the original Stipulation signatures. **ACCEPTANCE** I, Michael R. Trindle, M.D., have carefully read the above stipulation. I enter into it freely and voluntarily and with full knowledge of its force and effect, and with advice of counsel. I do hereby surrender my Physician and Surgeon's Certificate Number G 63287 to the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California, for its formal acceptance. By signing this // // // 23. // 

| 1  | stipulation to surrender my license, I recognize that upon its formal acceptance by the Board, I      |
|----|---|
| 2  | will lose all rights and privileges to practice as a physician and surgeon in the State of California |
| 3  | and I also will cause to be delivered to the Board my license, including wall and wallet              |
| 4  | certificate, in my possession before the effective date of the decision.                              |
| 5  |   |
| 6  | DATED: 6-11-07  |
| 7  | MICHAEL R. TRINDLE, M.D.  |
| 8  | Respondent  |
| 9  | I have fully discussed with respondent the terms and conditions and other matters                     |
| 10 | contained in the above Stipulated Surrender of License and approve its form and content.              |
| 11 |   |
| 12 | DATED: Jane 11, 2007  |
| 13 |   |
| 14 |   |
| 15 | KENNETH L. FREEMAN<br>LAW OFFICES OF KENNETH L. FREEMAN   |
| 16 | Attorney for Respondent   |
| 17 | I CONCUR IN THE STIPULATION.  |
| 18 | TOOKOK IN THE STH OLATION.  |
| 19 | DATED: 6/12/07 EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General  |
| 20 | of the State of California  |
| 21 | Tout Mod My   |
| 22 | SUSAN K. MEADOWS Deputy Attorney General  |
| 23 | Attorneys for Complainant   |
| 24 | Medical Board of California   |
| 25 |   |
| 26 | Exhibit A: Petition to Revoke Probation   |
| 27 |   |

EXHIBIT A

FILED

STATE OF CALIFORNIA

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

ACRAMENTO Y 16 15 20 0 2

Case # D1-2002-132281

PETITION TO REVOKE

**PROBATION** 

| 1 | ·                                      |
|---|--|
|   | EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General  |
|   | of the State of California             |
| 1 | JOSE R. GUERRERO                       |
| I | Supervising Deputy Attorney General    |
| l | SUSAN K. MEADOWS, State Bar No. 115092 |
| I | Deputy Attorney General                |
|   | California Department of Justice       |
| l | 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000    |
| l | San Francisco, CA 94102-7004           |
| ı | Telephone: (415) 703-5552              |
| ı | Facsimile: (415) 703-5480              |

Attorneys for Complainant

BEFORE THE
DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Mott

In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke Probation Against:

13 MICHAEL R. TRINDLE, M.D.

1895 Page Street

San Francisco, CA 94117

Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number G 63287

16

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

12

14

15

Respondent.

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

17

The Petitioner alleges:

ne remioner arreges.

#### <u>PARTIES</u>

- 1. Petitioner, David T. Thornton, is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California (hereinafter the "Board") and brings this Petition to Revoke Probation (hereinafter "petition") solely in his official capacity.
- 2. On or about July 5, 1988, Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 63287 was issued by the Board to Michael R. Trindle, M.D. (hereinafter "respondent"). Said certificate renewed and current with an expiration date of July 31, 2008. Prior disciplinary action has been taken against said certificate as follows. On July 17, 2003, an Accusation was filed against respondent, and on December 19, 2003, an Amended Accusation was filed. On March 29, 2004,

a Decision became effective which read: Revoked, stayed, five years probation with terms and conditions, and six months of actual suspension beginning the sixteenth day after the effective date of this decision. A true and correct copy of the Board's Decision of March 29, 2004 is attached as Exhibit A and made a part hereof.

