1 2	MATTHEW RODRIQUEZ Acting Attorney General of California JUDITH T. ALVARADO Supervising Deputy Attorney General LATRICE R. HEMPHILL Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 285973		
3			
4			
5	California Department of Justice 300 South Spring Street, Suite 1702		
6	Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6198		
7	Facsimile: (916) 731-2117 Attorneys for Complainant		
8			
9	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA		
10	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11		T.	
12	In the Matter of the Petition to Revoke	Case No. 800-2021-074623	
13	Probation Against:	,	
14	DAVID E. SOSIN, M.D. 13362 Newport Avenue, Suite A	PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION	
15	Tustin, CA 92780		
16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 13099,		
17		,	
18	Respondent.		
19			
20	Complainant alleges:	PTEC	
21	PAR		
22	`	s this Petition to Revoke Probation solely in his	
23	official capacity as the Executive Director of the	vicultal Board of Camornia, Department of	
24	Consumer Affairs (Board).	cal Board of California issued Physician's and	
25	2. On or about April 19, 1967, the Medi Surgeon's Certificate Number G 13099 to DAVII		
26		•	
27	herein and will expire on October 31, 2021, unles	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in effect at all times relevant to the charges brought	
28	nerem and win expire on october 51, 2021, times	1	

- 3. In a prior disciplinary action entitled "In the Matter of the Accusation Against David E. Sosin, M.D.," Case No. 800-2013-000597, the Medical Board of California issued a Decision, effective December 2, 2016 ("2016 Decision"), in which Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was revoked. However, the revocation was stayed and Respondent was placed on probation for a period of five (5) years with certain terms and conditions. A copy of that Decision is attached as Exhibit A and is incorporated herein by reference.
- 4. In another disciplinary action titled "In the Matter of Accusation Against David E. Sosin, M.D.," Case No. 800-2015-016817, the Medical Board of California issued a Decision, effective March 20, 2020 ("2020 Decision"), in which Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was revoked. However, the revocation was stayed and Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was placed on probation for a period of three (3) years with certain terms and conditions, including all of the terms and conditions of the 2016 Decision. A copy of that Decision is attached as Exhibit B and is incorporated herein by reference.

JURISDICTION

- 5. This Petition to Revoke Probation is brought before the Medical Board of California (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - 6. Section 2227 of the Code states:
 - (a) A licensee whose matter has been heard by an administrative law judge of the Medical Quality Hearing Panel as designated in Section 11371 of the Government Code, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty, or who has entered into a stipulation for disciplinary action with the board, may, in accordance with the provisions of this chapter:
 - (1) Have his or her license revoked upon order of the board.
 - (2) Have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the board.
 - (3) Be placed on probation and be required to pay the costs of probation monitoring upon order of the board.
 - (4) Be publicly reprimanded by the board. The public reprimand may include a requirement that the licensee complete relevant educational courses approved by the board.
 - (5) Have any other action taken in relation to discipline as part of an order of

with a "Fail, Category 4." As such, Respondent did not successfully complete the clinical

competence assessment program, thereby violating his probation.

27

.25

SECOND CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Violation of Probation)

9. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 17 of the 2016 Decision states:

Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

- 10. Respondent's probation is subject to revocation because he failed to comply with Probation Condition 17, of the 2016 Decision, referenced above. The facts and circumstances regarding this violation are as follows:
 - A. Paragraphs 7 through 8, inclusive, above, are incorporated herein by reference.

DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

- 11. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant alleges that on or about December 15, 2020, the Medical Board of California issued a Cease Practice Order in Case Nos. 800-2013-000597 and 800-2015-016817, for violation of Probation Condition 21. Respondent was ordered to immediately cease the practice of medicine.
- 12. To further determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant refers to the details of the prior disciplinary action, as more particularly alleged in paragraph 3, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and alleged as if fully set forth herein. The disciplinary action was taken due to the following sustained allegations: gross negligence, repeated negligent acts, inadequate records, incompetence, prescribing without exam/indication, excessive prescribing, general unprofessional conduct, and aiding and abetting the unlicensed practice of medicine. That Decision is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.
- 13. To further determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant alleges that on or about June 14, 1999, in a prior disciplinary action, before the Board, titled "In the Matter of the Accusation Against David E. Sosin, M.D.," Case No. 04-1996-

66892, Respondent's license was revoked, with the revocation stayed, and Respondent was placed on probation for three (3) years, subject to terms and conditions. This action was taken due to the following sustained allegations: excessive prescribing, repeated negligent acts, gross negligence, and prescribing dangerous drugs without a good faith prior examination and medical indication therefor. That Decision is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth herein.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

- 1. Revoking the probation that was granted by the Medical Board of California in Case No. 800-2015-016817 and imposing the disciplinary order that was stayed, thereby revoking Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 13099 issued to David E. Sosin, M.D.;
- 2. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 13099, issued to David E. Sosin, M.D.;
- 3. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of David E. Sosin, M.D.'s authority to supervise physicians assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code, and advanced practice nurses;
- 4. Ordering David E. Sosin, M.D., if placed on probation, to pay the Medical Board of California the costs of probation monitoring; and
 - 5. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

DATED: MAR 23 2021

WILLIAM PRASIFKA

Executive Director

Medical Board of California

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California
Complainant

LA2021600369 64073085.docx

Exhibit A

Decision and Order

Medical Board of California Case No. 800-2013-000597

BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:)
DAVID E. SOSIN, M.D.) Case No. 8002013000597
Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 13099)
Respondent.	, ,

DECISION AND ORDER

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted by the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California, as its Decision in this matter.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on December 2, 2016.

IT IS SO ORDERED November 3, 2016.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Michelle Bholat, M.D., Chair

Panel B

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
I do hereby certify that this document is a true
and correct copy of the original on file in this

for Custodian of Records

Date Date

I	KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California		
2	JUDITH T. ALVARADO Supervising Deputy Attorney General		
3	TAN N. TRAN		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 197775 300 Sa. Spring Street Suite 1702		
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013		
6	Telephone: (213) 897-6793 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10	<u> </u>	1	
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 800-2013-000597	
12	Devid E. Cucin, M.D.	OAH No. 2015120974	
13	David E. Sosin, M.D. 13362 Newport Avenue, Suite A Tustin, CA 92780	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER	
14	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G13099,		
15	Respondent.		
16			
17			
18	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AC	REED by and between the parties to the above-	
19	entitled proceedings that the following matters	are true:	
20	<u>PARTIES</u>		
21	Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainate	nt) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board.	
22	of California. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this		
23	matter by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General	of the State of California, by Tan N. Tran, Deputy	
24	Attorney General.		
25	2. Respondent DAVID E. SOSIN, M	D. ("Respondent") is represented in this	
26	proceeding by attorney Scott A. Martin, whose	address is: PIVO, HALBREICH, MARTIN &	
27	WILSON, LLP, 525 North Cabrillo Park Drive		
28	///		
20			

STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (800-2013-000597)

3. On or about April 19, 1967, the Medical Board of California issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G13099 to DAVID E. SOSIN, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in Accusation No. 800-2013-000597, and will expire on October 31, 2016, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. Accusation No. 800-2013-000597 was filed before the Medical Board of California (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on July 1, 2015. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation.
- 5. A copy of Accusation No. 800-2013-000597 is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2013-000597. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.
- 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

CULPABILITY

9. Respondent does not contest that at an administrative hearing, complainant could establish a *prima facie* case with respect to the charges and allegations contained in Accusation

No. 800-2013-000597, and that he has thereby subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G13099 to disciplinary action.

10. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

RESERVATION

11. The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Medical Board of California or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

CONTINGENCY

- 12. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.
- 13. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including PDF and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- 14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

///

3 4 5

6

8 9

10

13 14

12

·15

17

18

19

2021

22

2324

25

26 27

28

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G13099 issued to David E. Sosin, M.D. (Respondent) is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for five (5) years on the following terms and conditions.

1. <u>CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES - PARTIAL RESTRICTION</u>. During the first three years of probation, for any prescription for controlled substances as listed in Schedule(s) II and III of the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act, Respondent shall, within five business days after issuing said prescription(s), forward said patient(s)' medical record to the Board or its Designee. Said medical record(s) shall show all the following: 1) the name and address of patient; 2) the date; 3) the character and quantity of controlled substances involved; and 4) the indications and diagnosis for which the controlled substances were furnished.

Although Respondent has never previously prescribed or recommended medical marijuana for any patient, it is nonetheless ordered that Respondent shall not issue an oral or written recommendation or approval to a patient or a patient's primary caregiver for the possession or cultivation of marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 11362.5. If Respondent forms the medical opinion, after an appropriate prior examination and medical indication, that a patient's medical condition may benefit from the use of marijuana, Respondent shall so inform the patient and shall refer the patient to another physician who, following an appropriate prior examination and medical indication, may independently issue a medically appropriate recommendation or approval for the possession or cultivation of marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 11362.5. In addition, Respondent shall inform the patient or the patient's primary caregiver that Respondent is prohibited from issuing a recommendation or approval for the possession or cultivation of marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient and that the patient or the patient's primary caregiver may not rely on Respondent's statements to legally possess or cultivate marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient. Respondent shall fully document in the patient's chart that the patient or the patient's primary caregiver was so informed. Nothing in this condition prohibits Respondent

from providing the patient or the patient's primary caregiver information about the possible medical benefits resulting from the use of marijuana.

2. <u>CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES- MAINTAIN RECORDS AND ACCESS TO</u>

<u>RECORDS AND INVENTORIES</u>. Respondent shall maintain a record of all controlled substances ordered, prescribed, dispensed, administered, or possessed by Respondent, and any recommendation or approval which enables a patient or patient's primary caregiver to possess or cultivate marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 11362.5, during probation, showing all the following: 1) the name and address of patient; 2) the date; 3) the character and quantity of controlled substances involved; and 4) the indications and diagnosis for which the controlled substances were furnished.

Respondent shall keep these records in a separate file or ledger, in chronological order. All records and any inventories of controlled substances shall be available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises by the Board or its designee at all times during business hours and shall be retained for the entire term of probation.

- 3. <u>EDUCATION COURSE</u>. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designee for its prior approval educational program(s) or course(s) which shall not be less than 40 hours per year, for each year of probation. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be aimed at correcting any areas of deficient practice or knowledge and shall be Category I certified. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure. Following the completion of each course, the Board or its designee may administer an examination to test Respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for 65 hours of CME of which 40 hours were in satisfaction of this condition.
- 4. <u>PRESCRIBING PRACTICES COURSE</u>. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in prescribing practices equivalent to the Prescribing Practices Course at the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program, University of California, San Diego School of Medicine (Program), approved in advance by the

Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the program with any information and documents that the Program may deem pertinent. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully complete any other component of the course within one (1) year of enrollment. The prescribing practices course shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A prescribing practices course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

5. MEDICAL RECORD KEEPING COURSE. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in medical record keeping equivalent to the Medical Record Keeping Course offered by the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program, University of California, San Diego School of Medicine (Program), approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the program with any information and documents that the Program may deem pertinent. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully complete any other component of the course within one (1) year of enrollment. The medical record keeping course shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A medical record keeping course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the.

Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board

.25

or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

6. PROFESSIONALISM PROGRAM (ETHICS COURSE). Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a professionalism program, that meets the requirements of Title 16, California Code of Regulations (CCR) section 1358.

Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete that program. Respondent shall provide any information and documents that the program may deem pertinent. Respondent shall successfully complete the classroom component of the program not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment, and the longitudinal component of the program not later than the time specified by the program, but no later than one (1) year after attending the classroom component. The professionalism program shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A professionalism program taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the program would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the program been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the program or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

7. <u>CLINICAL TRAINING PROGRAM</u>. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a clinical training or educational program equivalent to the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program (PACE) offered at the University of California - San Diego School of Medicine ("Program"). Respondent shall successfully complete

2 l

 the Program not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment unless the Board or its designee agrees in writing to an extension of that time.

The Program shall consist of a Comprehensive Assessment program comprised of a two-day assessment of Respondent's physical and mental health; basic clinical and communication skills common to all clinicians; and medical knowledge, skill and judgment pertaining to Respondent's area of practice in which Respondent was alleged to be deficient, and at minimum, a 40 hour program of clinical education in the area of practice in which Respondent was alleged to be deficient and which takes into account data obtained from the assessment, Decision(s), Accusation(s), and any other information that the Board or its designee deems relevant. Respondent shall pay all expenses associated with the clinical training program.

Based on Respondent's performance and test results in the assessment and clinical education, the Program will advise the Board or its designee of its recommendation(s) for the scope and length of any additional educational or clinical training, treatment for any medical condition, treatment for any psychological condition, or anything else affecting Respondent's practice of medicine. Respondent shall comply with Program recommendations.

At the completion of any additional educational or clinical training, Respondent shall submit to and pass an examination. Determination as to whether Respondent successfully completed the examination or successfully completed the program is solely within the program's jurisdiction.

If Respondent fails to enroll, participate in, or successfully complete the clinical training program within the designated time period, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. The Respondent shall not resume the practice of medicine until enrollment or participation in the outstanding portions of the clinical training program have been completed. If the Respondent did not successfully complete the clinical training program, the Respondent shall not resume the practice of medicine until a final decision has been rendered on the accusation and/or a petition to revoke probation. The cessation of practice shall not apply to the reduction of the probationary time period.

