1	ROB BONTA		
2	Attorney General of California GREG W. CHAMBERS Supervising Deputy Attorney General HARRIET NEWMAN Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 189784 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 San Francisco, CA 94102-7004 Telephone: (628) 230-7205 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480		
3			
4			
5			
6			
7	Attorneys for Complainant	X.	
8	DEEOD	r Tur	
9	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11			
12	In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation	Case No. 800-2023-102990	
13	Against:	OAH No. 2025010594	
ļ	DEVANAND SADANAND MANOLI M.D. 675 18th STREET	FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION	
14	SAN FRANCISCO, CA 94143-3134		
15 16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 105573		
17	Respondent.		
18			
19	<u>PARTIES</u>		
20	1. Reji Varghese (Complainant) brings this First Amended Accusation solely in his		
21	official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of		
22	Consumer Affairs (Board).		
23	2. On or about September 17, 2008, the Medical Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's		
24	Certificate Number A 105573 to Devanand Sadanand Manoli, M.D. (Respondent). The		
25	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the		
26	charges brought herein and will expire on March 31, 2026, unless renewed.		
27	//		
28	//		
	1		

JURISDICTION

- 3. This First Amended Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 2227 of the Code states, in pertinent part:
- (a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:
 - (1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.
 - (2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the board.
 - (3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the board.
 - (4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses approved by the board.
 - (5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of probation, as the board or an administrative law judge may deem proper.
 - 5. Section 2234 of the Code states:

The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to the following;

- (a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.
- (b) Gross negligence
- (c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and

distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.

6. Section 2236 of the Code states:

(a) The conviction of any offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon constitutes unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this chapter. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence only of the fact that the conviction occurred.

"…"

(d) A plea or verdict of guilty or a conviction after a plea of nolo contendere is deemed to be a conviction within the meaning of this section and Section 2236.1. The record of conviction shall be conclusive evidence of the fact that the conviction occurred.

7. Section 2239 of the Code states:

(a) The use or prescribing for or administering to himself or herself, of any controlled substance; or the use of any of the dangerous drugs specified in Section 4022, or of alcoholic beverages, to the extent, or in such a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to the licensee, or to any other person or to the public, or to the extent that such use impairs the ability of the licensee to practice medicine safely or more than one misdemeanor or any felony involving the use, consumption, or self-administration of any of the substances referred to in this section, or any combination thereof, constitutes unprofessional conduct. The record of the conviction is conclusive evidence of such unprofessional conduct.

8. California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1360, states:

For the purposes of denial, suspension or revocation of a license, certificate or permit pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the code, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a person holding a license, certificate or permit under the Medical Practice Act if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a person holding a license, certificate or permit to perform the functions authorized by the license, certificate or permit in a manner consistent with the public health, safety or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to the

following: Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of the Medical Practice Act.

COST RECOVERY

9. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in pertinent part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct a licensee found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act to pay a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case, with failure of the licensee to comply subjecting the license to not being renewed or reinstated. If a case settles, recovery of investigation and enforcement costs may be included in a stipulated settlement.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Conviction of an Offense Substantially Related to the Qualifications, Functions or Duties of a Physician and Surgeon)

- 10. Respondent Devanand Sadanand Manoli, M.D. is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2236, of the Code, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1360, in that Respondent was convicted of an offense substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a physician and surgeon. The circumstances are as follows:
- 11. On or about October 16, 2022, at approximately 7:35 p.m., a California Highway Patrol (CHP) Officer¹ responded to a report of a traffic crash on Highway 101 in Sonoma County. The officer noticed the car, later determined to belong to Respondent, to have moderate driver side damage, consistent with damage sustained from sideswiping a concrete wall. The officer approached Respondent and immediately noticed a strong odor of an alcoholic beverage coming from Respondent.
- 12. Respondent told the officer that, as he was driving home to San Francisco, a vehicle suddenly "cut him off" as the vehicle was changing lanes. Respondent said he swerved to the left into the concrete wall of the center median. The officer asked Respondent if he consumed any

¹ Identity of officer is withheld at this time for privacy concerns. The name(s) will be provided to Respondent upon written request for discovery.

alcohol and he responded he had not. While Respondent performed a series of field sobriety tests (FST), the officer noticed Respondent to have an unsteady gait and noticed Respondent stumbling while walking in a straight line. Respondent was unable to perform a series of FSTs and the officer determined Respondent had been driving under the influence of alcohol in violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(a) and placed him under arrest. Respondent submitted to two chemical breath tests, resulting in .19% and .18% blood alcohol concentrations.

- 13. On or about October 28, 2022, the Sonoma County District Attorney's Office filed a criminal complaint against Respondent in the matter of *The People of the State of California vs. Devanand Sadanand Manoli*, Sonoma County Superior Court Case No. 23CR01921. Respondent was charged with two misdemeanor counts: violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(a), driving a vehicle while under the influence of alcohol; and violation of Vehicle Code section 23152(b), driving a vehicle while having a blood alcohol level of .08% or higher. Additionally, Respondent was charged with an enhanced penalty pursuant to Vehicle Code section 23578, excessive blood alcohol concentration.
- 14. On or about January 8, 2024, Respondent was convicted upon a plea of "no contest," to one misdemeanor count of violating Vehicle Code section 23152(b), driving a vehicle while having .08 percent or more of alcohol in his blood. Respondent was sentenced to three (3) years' probation, ordered to enroll in and complete a three (3) month first offender DUI program, install an interlock system on his car, and pay fines and fees.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Dangerous Use of Alcohol)

15. Respondent further subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 105573 to disciplinary action under section 2239 of the Code, in that Respondent used alcoholic beverages to the extent, or in such a manner, as to be dangerous or injurious to himself, another person, or the public, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 11 and 12, above, hereby incorporated by reference and re-alleged as if fully set forth herein.

//

///

///

///

///

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Unprofessional Conduct: Gross Negligence)

- 16. Respondent further subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 105573 to disciplinary action under Section 2234 (b) of the Code, when Respondent committed gross negligence with regard to the care and treatment of Patient 1.² The circumstances are as follows.
- 17. Between on or about October 16, 2016, and on or about September 16, 2021, Respondent, a psychiatrist, participated in the care and treatment of Patient 1, an adolescent at the commencement of treatment. This treatment included the prescribing of lithium. During this time period, Respondent failed to order kidney function tests and failed to monitor Patient 1's lithium levels despite monthly medical visits with Patient 1. By November 19, 2020, Patient 1 was diagnosed with lithium toxicity and Patient 1's kidney functions continued to be problematic until at least September 16, 2021.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number A 105573, issued to Respondent Devanand Sadanand Manoli, M.D.;
- 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Respondent Devanand Sadanand Manoli, M.D.'s authority to supervise physician assistants and advanced practice nurses;
- 3. Ordering Respondent Devanand Sadanand Manoli, M.D., to pay the Board the costs of the investigation and enforcement of this case, and if placed on probation, the costs of probation monitoring; and

² To protect the patient's privacy, they are referenced by number. Patient 1's identity will be provided to Respondent in discovery.

- 11	
1	
2	
3	
4	
5	
6	
7	
8	
9,	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14	
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
19202122	
22 .	
23	
24	
25 26	
26	

28

4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: MAR 0 6 2025

REJI VARGHESE
Executive Director
Medical Board of California
Department of Consumer Affairs
State of California
Complainant