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 3. This Petition to Revoke Probation are brought before the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs (hereinafter the "Division"), under the authority of the following sections of the California Business and Professions Code (hereinafter "Code") and/or other relevant statutory enactment:
  - A. Section 2227 of the Code provides in part that the Board may revoke, suspend for a period of not to exceed one year, or place on probation, the license of any licensee who has been found guilty under the Medical Practice Act, and may recover the costs of probation monitoring if probation is imposed.
  - B. Section 2234(a) of the Code provides, in part, that the Board shall take disciplinary action against a licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct.
- 4. Section 11055 (a) of the Health and Safety Code provides that the controlled substances listed in this section are included in Schedule II.. Section 11055(a)(6) lists cocaine as a Schedule II substance.
- 5. On or about March 29, 2004, the Board ordered respondent to comply with the following terms and conditions of probation relevant to this instant proceeding:
- a) Respondent shall completely abstain from the use of products or beverages containing alcohol.
- b) Respondent shall abstain completely from the personal use or possession of controlled substances as defined in the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act.
- c) Respondent shall enroll and participate in the Board's Diversion Program until the Diversion Program determines that further treatment and rehabilitation are no longer necessary. Failure to cooperate or comply with the Diversion Program requirement and recommendations, quitting the program without permission, or being expelled for cause is a

from the effective date of the Decision, or by June 29, 2004.

probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

100ng/ml and respondent was tested at 821/ng/ml.

100ng/ml and respondent was tested at 785ng/ml.

2

1

- 4
- 5

probation.

6.

7.

8.

9.

10.

- 6

- 10
- 11
- 12
- 13
- 14
- 15
- 16 17
- 18
- 19
- 21

20

- 22
- 23 24
- 25
- 26 27

28

abstain from the use of products or beverages containing alcohol.

products or beverages containing alcohol. Therefore, cause exists to revoke the probation order

Program and respondent's urine tested positive for Ethyglucurondie (alcohol). The cut off was

d) Respondent shall pay cost recovery in the amount of \$5,000.00 within 90 days

e) Respondent is required to pay probation monitoring costs for each year on

Under the terms and conditions of the Board's order of March 29, 2004,

respondent's failure to comply with any terms or condition of probation is a violation of

the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of

probation. If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Division, after giving respondent

notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order

FIRST CAUSE FOR REVOCATION OF PROBATION

respondent's license was revoked, with the revocation stayed, subject to five years probation

subject to terms and conditions. As part of the Board's probation order, respondent is required to

Program and respondent's urine tested positive for Ethylglucuronide (alcohol). The cut off was

On or about March 29, 2004, a decision was issued by the Board whereby

On or about May 11, 2006, a random urine test was conducted by the Diversion

On or about July 8, 2006, a random urine test was conducted by the Diversion

Respondent is in violation of probation as he has failed to abstain from the use of

that was stayed. If a Petition to Revoke Probation is filed against respondent during probation,

and revoke his license to practice medicine.

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR REVOCATION OF PROBRATION

On or about March 29, 2004, a decision was issued by the Board whereby 11.

respondent's license was revoked, with the revocation stayed, subject to five years probation and subject to terms and conditions. As part of the Board's probation order, respondent was required to abstain from the personal use of controlled substances.

- 12. On or about December 12, 2006, a random urine test was conducted by the Diversion Program and respondent's urine tested positive for cocaine with the result of 368ng/ml and the cut off was 150ng/ml. Respondent denied the use of cocaine and requested a split screen which was sent to a second lab for analysis. The second results also showed a positive test for cocaine measuring at 330ng/ml and the cut off was 150ng/ml.
- 13. Respondent is in violation of probation as he has failed to abstain from the personal use of a controlled substance, cocaine. Therefore, cause exists to revoke his probation order and revoke his license to practice medicine.

#### THIRD CAUSE FOR REVOCATION OF PROBATION

- 14. On or about March 29, 2004, a decision was issued by the Board whereby respondent's license was revoked, with the revocation stayed, subject to 5 years probation and subject to terms and conditions. As part of the Board's probation order, respondent is required to successfully complete the Diversion Program.
- 15. After receipt of the two positive urine tests for alcohol taken on May 11, 2006, and July 8, 2006, the Diversion Program staff notified respondent in a letter dated August 2, 2006, that if respondent had one more positive test result, or any noncompliance issues, it would be grounds for immediate unsuccessful termination from the Diversion Program. On December 12, 2006, a random urine test was conducted by the Diversion Program which showed a positive test result for cocaine. On or about December 28, 2006, respondent was terminated from the Diversion Program for unsuccessful completion of the program. Respondent was notified by letter dated December 29, 2006, of this termination.
- 16. Respondent is in violation of probation as he has failed to successfully complete the Diversion Program as required. Therefore, cause exists to revoke his probation order and revoke his license to practice medicine.