8. MONITORING - PRACTICE. Within 30 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall submit to the Board or its designce for prior approval as a practice monitor(s), the name and qualifications of one or more licensed physicians and surgeons whose licenses are valid and in good standing, and who are preferably American Board of Medical Specialtics (ABMS) certified. A monitor shall have no prior or current business or personal relationship with Respondent, or other relationship that could reasonably be expected to compromise the ability of the monitor to render fair and unbiased reports to the Board, including but not limited to any form of bartering, shall be in Respondent's field of practice, and must agree to serve as Respondent's monitor. Respondent shall pay all monitoring costs.

The Board or its designee shall provide the approved monitor with copies of the Decision(s) and Accusation(s), and a proposed monitoring plan. Within 15 calendar days of receipt of the Decision(s). Accusation(s), and proposed monitoring plan, the monitor shall submit a signed statement that the monitor has read the Decision(s) and Accusation(s), fully understands the role of a monitor, and agrees or disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan. If the monitor disagrees with the proposed monitoring plan with the signed statement for approval by the Board or its designee.

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and continuing throughout probation. Respondent's practice shall be monitored by the approved monitor. Respondent shall make all records available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises by the monitor at all times during business hours and shall retain the records for the entire term of probation.

If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a monitor within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a monitor is approved to provide monitoring responsibility.

The monitor(s) shall submit a quarterly written report to the Board or its designee which includes an evaluation of Respondent's performance, indicating whether Respondent's practices are within the standards of practice of medicine, and whether Respondent is practicing medicine

safely. It shall be the sole responsibility of Respondent to ensure that the monitor submits the quarterly written reports to the Board or its designee within 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

If the monitor resigns or is no longer available, Respondent shall, within 5 calendar days of such resignation or unavailability, submit to the Board or its designee, for prior approval, the name and qualifications of a replacement monitor who will be assuming that responsibility within 15 calendar days. If Respondent fails to obtain approval of a replacement monitor within 60 calendar days of the resignation or unavailability of the monitor, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine until a replacement monitor is approved and assumes monitoring responsibility.

In lieu of a monitor, Respondent may participate in a professional enhancement program equivalent to the one offered by the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program at the University of California, San Diego School of Medicine, that includes, at minimum, quarterly chart review, semi-annual practice assessment, and semi-annual review of professional growth and education. Respondent shall participate in the professional enhancement program at Respondent's expense during the term of probation.

STANDARD CONDITIONS

9. NOTIFICATION. Within seven (7) days of the effective date of this Decision, the Respondent shall provide a true copy of this Decision and Accusation to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to Respondent, at any other facility where Respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to Respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Board or its designee within 15 calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

10. SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS. During probation, Respondent is.

prohibited from supervising physician assistants.

- 11. <u>OBEY ALL LAWS</u>. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.
- 12. <u>QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS</u>. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

13. GENERAL PROBATION REQUIREMENTS.

Compliance with Probation Unit

Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation unit and all terms and conditions of this Decision.

Address Changes

Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of Respondent's business and residence addresses, email address (if available), and telephone number. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

Place of Practice

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in Respondent's or patient's place of residence, unless the patient resides in a skilled nursing facility or other similar licensed facility.

License Renewal

Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

Travel or Residence Outside California

Respondent shall immediately inform the Board or its designee, in writing, of travel to any

. 27

areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) calendar days.

In the event Respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return.

- 14. <u>INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE</u>. Respondent shall be available in person upon request for interviews either at Respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.
- its designee in writing within 15 calendar days of any periods of non-practice lasting more than 30 calendar days and within 15 calendar days of Respondent's return to practice. Non-practice is defined as any period of time Respondent is not practicing medicine in California as defined in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052 for at least 40 hours in a calendar month in direct patient care, clinical activity or teaching, or other activity as approved by the Board. All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall not be considered non-practice. Practicing medicine in another state of the United States or Federal jurisdiction while on probation with the medical licensing authority of that state or jurisdiction shall not be considered non-practice. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice.

In the event Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation exceeds 18 calendar months, Respondent shall successfully complete a clinical training program that meets the criteria of Condition 18 of the current version of the Board's "Manual of Model Disciplinary Orders and Disciplinary Guidelines" prior to resuming the practice of medicine.

Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation shall not exceed two (2) years.

Periods of non-practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term.

Periods of non-practice will relieve Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; and General Probation Requirements.

- 16. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u>. Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- 17. <u>VIOLATION OF PROBATION</u>. Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. If Respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against Respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 18. <u>LICENSE SURRENDER</u>. Following the effective date of this Decision, if
 Respondent ceases practicing due to retirement or health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy
 the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may request to surrender his or her license.

 The Board reserves the right to evaluate Respondent's request and to exercise its discretion in
 determining whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate
 and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, Respondent
 shall within 15 calendar days deliver Respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its
 designee and Respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject
 to the terms and conditions of probation. If Respondent re-applies for a medical license, the
 application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.
- 19. PROBATION MONITORING COSTS. Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Board, which may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California and delivered to the Board or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar year.

///

ACCEPTANCE

I have carotially read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my atterney, Septt A. Martin. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physiolon's and Surgeon's Cortificate. I onter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

DATED: Lefbile DAVIDE, SOSIN, M.D.

Respondent

√78

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent DAVID H. SOSIN, M.D. the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Scittement and Disciplinary Order. I approve its form and content.

DATED:

SCOTT A. MARTIN

Attorney for Respondent

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.

Dated: Respectfully submitted,

KAMALA D. MARRIS Attorney General of California Judith T. ALVARADO Supervising Deputy Attorney General

TANN. TRAN
Doputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Compilational

ACCIPTANCE

There encertally read the above Supulated Sembiness and Disciplinary Order and have tally discussed it with my amorney. Sentent, Martin. I understand the steps after aid the effect with have younged Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter that this steps after a sentement and the philinary Order voluments, knowingly, and intelligently, and next to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

DATEM

DAVID E SÖSIN XIA). Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent DANID E. SUSIN, M.D. the teams and engalitious and other manors contained in the above Supulated Scattengers and Disciplinary Order. I appears its form and content.

DATED:

June 6, 2016

SCOTT A MARTIN Attorney for Resy vigelett

15

17

18

1,13

PU

23

3.

7.4

35

1

10

(3

14

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stiputated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.

ी - गिताबुद्धेः 6/7/16

Respectibly submitted.