4 5

7

8

6

10

9

#### 11 12

13 14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21. 22

23

24

25 26

27

28

On or about March 29, 2004, a decision was issued by the Board whereby

FOURTH CAUSE FOR REVOCATION OF PROBATION

17. respondent's license was revoked, with the revocation stayed, subject to five years probation and terms and conditions. As part of the Board's probation order, respondent was required to pay cost recovery of \$5,000.00 by June 29, 2004. Due to financial difficulties, respondent was permitted to pay \$500.00 in monthly installments in order to meet the cost recovery requirement. On July 9, 2005, respondent made one payment of \$500.00. No other payments have been made and respondent still owes a balance of \$4,500.00.

18. Respondent is in violation of probation in that he has failed to pay cost recovery as required by the Board's order. Therefore, cause exists to revoke his probation and revoke his license to practice medicine.

#### FIFTH CAUSE FOR REVOCATION OF PROBATION

- 19. On or about March 29, 2004, a decision was issued by the Board whereby respondent's license was revoked, with the revocation stayed, subject to five years probation and terms and conditions. As part of the Board's probation order, respondent was required to pay probation monitoring costs for each year while on probation. Respondent has not made probation monitoring payments for the years 2005 or 2006 in the amount of \$3,173.00 per year for a total of \$6,346.00.
- 20. Respondent is in violation of probation in that he has failed to pay probation monitoring costs for the years of 2005 and 2006 as required by the Board's order. Therefore, cause exists to revoke his probation and revoke his license to practice medicine.

#### **PRAYER**

WHEREFORE, the complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Division issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Physician and Surgeon's Certificate Number 63287 heretofore issued to respondent;
- Revoking, suspending or denying approval of the respondent's authority to 2. supervise physician assistants;

### **EXHIBIT A**

This

### 4

## BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

| In the Matter of the Accusation Against:          | )   |          |                |
|---|-----|----------|----------------|
| MICHAEL R. TRINDLE, M.D.                          | . ) | File No. | 03-2002-132281 |
| Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 63287 | )   | •        |                |
| Respondent  | )   |          |                |

#### **DECISION**

The attached Stipulation and Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on March 29, 2004.

IT IS SO ORDERED February 27, 2004.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

RONALD H. WENDER, M.I

Chair, Panel B

Division of Medical Quality

|    | BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California SUSAN K. MEADOWS, State Bar No. 115092 Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 703-5552 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 |  |  |  |
|----|---|--|--|--|
|    | 6 Attorneys for Complainant   |  |  |  |
|    | 7   |  |  |  |
|    | BEFORE  | DEPORE THE                             |  |  |
| Ş  | medical board o   | F CALIFORNIA                           |  |  |
| 10 | DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS  |  |  |  |
| 11 |   |  |  |  |
| 12 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against:  | Case No. 03 2002 132281                |  |  |
| 13 | MICHAEL RYAN TRINDLE, M.D.<br>611 Laurel Ave.<br>Millbrae, CA 94030   | STIPULATION AND ORDER                  |  |  |
| 14 |   |  |  |  |
| 15 | Physician and Surgeon Certificate<br>No. G 63287  |  |  |  |
| 16 | Respondent.   |  |  |  |
| 17 |   |  |  |  |
| 18 | IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AN  | D AGREED by and between the parties to |  |  |
| 19 | the above-entitled proceedings, that the following ma   | atters are true:                       |  |  |
| 20 | 1. Complainant, Ron Joseph, is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of   |  |  |  |
| 21 | California, Department of Consumer Affairs ("Board") and is represented by Bill Lockyer,  |  |  |  |
| 22 | Attorney General of the State of California and by Susan K. Meadows, Deputy Allomey General   |  |  |  |
| 23 | 2. Michael Ryan Trindle, M.D. ("respondent") is represented by Kenneth L.   |  |  |  |
| 24 | Freeman, Attorney at Law, 711 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 240, San Francisco, California, 94102.   |  |  |  |
| 25 | Respondent has been advised by his attorney, and is fully aware of the effect of this stipulation   |  |  |  |
| 26 | which respondent and his counsel have carefully read and fully understand.  |  |  |  |
| 27 | 3. Respondent has received and read the Amended Accusation (hereinafter   |  |  |  |
| 28 | "Accusation") which is presently on file and pending i  |  |  |  |

б

*5* 

. 

 Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs (hereinafter the "Division"), a copy of which is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

- 4. Respondent has carefully read, been fully advised by his counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in the Accusation and the effects of this Stipulation for Settlement.
- 5. Respondent is aware of his rights, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations, the right to confront and cross-examine witnesses who would testify against respondent, the right to testify and present evidence on his own behalf, as well as to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents, the right to contest the charges and allegations, and other rights which are accorded respondent pursuant to the California Administrative Procedure Act (Gov. Code, § 11500 etseq.) and other applicable laws, including the right to seek reconsideration, review by the superior court, and appellate review.
- 6. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth in paragraph 5 above.
- 7. For purposes of the settlement of the action pending against respondent in this matter, and to avoid a costly administrative hearing, respondent admits that the charges and allegations contained in the Accusation, if proven at trial, would constitute a basis for imposing discipline upon his physician's and surgeon's certificate under Business and Professions Code section 2234. Respondent hereby gives up his right to contest those charges and agrees to be bound by the Division's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.
- 8. The admissions made by respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California, or any other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.
- 9. This stipulation shall be subject to the approval of the Division Respondent understands and agrees that the Medical Board's staff and counsel for complainant may

communicate directly with the Division regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by respondent. If the Division fails to adopt this Stipulation for Settlement as its Order, the stipulation, except for this paragraph, shall be of no force or effect and shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties and the division shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this settlement.

- 10. The parties agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulation for Settlement, including facsimile signatures, shall have the same force and effect as originals.
- 11. This stipulation and order and the discipline imposed by the Board shall encompass any conviction that respondent might suffer as a result of the arrest and criminal charges referred to in the Third Cause For Discipline in the Amended Accusation. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Division shall, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

#### DISCIPLINARY ORDER

Based upon these recitals, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED that the Division will issue and enter the following Order and Decision:

Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 63287 issued to respondent Michael Ryan Trindle, M.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and respondent is placed on probation for five (5) years upon the following terms and conditions.

12. <u>ACTUAL SUSPENSION</u>: As part of probation, respondent is suspended from the practice of medicine for a period of six months beginning the 16<sup>th</sup> day after the effective date of this decision.

#### 13. CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE-TOTAL RESTRICTION

Respondent shall not order, prescribe, dispense, administer, or possess any controlled substances as defined in the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act.

Respondent shall not issue an oral or written recommendation or approval to a patient or a patient's primary caregiver for the possession or cultivation of marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 11362.5. If

respondent forms the medical opinion, after a good faith prior examination, that apatient's medical condition may benefit from the use of marijuana, respondent shall so inform the patient and shall refer the patient to another physician who, following a good faith examination, may independently issue a medically appropriate recommendation or approval for the possession or cultivation of marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 11362.5. In addition, respondent shall inform the patient or the patient's primary caregiver that respondent is prohibited from issuing a recommendation or approval for the possession or cultivation of marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient and that the patient or the patient's primary caregiver may not rely on respondent's statements to legally possess or cultivate marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient. Respondent shall fully document in the patient's chart that the patient or the patient's primary caregiverwas so informed. Nothing in this condition prohibits respondent from providing the patient or the patient's primary caregiver information about the possible medical benefits resulting from the use of marijuana.

#### 14. CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES - SURRENDER OF DEA PERMIT

Respondent is prohibited from practicing medicine until respondent provides documentary proof to the Division or its designee that respondent's DEA permit has been surrendered to the Drug Enforcement Administration for cancellation, together with any state triplicate forms and all controlled substances order forms. Thereafter, respondentshall not reapply for a new DEA permit without the prior written consent of the Division or its designee.