Kangala, D., Ifmuns America General et Californic Is dell, Calua, Cana Supervising Depins, Allowey General

TANNATHAN Deputy Attenney Goneral Anorigas for the aphanan

30 27

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 800-2013-000597

	FILED STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
1	KAMALA D. HARRIS MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA	
2	Attorney General of California JUDITH T. ALVARADO Supervising Deputy Attorney General SACRAMENTO July 1 20 15 BY K. FIRDAUS ANALYST	
3	Tan N. Tran Deputy Attorney General	•
4	State Bar No. 197775 California Department of Justice	
5	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013	
6	Telephone: (213) 897-6793 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395	
. 7	Attorneys for Complainant	
8	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA	
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
10		
11	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 800-2013-000597	
12	David E. Sosin, M.D. 13362 Newport Avenue, Suite A	
13	Tustin, CA 92780	
14	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G13099,	
15	Respondent.	
16		
17	Complainant alleges:	
18 19	PARTIES	
20	The second of th	
21	capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer	
22	Affairs (Board).	
23	Dhyrisiania and Sympsonia	
24	The Physician's and	
25	to control to the sharper brought	
26		
27	<i> </i>	
28	<i>///</i>	
•	1	
	(DAVID E. SOSIN, M.D.) ACCUSATION NO. 800-2013-00059	/

JURISDICTION

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - 4. Section 2004 of the Code states:

"The board shall have the responsibility for the following:

- "(a) The enforcement of the disciplinary and criminal provisions of the Medical Practice

 Act.
 - "(b) The administration and hearing of disciplinary actions.
- "(c) Carrying out disciplinary actions appropriate to findings made by a panel or an administrative law judge.
- "(d) Suspending, revoking, or otherwise limiting certificates after the conclusion of disciplinary actions.
- "(e) Reviewing the quality of medical practice carried out by physician and surgeon certificate holders under the jurisdiction of the board.
 - "(f) Approving undergraduate and graduate medical education programs.
- "(g) Approving clinical clerkship and special programs and hospitals for the programs in subdivision (f).
 - "(h) Issuing licenses and certificates under the board's jurisdiction.
 - "(i) Administering the board's continuing medical education program."
- 5. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensec who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the board deems proper.
 - 6. Section 2234 of the Code, states:

"The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

///

24

25

26

27

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

13

///

///

///

26

27

7. Section 2242 of the Code states:

- "(a) Prescribing, dispensing, or furnishing dangerous drugs as defined in Section 4022 without an appropriate prior examination and a medical indication, constitutes unprofessional conduct.
- "(b) No licensee shall be found to have committed unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this section if, at the time the drugs were prescribed, dispensed, or furnished, any of the following applies:
- "(1) The licensee was a designated physician and surgeon or podiatrist serving in the absence of the patient's physician and surgeon or podiatrist, as the case may be, and if the drugs were prescribed, dispensed, or furnished only as necessary to maintain the patient until the return of his or her practitioner, but in any case no longer than 72 hours.
- "(2) The licensee transmitted the order for the drugs to a registered nurse or to a licensed vocational nurse in an inpatient facility, and if both of the following conditions exist:
- "(A) The practitioner had consulted with the registered nurse or licensed vocational nurse who had reviewed the patient's records.
- "(B) The practitioner was designated as the practitioner to serve in the absence of the patient's physician and surgeon or podiatrist, as the case may be.
- "(3) The licensee was a designated practitioner serving in the absence of the patient's physician and surgeon or podiatrist, as the case may be, and was in possession of or had utilized the patient's records and ordered the renewal of a medically indicated prescription for an amount not exceeding the original prescription in strength or amount or for more than one refill.
- "(4) The licensee was acting in accordance with Section 120582 of the Health and Safety Code."
- 8. Section 2266 of the Code states: The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct.

11//

28 | ///

б

9. Section 725 of the Code states:

"(a) Repeated acts of clearly excessive prescribing, furnishing, dispensing, or administering of drugs or treatment, repeated acts of clearly excessive use of diagnostic procedures, or repeated acts of clearly excessive use of diagnostic or treatment facilities as determined by the standard of the community of licensees is unprofessional conduct for a physician and surgeon, dentist, podiatrist, psychologist, physical therapist, chiropractor, optometrist, speech-language pathologist, or audiologist.

- "(b) Any person who engages in repeated acts of clearly excessive prescribing or administering of drugs or treatment is guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished by a fine of not less than one hundred dollars (\$100) nor more than six hundred dollars (\$600), or by imprisonment for a term of not less than 60 days nor more than 180 days, or by both that fine and imprisonment.
- "(c) A practitioner who has a medical basis for prescribing, furnishing, dispensing, or administering dangerous drugs or prescription controlled substances shall not be subject to disciplinary action or prosecution under this section.
- "(d) No physician and surgeon shall be subject to disciplinary action pursuant to this section for treating intractable pain in compliance with Section 2241.5."
 - 10. Section 2052 of the Code states:
- "(a) Notwithstanding Section 146, any person who practices or attempts to practice, or who advertises or holds himself or herself out as practicing, any system or mode of treating the sick or afflicted in this state, or who diagnoses, treats, operates for, or prescribes for any ailment, blemish, deformity, disease, disfigurement, disorder, injury, or other physical or mental condition of any person, without having at the time of so doing a valid, unrevoked, or unsuspended certificate as provided in this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act], or without being authorized to perform the act pursuant to a certificate obtained in accordance with some other provision of law, is guilty of a public offense, punishable by a fine not exceeding ten thousand dollars (\$10,000), by imprisonment pursuant to subdivision (h) of Section 1170 of the Penal Code, by imprisonment in a county jail not exceeding one year, or by both the fine and either

subdivision.

1

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

24

"(b) Any person who conspires with or aids or abets another to commit any act described in 2 subdivision (a) is guilty of a public offense, subject to the punishment described in that

"(c) The remedy provided in this section shall not preclude any other remedy provided by law."

Section 2264 of the Code states: 11.

"The employing, directly or indirectly, the aiding, or the abetting of any unlicensed person or any suspended, revoked, or unlicensed practitioner to engage in the practice of medicine or any other mode of treating the sick or afflicted which requires a license to practice constitutes unprofessional conduct."

California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1360 states:

"For the purposes of denial, suspension or revocation of a license, certificate or permit pursuant to Division 1.5 (commencing with Section 475) of the [C]ode, a crime or act shall be considered to be substantially related to the qualifications, functions or duties of a person holding a license, certificate or permit under the Medical Practice Act if to a substantial degree it evidences present or potential unfitness of a person holding a license, certificate or permit to perform the functions authorized by the license, certificate or permit in a manner consistent with the public health, safety or welfare. Such crimes or acts shall include but not be limited to the following: Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of the Medical Practice Act."