#### 15. CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES - ABSTAIN FROM USE

Respondent shall abstain completely from the personal use or possession of controlled substances as defined in the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act, dangerous drugs as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4022, and any drugs requiring a prescription. This prohibition does not apply to medications lawfully prescribed to respondent by another practitioner for a bona fide illness or condition.

Within 15 calendar days of receiving any lawful prescription medications, respondent shall notify the Division or its designee of the: issuing practitioner's name, address, and telephone number; medication name and strength; and issuing pharmacy name, address, and telephone number.

#### 16. <u>ALCOHOL - ABSTAIN FROM USE</u>

Respondent shall abstain completely from the use of products or beverages containing alcohol.

#### 17. BIOLOGICAL FLUID TESTING

Respondent shall immediately submit to biological fluid testing, at respondent's expense, upon the request of the Division or its designee. A certified copy of any laboratory test results may be received in evidence in any proceedings between the Board and the respondent. Failure to submit to, or failure to complete the required biological fluid testing, is a violation of probation.

#### 18. <u>DIVERSION PROGRAM</u>

Within 30 calendar days from the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall enroll and participate in the Board's Diversion Program until the Diversion Program determines that further treatment and rehabilitation are no longer necessary. Upon enroll ment, respondent shall execute a release authorizing the Diversion Program to notify the Division of the following: 1) respondent requires further treatment and rehabilitation; 2) respondent no longer requires treatment and rehabilitation; and 3) respondent may resume the practice of medicine. Respondent shall execute a release authorizing the Diversion Program to provide confirmation to the Division whenever the Diversion Program has determined that respondent shall cease the practice of medicine.

Within 5 calendar days after being notified by the Diversion Program of a determination that further treatment and rehabilitation are necessary, respondent shall notify the Division in writing. The Division shall retain continuing jurisdiction over respondent's license and the period of probation shall be extended until the Diversion Program determines that further treatment and rehabilitation are no longer necessary. Within 24 hours after being notified by the Diversion Program of a determination that respondent shall cease the practice of medicine, respondent shall notify the Division and respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine until notified in writing by the Division or its designee of the Diversion Program's determination that respondent may resume the practice of medicine. Failure to cooperate or comply with the Diversion Program requirements and recommendations, quitting the program without permission, or being expelled for cause is a violation of probation.

#### STANDARD CONDITIONS

#### 19. NOTIFICATION

Prior to engaging in the practice of medicine the respondent shall provide a true copy of the Decision(s) and Accusation(s) to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to respondent, at any other facility where respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Division or its designee within 15 calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

#### 20. SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS

During probation, respondent is prohibited from supervising physician assistants.

#### 21. OBEY ALL LAWS

Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.

#### 22. QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

#### 23. PROBATION UNIT COMPLIANCE

Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation unit. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Division informed of respondent's business and residence addresses. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Division or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

1 2

16...

\_\_

: 

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in respondent's place of residence. Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

Respondent shall immediately inform the Division or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) calendar days.

#### 24. INTERVIEW WITH THE DIVISION OR IT'S DESIGNEE-

Respondent shall be available in person for interviews either at respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with the Division or its designee upon equest at various intervals and either with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.

#### 25. RESIDING OR PRACTICING OUT-OF-STATE

In the event respondent should leave the State of California to resid or to practice respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing 30 calendar days pior to the dates of departure and return. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceedingthirty calendar days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code.

All time spent in an intensive training program outside the State of California which has been approved by the Division or its designee shall be considered as time spent in the practice of medicine within the State. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California will relieve respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; Probation Unit Compliance; and Cost Recovery.

Respondent's license shall be automatically cancelled if respondent's periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California totals two years. However, respondent's license shall not be cancelled as long as respondent is residing and practicing

medicine in another state of the United States and is on active probation with themedical licensing authority of that state, in which case the two year period shall begin on the date probation is completed or terminated in that state.

#### 26. FAILURE TO PRACTICE MEDICINE - CALIFORNIARESIDENT

In the event respondent resides in the State of California and for any reason respondent stops practicing medicine in California, respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing within 30 calendar days prior to the dates of non-practice and return to practice. Any period of non-practice within California, as defined in this condition, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term and does not relieve respondent of the responsibility to comply with the terms and conditions of probation. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding thirty calendar days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code.