/// 22

/// 23

///

/// 25

/// 26

27

28 ///

(Gross Negligence)

9

11

12 13

1.4 1.5

16

17

18

19 20

21

22

2324

.25

2627

28

13. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (b), of the Code for the commission of acts or omissions involving gross negligence in the care and treatment of patient M.C. ("patient" or "M.C.")¹ The circumstances are as follows:

- 14. Respondent, a psychiatrist, began treating the patient on or about December 3, 2009. After this initial psychiatric evaluation, Respondent diagnosed the patient with ADHD (Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder). During the first year of treatment, Respondent started the patient on Vyvanse (a long acting pro-drug version of Adderall), and also began to prescribe dextroamphetamine, Adderall, and Ritalin, which are all stimulants used to treat ADHD. ²
- Respondent, and the patient claimed that his wife was an alcoholic with serious behavioral problems. By 2011, about one year after the patient had started treatment with Respondent, the patient's marital issues appeared to become the focus of the treatment. During this time period, Respondent became aware that the patient had begun medicating his wife, unbeknownst to her, by placing Lexapro (an antidepressant) in her food.³
- 16. Despite learning what the patient was doing, Respondent did not seem to take active steps to stop this dangerous/illegal behavior by the patient, nor did Respondent immediately cease treatment of the patient. Instead, Respondent continued to treat the patient until about July 2013,⁴ for almost another two years, not only providing psychiatric evaluation/therapy, but also prescribing to the patient other drugs such as Crestor (a cholesterol-lowing medication),

The patient is identified by initial to protect his privacy.

² Records indicate that Respondent seemed to leave it up to the patient to modify his stimulant regime to a very great extent during this time.

Records indicate that Respondent was apprised of this dangerous behavior. There is one note on November 9, 2011, in which Respondent wrote/warned the patient that it is illegal to "share meds..." Records of continuing treatment and subsequent discussions with Respondent revealed that Respondent may have "collaborated" with the patient to continue this behavior, in what may have been an ill-advised attempt to "help" the patient's wife and to "save" the patient's marriage.

Respondent stated that he terminated his treatment of the patient in July of 2013, primarily because he felt "threatened" by the patient's wife, not because he felt that his treatment of the patient was substandard.

Zithromax (an antibiotic), Cialis (a drug used to treat erectile dysfunction), Levitra (also for erectile dysfunction), Tobridex eye drops, and the like, for non-psychiatric-related conditions.⁵

- The following acts or omissions committed by Respondent in his care and treatment of patient M.C. constituted an extreme departure from the standard of care:
- Failure to immediately terminate the doctor-patient relationship with M.C., upon learning that M.C. was putting Lexapro in his wife's food;
- Overprescribing Vyvanse and other drugs without an appropriate prior examination or b. medical indication therefor.
- Prescribing multiple stimulants/medications simultaneously, thus putting the patient in the "driver's seat" by allowing the patient too much latitude to control his medication regime;
- Failure to refer the patient to a general practitioner and/or appropriate specialist for non-psychiatric conditions and providing medical treatment/advice to the patient that is "beyond the scope" of a psychiatrist/specialist.6
- Respondent's acts and/or omissions as set forth in paragraphs 14 through 17, inclusive, above, whether proven individually, jointly, or in any combination thereof, constitute gross negligence pursuant to section 2234, subdivision (b), of the Code. Therefore, cause for discipline exists.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Repeated Negligent Acts)

By reason of the facts and allegations set forth in the First Cause for Discipline above, 19. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (c), of the Code in that he committed repeated negligent acts in his care of patient M.C.

///

⁵ Respondent's treatment of the patient resembled that of a comprehensive practitioner/primary care physician (PCP), rather than a medical specialist. 6 It does not appear that Respondent ever referred the patient to a marriage

counselor/therapist, nor was it clear whether Respondent knew whether or not the patient had a PCP.

27 28

1	THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
2	(Inadequate Records)	
3	20. By reason of the facts and allegations set forth in the First Cause for Discipline above,	
4	Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2266 of the Code, in that Respondent	
5	failed to maintain adequate and accurate records of his care and treatment of patient M.C.	
6	FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
7	(Incompetence)	
8	21. By reason of the facts and allegations set forth in the First Cause for Discipline above,	
9	Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (d), of the Code, in	
10	that Respondent showed incompetence in his care and treatment of patient M.C.	
11	FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
12	(Prescribing Without Exam/Indication)	
13	22. By reason of the facts and allegations set forth in the First Cause for Discipline above,	
14	Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2242 of the Code, in that Respondent	
15	prescribed dangerous drugs to patient M.C. without an appropriate prior examination or medical	
16	indication therefor.	
17	SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
18	(Excessive Prescribing)	
19	23. By reason of the facts and allegations set forth in the First Cause for Discipline above	
20	Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 725 of the Code, in that Respondent	
21	excessively prescribed dangerous drugs to patient M.C.	
22	SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE	
23	(General Unprofessional Conduct)	
24	24. By reason of the facts and allegations set forth in the First Cause for Discipline above	
25	Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, of the Code.	
26	<i>'''</i>	
27	///	
28	///	
-	9	
	(DAVID E. SOSIN, M.D.) ACCUSATION NO. 800-2013-00059	

8

9 10.

11

12 1.3

14

15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

(Aiding and Abetting the Unlicensed Practice of Medicine)

Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under Code sections 2052, subdivision (b), 2234, subdivision (a), 2264, and California Code of Regulations, title 16, section 1360 in that he aided and abetted the unlicensed practice of medicine by patient M.C., a layperson who was medicating his wife by putting Lexapro in her food.

DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, 26. Complainant alleges that on or about June 14, 1999 (the "1999" Decision), in a prior disciplinary action entitled In the Matter of the Accusation Against David E. Sosin, M.D., before the Medical Board of California, Respondent's license was placed on three (3) years probation with terms and conditions. On February 24, 2012, a Public Letter of Reprimand (PLR) was also issued against Respondent for overprescribing stimulants to a patient. The 1999 Decision and PLR are now final and are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number G13099, issued to David E. Sosin, M.D.;
- Revoking, suspending or denying approval of David E. Sosin, M.D.'s authority to supervise physician assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code;
- Ordering David E. Sosin, M.D., if placed on probation, to pay the Board the costs of 3. probation monitoring; and

///

///

///

/// ///

1	4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.
2	Lunhelle Lillian
3	DATED: July 1, 2015 KIMBERLY/KIRCHMEYER Executive Director
5	Executive Director Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs State of California
6	State of California Complainant
7	
8	LA2015602012 61593314.doc
9	
10	
11	
12	
13	
14 15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23 24	
25	
26	
27	
28	
	11

(DAVID E. SOSIN, M.D.) ACCUSATION NO. 800-2013-000597

Exhibit B

Decision and Order

Medical Board of California Case No. 800-2015-016817

BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

)
)
)
)
) Case No. 800-2015-016817
)
)
)
)
)
)

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on March 20, 2020.

IT IS SO ORDERED: February 20, 2020.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Kristina D. Lawson, J.D., Chair

Panel B

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA I do hereby certify that this document is a true and correct copy of the original on file in this

Date 20/2020

XAVIER BECERRA Attorney General of California 2 JUDITH T. ALVARADO Supervising Deputy Attorney General 3 TAN N. TRAN Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 197775 300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013 Telephone: (213) 269-6535 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395 6 Attorneys for Complainant BEFORE THE 8 MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS 9 STATE OF CALIFORNIA 10 Case No. 800-2015-016817 In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation Consolidated with 800-2017-035391 and 800-11 and Petition to Revoke Probation Against: 2016-022344 12 OAH No. 2019070625 David E. Sosin, M.D. 13 13362 Newport Avenue, Suite A Tustin, CA 92780 STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND 14 DISCIPLINARY ORDER Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate 15 No. G13099, 16 Respondent. 17 18 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-19 20. entitled proceedings that the following matters are true: 21 PARTIES. Kimberly Kirchmeyer ("Complainant") was the Executive Director of the Medical 22 23 Board of California. She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in 24 this matter by Xavier Becerra, Attorney General of the State of California, by Tan N. Tran, 25 Deputy Attorney General. Respondent David E. Sosin, M.D. ("Respondent") is represented in this proceeding 26 by attorney Kevin D. Cauley, whose address is: 624 South Grand Avenue, 22nd Floor, Los 27 28 Angeles, CA 90017-2906. STIPULATED SETTLEMENT (800-2015-016817 Consolidated with 800-2017-035391 and

800-2016-022344)

. 28

3. On or about April 19, 1967, the Medical Board of California (Board) issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number G13099 to David E. Sosin, M.D. (Respondent). That license was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought in First Amended Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2015-016817 consolidated with 800-2017-035391 and 800-2016-022344 and will expire on October 31, 2021, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

- 4. First Amended Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2015-016817 consolidated with 800-2017-035391 and 800-2016-022344 (First Amended Accusation) was filed before the Medical Board of California (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against Respondent. The First Amended Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on April 15, 2019. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the First Amended Accusation.
- 5. A copy of the First Amended Accusation and its exhibit(s) is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 6. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in First Amended Accusation. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 7. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the First Amended Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

26

2:7

28

- 8. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.
- 9. Respondent also voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up the right to petition for early termination of probation accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

CULPABILITY

- 10. Respondent does not contest that, at an administrative hearing, complainant could establish a *prima facie* case with respect to the charges and allegations contained in First Amended Accusation No. 800-2015-016817 consolidated with 800-2017-035391 and 800-2016-022344 and that he has thereby subjected his license to disciplinary action.
- 11. Respondent agrees that if he ever petitions for early termination or modification of probation, or if the Board ever petitions for revocation of probation, all of the charges and allegations contained in First Amended Accusation No. 800-2015-016817 consolidated with 800-2017-035391 and 800-2016-022344 shall be deemed true, correct and fully admitted by respondent for purposes of that proceeding or any other licensing proceeding involving respondent in the State of California.
- 12. Respondent agrees that his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's probationary terms as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

RESERVATION

13. The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Medical Board of California or other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

CONTINGENCY.

14. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Medical Board of California.

Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Board regarding this stipulation and

26 27

28

24

25

settlement, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it. If the Board fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Board shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

- The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.
- In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

- It should be noted that in a prior disciplinary action entitled "In the Matter of the Accusation Against David E. Sosin, M.D.," Case No. 8002013000597, the Medical Board of California issued a decision, effective December 2, 2016 (the "2016 Decision"), in which Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was revoked. However, the revocation was stayed and Respondent's was placed on probation for a period of five (5) years with certain terms and conditions.
- 18. All terms and conditions of the 2016 Decision continue to apply and will continue to apply until the termination of the entire probationary period. Respondent is hereby bound by those other terms and conditions of the 2016 Decision. A copy of the 2016 Decision is also attached as Exhibit A and is incorporated herein by reference.
- IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 13099 to issued to Respondent David E. Sosin, M.D. (Respondent) is revoked pursuant to the 2016

-26

.27

Decision. However, the revocation is stayed and three (3) additional years of probation is added to Respondent's current probation with the following terms and conditions.

20. <u>CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES - RESTRICTION</u>. Until Respondent successfully completes the Clinical Competence Assessment Program, as described in term #21 below, Respondent shall not order, prescribe, dispense, administer, furnish, or possess any controlled substances as defined in the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act.

Respondent shall not issue an oral or written recommendation or approval to a patient or a patient's primary caregiver for the possession or cultivation of marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 11362.5,

If Respondent forms the medical opinion, after an appropriate prior examination and a medical indication, that a patient's medical condition may benefit from the use of marijuana, Respondent shall so inform the patient and shall refer the patient to another physician who, following an appropriate prior examination and a medical indication, may independently issue a medically appropriate recommendation or approval for the possession or cultivation of marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 11362.5. In addition, Respondent shall inform the patient or the patient's primary caregiver that Respondent is prohibited from issuing a recommendation or approval for the possession or cultivation of marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient and that the patient or the patient's primary caregiver may not rely on Respondent's statements to legally possess or cultivate marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient. Respondent shall fully document in the patient's chart that the patient or the patient's primary caregiver was so informed. Nothing in this condition prohibits Respondent from providing the patient or the patient's primary caregiver information about the possible medical benefits resulting from the use of marijuana.

21. <u>CLINICAL COMPETENCE ASSESSMENT PROGRAM</u>. Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a clinical competence assessment

13_.

20·

· 21

26'

 program approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall successfully complete the program not later than six (6) months after Respondent's initial enrollment unless the Board or its designee agrees in writing to an extension of that time. Respondent shall not be allowed to re-take the Center for Personalized Education for Physicians (CPEP) program.

The program shall consist of a comprehensive assessment of Respondent's physical and mental health and the six general domains of clinical competence as defined by the Accreditation Council on Graduate Medical Education and American Board of Medical Specialties pertaining to Respondent's current or intended area of practice. The program shall take into account data obtained from the pre-assessment, self-report forms and interview, and the Decision(s), Accusation(s), and any other information that the Board or its designee deems relevant. The program shall require Respondent's on-site participation for a minimum of three (3) and no more than five (5) days as determined by the program for the assessment and clinical education evaluation. Respondent shall pay all expenses associated with the clinical competence assessment program.

At the end of the evaluation, the program will submit a report to the Board or its designee which unequivocally states whether the Respondent has demonstrated the ability to practice safely and independently. Based on Respondent's performance on the clinical competence assessment, the program will advise the Board or its designee of its recommendation(s) for the scope and length of any additional educational or clinical training, evaluation or treatment for any medical condition or psychological condition, or anything else affecting Respondent's practice of medicine. Respondent shall comply with the program's recommendations.

Determination as to whether Respondent successfully completed the clinical competence assessment program is solely within the program's jurisdiction.

If Respondent fails to enroll, participate in, or successfully complete the clinical competence assessment program within the designated time period, Respondent shall receive a notification from the Board or its designee to cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified. The Respondent shall not resume the practice of medicine until enrollment or participation in the outstanding portions of the clinical competence assessment

program have been completed. If the Respondent did not successfully complete the clinical competence assessment program, the Respondent shall not resume the practice of medicine until a final decision has been rendered on the accusation and/or a petition to revoke probation. The cessation of practice shall not apply to the reduction of the probationary time period.