All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Division or its designee shall be considered time spent in the practice of medicine. For purposes of this condition, non-practice due to a Board-ordered suspension or in compliance with any other condition of probation, shall not be considered a period of non-practice.

Respondent's license shall be automatically cancelled if respondentesides in California and for a total of two years, fails to engage in California in any of theactivities described in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052.

#### 27. COMPLETION OF PROBATION

Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., cost recovery, restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation.

Upon completion successful of probation, respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.

#### 28. <u>VIOLATION OF PROBATION</u>

Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is aviolation of probation. If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an InterimSuspension

5

.14

*5* 

Order is filed against respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

#### 29. COST RECOVERY

Within 90 calendar days from the effective date of the Decision or other period agreed to by the Division or its designee, respondent shall reimburse the Division the amount of FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$5,000.00) for its investigative and prosecution costs. The filing of bankruptcy or period of non-practice by respondent shall not relieve the respondent his/her obligation to reimburse the Division for its costs.

#### 30. LICENSE SURRENDER

Following the effective date of this Decision, if respondent ceases practicing due to retirement, health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may request the voluntary surrender of respondent's license. The Division reserves the right to evaluate respondent's request and to exercise its discretion whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, respondent shall within 15 calendar days deliver respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Division or its designee and respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation and the surrender of respondent's license shall be deemed disciplinary action. If respondent re-applies for a medical license, the application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.

#### 31. PROBATION MONITORING COSTS

Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Division, which may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California and delivered to the Division or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar year. Failure to pay costs within 30 calendar days of the due date is a violation of probation.

| ,  | ACCEPTANCE   |
|----|--|
| 2  | I, Michael Ryan Trindle, M.D., have carefully read the above stipulation. I enter into               |
| 3  | it freely, voluntarily, with the advice of counsel, and with full knowledge of its force and effect. |
| 4  | I agree to be bound by the Order and Decision of the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board      |
| 5  | of California. I agree that a facsimile copy of my signature to this stipulation and order           |
| 6  | shall be binding/on me as if it were the original.   |
| 7. | DATED: 1/12/04   |
| 8  | Michael Aly  |
| 9  | Michael Ryan Trindle, M.D.  Respondent   |
|    | Respondent   |
|    | I concur in the stipulation and agree that a facsimile copy of my signature to this                  |
|    |  |

stipulation and order shall be binding as if it were the original.

Kenneth L. Freeman Attorney for Respondent

I concur in the stipulation.

DATED:

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California

SUSAN K. MEADOWS Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant

> Exhibit A: Amended Accusation

EXHIBIT A

BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General FILED of the State of California STATE OF CALIFORNIA SUSAN K. MEADOWS, State Bar No. 115092 MEDICAL BOARD OF CAUFORNIA Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, California 94102 Telephone: (415) 703-5552 5 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 6 Attorneys for Complainant 8 BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA 9 DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 10 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 11 Case No. 03 2002 132281 In the Matter of the Accusation Against: 12 MICHAEL RYAN TRINDLE, M.D. AMENDED .13 611 Laurel Ave. ACCUSATION Millbrae, CA 94030 14 Physician and Surgeon Certificate 15 No. G 63287 16 Respondent, 17 The Complainant alleges: 18 19 **PARTIES** 20 1. Complainant, Ronald Joseph, is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California ("Medical Board" or "Board") and brings this accusation solely in his official capacity. 21 2. At all times material to this action, respondent, Michael Ryan Trindle, M.D. 22 23 ("respondent") has held physician and surgeon certificate No. G 63287, which was issued to him by the Board on or about July 5, 1988. Unless renewed, the certificate will expiré on July 31, 24 25 2004. Said certificate has not been subject to previous disciplinary action by the Board. . 26 JURISDICTION 27 3. This accusation is brought before the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical

Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs ("Division"), under the authority of the

violation of any federal statute. . . or any of the statues or regulations of this state regulating