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Kevin D. Cauley. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

DATED: 1/12/20 David E. Sosin, M.D.
Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent David E. Sosin, M.D. the terms and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order:

I approve its form and content.

DATED: 1/13/20

Kevin D. Cauley
Attorney for Respondent

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.

Dated:

2

3

б

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

1/14/20

Respectfully submitted,

XAVIER BECERRA
Attorney General of California
JUDITH T. ALVARADO
Supervising Deputy Attorney General

TAN N. TRAN
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant

54017642.docx

17. 18 19

20

21 22

23

24[°] 25

26

27.

. 28

Exhibit A

First Amended Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation No. 800-2015-016817 Consolidated with 800-2017-035391 and 800-2016-022344

ĺ	· ,	•	
1	XAVIER BECERRA		
2	Attorney General of California JUDITH T. ALVARADO	FILED	
. 3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General TAN N. TRAN	STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 197775	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA SACRAMENTO ADVIL 15 20 19	
5	California Department of Justice	BY 2012 COSON ANALYST	
1	300 So. Spring Street, Suite 1702 Los Angeles, CA 90013		
6	Telephone: (213) 269-6535 Facsimile: (213) 897-9395		
7	Attorneys for Complainant		
8	BEFORE THE		
9	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
11		Case No. 800-2015-016817	
12	In the Matter of the First Amended Accusation and Petition to Revoke	Consolidated with 800-2017-035391 and 800-2016-022344	
13	Probation Against:		
14.	David E. Sosin, M.D. 13362 Newport Avenue, Suite A		
15	Tustin, CA 92780	FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION AND PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION	
-16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate		
17	No. G13099,		
	Respondent.	·	
18			
.19	Complainant alleges:		
20		THES	
21	1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant) brings this First Amended Accusation and		
22			
23	Petition to Revoke Probation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Director of the		
24	Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs (Board).		
25	2. On or about April 19, 1967, the Medical Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's		
26	Certificate Number G13099 to David E. Sosin, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and		
27	Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought		
	ein and will expire on October 31, 2019, unless renewed.		
28 ·		1	

(DAVID E. SOSIN, M.D.) FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION & PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION NO. 800-2015-016817

26

27.

28

3. In a disciplinary action entitled "In the Matter of the Accusation Against David E. Sosin, M.D.," Case No. 8002013000597, the Medical Board of California issued a decision, effective December 2, 2016 (the "2016 Decision"), in which Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was revoked. However, the revocation was stayed and Respondent's was placed on probation for a period of five (5) years with certain terms and conditions. A copy of the 2016 Decision is attached as Exhibit A and is incorporated by reference.

JURISDICTION

- 4. This First Amended Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation is brought before the Board, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code unless otherwise indicated.
 - 5. Section 2004 of the Code states:

"The board shall have the responsibility for the following:

- "(a) The enforcement of the disciplinary and criminal provisions of the Medical Practice.

 Act.
 - "(b) The administration and hearing of disciplinary actions.
- "(c) Carrying out disciplinary actions appropriate to findings made by a panel or an administrative law judge.
- "(d) Suspending, revoking, or otherwise limiting certificates after the conclusion of disciplinary actions.
- "(e) Reviewing the quality of medical practice carried out by physician and surgeon certificate holders under the jurisdiction of the board.
 - "(f) Approving undergraduate and graduate medical education programs.
- "(g) Approving clinical clerkship and special programs and hospitals for the programs in subdivision (f).
 - "(h) Issuing licenses and certificates under the board's jurisdiction.
 - "(i) Administering the board's continuing medical education program."

6. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, or such other action taken in relation to discipline as the board deems proper.

7. Section 2234 of the Code, states:

"The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.
 - "(b) Gross negligence.
- "(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
- "(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.
- "(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.
 - "(d) Incompetence. .
- "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
 - "(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate.
- "(g) The practice of medicine from this state into another state or country without meeting the legal requirements of that state or country for the practice of medicine. Section 2314 shall not

7

11

17 18

16

20

21

19

22 23

24 25

26 27

28

apply to this subdivision. This subdivision shall become operative upon the implementation of the proposed registration program described in Section 2052.5.

- "(h) The repeated failure by a certificate holder, in the absence of good cause, to attend and participate in an interview by the Board. This subdivision shall only apply to a certificate holder who is the subject of an investigation by the board."
 - 8. Section 2241 of the Code states:
- "(a) A physician and surgeon may prescribe, dispense, or administer prescription drugs, including prescription controlled substances, to an addict under his or her treatment for a purpose other than maintenance on, or detoxification from, prescription drugs or controlled substances.
- "(b) A physician and surgeon may prescribe, dispense, or administer prescription drugs or prescription controlled substances to an addict for purposes of maintenance on, or detoxification from, prescription drugs or controlled substances only as set forth in subdivision (c) or in Sections 11215, 11217, 11217.5, 11218, 11219, and 11220 of the Health and Safety Code. Nothing in this subdivision shall authorize a physician and surgeon to prescribe, dispense, or administer dangerous drugs or controlled substances to a person he or she knows or reasonably believes is using or will use the drugs or substances for a nonmedical purpose.
- "(c) Notwithstanding subdivision (a), prescription drugs or controlled substances may also be administered or applied by a physician and surgeon, or by a registered nurse acting under his or her instruction and supervision, under the following circumstances:
- "(1) Emergency treatment of a patient whose addiction is complicated by the presence of incurable disease, acute accident, illness, or injury, or the infirmities attendant upon age.
- "(2) Treatment of addicts in state-licensed institutions where the patient is kept under restraint and control, or in city or county jails or state prisons.
- "(3) Treatment of addicts as provided for by Section 1.1217.5 of the Health and Safety Code.
- "(d)(1) For purposes of this section and Section 2241.5, "addict" means a person whose actions are characterized by craving in combination with one or more of the following:
 - "(A) Impaired control over drug use.

.13 14

15 16

17 18

19

20 21

23

22

25

26 27

28

I

"(B) Compulsive use.