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

2

5

8 9

10 11

12

13

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23 24

25 26

27

28

- 10. Welfare and Institutions Code section 14124.12 provides, in part, that a physician whose license has been placed on probation by the Medical Board shall not be reimbursed by Medi-Cal for "the type of surgical service or invasive procedure that gave rise to the probation."
- 11. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct any licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act, to pay the Board a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
- 12. Section 2227 (also part of Article 12) provides that if probation is imposed, the respondent may be ordered to pay the cost of probation monitoring.
- 13. This case was initiated upon receipt by the Board on March 21, 2002 of a Health Facility Reporting Form ("805") from The Permanente Medical Group, Inc., (hereinafter "TPMG") indicating that a summary suspension on the employment of respondent had been imposed, effective March 6, 2002, due to concerns about inconsistencies discovered in a routine. audit of controlled substances and his unusual behavior. On March 18, 2002, while on suspension, Dr. Trindle was granted a leave of absence to attend a 30-day inpatient treatment program. No patient harm was reported. On June 3, 2002, the Board received a subsequent 805 report from TPMG indicating that respondent resigned from staff effective August 2, 2002.

#### **DRUGS**

- 14. Sufenta is a schedule II narcotic controlled substance as defined in Health & Safety Code Section 11055 (c)(25) and a dangerous drug. It is an opioid analgesic used as a primary analgesic agent, approximately 5-7 times as potent as Fentanyl.
- 15. Fentanyl is a schedule II narcotic controlled substance & dangerousdrug. It is used primarily for pain control, and has a high potential for abuse leading to physical and psychological dependence.
- 16. Propofol (diprivan 1% injectable emulsion) is for intravenous administration. It is a dangerous drug and an intravenous sedative-hypnotic agent used in the induction and maintenance of anesthesia or sedation.

 FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation of Drug Statutes and Unprofessional Conduct - Sections 2238 and 2234)

- 17. Respondent has been in the Diversion program since 1998 when heself-referred. The instant investigation commenced when the Board received information from TPMG through the 805 process that respondent, an anesthesiologist, had been removed from duly and placed on administrative leave due to concerns about inconsistencies revealed in a routinepharmacy audit of controlled substances and suspicious behavior. Respondent voluntarily submitted to a urine test at the request of TPMG on March 5, 2002 and that urine test came back positive for Fentanyl. Respondent was in the Board's Diversion Program during the time that he tested positive for Fentanyl.
- 18. On or about June 26, 2002, respondent was interviewed by the Medical Board investigator in San Jose, California. Respondent was very cooperative and toldthe investigator the following:
  - a) He suffers from chronic depression which became progressively worse during the 2001 holiday season;
  - b) On Christmas day (December 25) of 2001 he was on call, alone in the hospital, and in emotional pain. He relapsed that day. His relapse lasted approximately seventy days (until approximately March 5, 2002) and he admitted that his drug of choice during that time period was Sufenta. Respondent further admitted that he used Fentanyl and Propofol. Respondent admitted that he diverted the Suferita, Fentanyl, and Propofol from the hospital by over stating wastage of Sufenta, Fentanyl, and Propofol. His diversion of these drugs were discovered by TPMG during a routine pharmacy audit that is performed for each anesthesia provider at the hospital.
  - c) Respondent could not recall specifics but stated that he was certain that he worked at the hospital while under the influence of controlled substances.
  - d) Respondent advised the investigator that after his termination from TPMG he entered a treatment program. At the interview, on June 26, 2002, respondent signed a Statement of Understanding to enter into the Medical Board's Diversion Program.

19. Based on the allegations in paragraphs and subparagraphs 17 and 18 above, inclusive, respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2238 and 2334 of the Code in that respondent violated, separately or jointly, the following state statutes governing dangerous drugs and controlled substances: (1) Section 4060 of the Business of Professions Code in that respondent possessed Sufenta, Fentanyl, and Propofol without having a valid prescription for such possession, and (2) Section 11173 of the Health and Safety Code in that respondent obtained Sufenta and Fentanyl, controlled substances, by fraud, deceit and misrepresentation, or subterfuge. Therefore, cause for discipline exists pursuant to section 2234 and section 2238 of the Code.

#### SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation of Section 2239 - Self-Administration of Controlled Substances)

- 20. The allegations of paragraphs 17 and 18, inclusive, are incorporated herein as if fully set forth.
- 21. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under section 2239(a) in that respondent admitted that he had a substance abuse problem and that he relapsed while in the Board's Diversion Program. Respondent admitted that he diverted Sufenta, Fentanyl, and Proposol from the hospital for self-use and that he had gone to work at the hospital on occasion while under the influence of these controlled substances. Respondent's behavior as described above was injurious to himself and potentially injurious to the patients at the hospital that he was treating while under the influence of a controlled substance. Therefore, cause for discipline exists.

#### THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Section 2239 - Self Administration of Alcohol in Such a Manner as to be Dangerous or Injurious to Himself or the Public)

- 22. The facts and allegations of the First and Second Causes of Discipline are incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth.
- 23. After the initial Accusation was filed on July 17, 2003, respondent, while driving under the influence of alcohol hit another vehicle causing injury to the other driver and then he

fled the scene. The police chased him and he was stopped at the Bay Bridge. His blood alcohol level was .19. He was arrested and charged with driving under the influence and causing injury, fleeing the scene of an accident, and reckless driving while evading a police officer. The criminal charges are presently pending in San Mateo Superior Court.

- 24. On December 5, 2003, respondent was terminated from the Diversion Program for unsuccessful completion.
- 25. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under section 2239(a) in that respondent, while under the influence of alcohol, hit another car and caused injury to the driver of that vehicle. He then fled the scene and endangered the lives of the public and police personnel by engaging the police in a car chase. Respondent's behavior as described above was dangerous and injurious to the public. Therefore, cause for discipline exists.

#### FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Section 822 - Mental or Physical Impairment)

- 26. On or about November 15, 2002, respondent signed a voluntarily agreement to undergo a psychiatric examination under sections 820, 821, and 823 of the Code. The psychiatric examination was conducted on or about February 3, 2003 and on April 4, 2003. A psychological assessment was conducted by a psychologist on or about March 18, 2003.
- 27. On or about May 14, 2003 the Board received the psychological assessment report and or about May 9, 2003 the Board received the psychiatric report regarding respondent's ability to practice medicine.
- 28. Based upon a review of records provided and the mental and psychological examination of respondent, the psychiatric evaluator concluded the following:

Dr. Trindle is motivated to avoid further substance abuse. However, Dr. Trindle continues to be at high risk for drug abuse and depression. Therefore, the following steps should be implemented:

- (a) Dr. Trindle must remain in the Board's Diversion Program;
- (b) Dr. Trindle must attend twelve-step programs;
- (c) Dr. Trindle must undergo periodic random urine testing; and,

| . 1 | (d) Dr. Trindle must continue in psychopharma cological treatment and                               |
|-----|---|
| 2   | psychotherapy. Dr. Trindle should undergo psychotherapy more than once a week and should            |
| 3   | undergo psychodynamic therapy at least twice per week for a period of time.                         |
| 4   | 29. Based upon the above recommendations of the psychiatric evaluator, respondent                   |
| 5   | must have restrictions placed on his medical license in order to practice safely and prevent future |
| 6   | relapses. Therefore, cause exists for discipline pursuant to section 822 of the Code.               |
| 7   | PRAYER  |
| 8   | WHEREFORE, complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters alleged above,                |
| 9   | and that following the hearing, the division issue a decision:                                      |
| 10  | 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number                              |
| 11  | G 63287 issued to respondent Michael R. Trindle, M.D.;  |
| 12  | 2. Ordering respondent to pay the division the actual and reasonable costs of the                   |
| 13  | investigation and enforcement of this case and, if placed on probation, the cost of probation       |
| 14  | monitoring;   |
| 15  | 3. Prohibiting respondent from continuing to be or becoming the supervisor of a                     |
| 16  | physician assistant;  |
| 17  | 4. Taking such other and further action as the division deems necessary and proper.                 |
| 18  | DATED: December 19, 2003  |
| 19  | $\bigcap$ $\bigwedge$   |
| 20  | RONALD JOSEPH, Executive Director   |
| 21  | Medical Board of California  Department of Consumer Affairs   |
| 22  | State of California   |
| 23  | Complainant   |
| 24  |   |
| 25  | Trindle.Amended.Acc   |
| 26  |   |