- "(C) Continued use despite harm.
- "(2) Notwithstanding paragraph (1), a person whose drug-seeking behavior is primarily due to the inadequate control of pain is not an addict within the meaning of this section or Section 2241.5."
 - 9. Section 2242 of the Code states:
- "(a) Prescribing, dispensing, or furnishing dangerous drugs as defined in Section 4022 without an appropriate prior examination and a medical indication, constitutes unprofessional conduct.
- "(b) No licensee shall be found to have committed unprofessional conduct within the meaning of this section if, at the time the drugs were prescribed, dispensed, or furnished, any of the following applies:
- "(1) The licensee was a designated physician and surgeon or podiatrist serving in the absence of the patient's physician and surgeon or podiatrist, as the case may be, and if the drugs were prescribed, dispensed, or furnished only as necessary to maintain the patient until the return of his or her practitioner, but in any case no longer than 72 hours.
- "(2) The licensee transmitted the order for the drugs to a registered nurse or to a licensed vocational nurse in an inpatient facility, and if both of the following conditions exist:
- "(A) The practitioner had consulted with the registered nurse or licensed vocational nurse who had reviewed the patient's records.
- "(B) The practitioner was designated as the practitioner to serve in the absence of the patient's physician and surgeon or podiatrist, as the case may be.
- "(3) The licensee was a designated practitioner serving in the absence of the patient's physician and surgeon or podiatrist, as the case may be, and was in possession of or had utilized the patient's records and ordered the renewal of a medically indicated prescription for an amount not exceeding the original prescription in strength or amount or for more than one refill.
- "(4) The licensee was acting in accordance with Section 120582 of the Health and Safety Code."

- 10. Section 2266 of the Code states: "The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct."
 - 11. Section 725 of the Code states:
- "(a) Repeated acts of clearly excessive prescribing, furnishing, dispensing, or administering of drugs or treatment, repeated acts of clearly excessive use of diagnostic procedures, or repeated acts of clearly excessive use of diagnostic or treatment facilities as determined by the standard of the community of licensees is unprofessional conduct for a physician and surgeon, dentist, podiatrist, psychologist, physical therapist, chiropractor, optometrist, speech-language pathologist, or audiologist.
- "(b) Any person who engages in repeated acts of clearly excessive prescribing or administering of drugs or treatment is guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished by a fine of not less than one hundred dollars (\$100) nor more than six hundred dollars (\$600), or by imprisonment for a term of not less than 60 days nor more than 180 days, or by both that fine and imprisonment.
- "(c) A practitioner who has a medical basis for prescribing, furnishing, dispensing, or administering dangerous drugs or prescription controlled substances shall not be subject to disciplinary action or prosecution under this section.
- "(d) No physician and surgeon shall be subject to disciplinary action pursuant to this section for treating intractable pain in compliance with Section 2241.5."

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence- 4 patients)

12. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2234, subdivision (b), of the Code for the commission of acts or omissions involving gross negligence in the care and treatment of Patients 1, 2, 3, 4. The circumstances are as follows:

26 | /// -

1 The patients are identified numerically to protect their privacy.

11 12

13

14 15

16

17

 $\prime\prime\prime$

111

///

18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

Respondent, a psychiatrist, treated Patient 1 from about June 11, 2012 through November 26, 2012 for various conditions, but primarily for ADHD (Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder).² During this time period, Respondent started Patient 1 on Adderall, and Ritalin, which are both stimulants used to treat ADHD. Records also indicate that Respondent prescribed to Patient 1 other controlled medications such as Lorazepam (a benzodiazepine medication used to treat anxiety disorder), and Daytrana (a transdermal patch often used to treat pediatric patients (ages 6 to 17) with ADHD.3

14. As treatment began to progress, the patient would often send Respondent lengthy emails describing the adverse effects she was experiencing from taking the medications, which were prescribed to her by Respondent, such as Adderall and Ritalin.4

Despite learning that Patient 1 was using marijuana and experiencing adverse effects from the medications prescribed, Respondent did not seem to take active steps to stop prescribing more controlled medications to the patient, nor did Respondent immediately cease treatment of the patient until about November 26, 2012, more than five months after Patient 1's first visit/treatment by Respondent.5

² Respondent diagnosed Patient 1 with ADHD, despite the patient's failure to meet diagnostic criteria for this disorder. Respondent stated in his interview with the Board that "Once [he] diagnose[s] ADD that is the cornerstone of my treatment."

⁵ Please note that Patient 1 was not a pediatric patient. Apparently, Respondent was using

Daytrana as an "off-label" prescription to treat Patient 1, who was an older patient.

4 For example, Respondent's records showed that he was aware (even from the first visit on or about June 11, 2012) that Patient 1 was using marijuana. Also, Patient 1's subsequent emails to Respondent in June of 2012 and thereafter, also confirmed that Patient 1 was consuming "marijuana edibles," and "smoking pot...". Patient 1's emails, as early as June 18, 2012 (approximately one week after the first visit) also revealed that the patient was having adverse effects from the medications prescribed, and that the patient even crashed her car into a gas station sign.

In September 2015, Patient 1 filed a complaint against Respondent to the Board, alleging that Respondent had overprescribed stimulants and other narcotics to her, causing severe side effects such as a seizure, which per Patient 1, Respondent said looked "fake:"

that would be valuable to anyone that's looking at the chart."

28

11

. 10

12 13

.14 15

16 17

18 19

20 . 21

22

23

24 25

26 27

28

Excessively prescribed controlled substances to Patient 1

Patient 2

- 17. Patient 2 was a thirty-one-year-old female who treated with Respondent from approximately March 10, 2015 to approximately November 10, 2015. Patient 2 was found dead in a Jacuzzi on November 11, 2015.
- 18. During her first visit on March 10, 2015, Respondent had Patient 2 fill out an "ADD Questionnaire" developed by Respondent, but it appeared that he never actually diagnosed Patient 2 with any mental or mental health disorder for which his treatment was appropriate.

 Nevertheless, records indicate that Respondent prescribed to Patient 2 a wide variety of dangerous, toxic medications, which are even more dangerous in combination, including Adderall (amphetamine salts), Alprazolam (Xanax), Zaleplon (a sedative), Norco (Hydrocodone), Carisoprodol (Soma), as well as other medications.
- 19. The following acts or omissions committed by Respondent in his care and treatment of Patient 2 constitute an extreme departure from the standard of care:
- a. Prescribing to Patient 2 a wide variety of dangerous, toxic medications, which are even more dangerous in combination, including Adderall, Alprazolam, Zaleplon, Norco, Carisoprodol, as well as other medications, without any basis, or any "good faith" examination.
- b. Misdiagnosing Patient 2 with severe chronic back pain requiring opioids and barbiturate-like medications.
- c. Misdiagnosing Patient 2 with an insomnia disorder requiring treatment with daily hypnotic sedatives.

weighed seventy (70) pounds.

Records show that CVS Pharmacy contacted Respondent on October 26, 2015, regarding his atypical use of Zaleplon, but it did not appear that Respondent made any corrections in his prescribing.

⁷ An autopsy report showed that at the time of her death, Patient 2 weighed approximately seventy pounds. On her first visit of March 10, 2015, Respondent listed Patient 2 as weighing 105 pounds. Interestingly, Respondent had two distinct looking notes, dated November 10, 2015, one day prior to Patient 2's death, which included many inconsistencies, including a note from Respondent that Patient 2 weighed 93 pounds, despite the autopsy showing that Patient 2 had weighed seventy (70) pounds.

·11

13

15 ·16

17

18 19

20

2122

23 24

25

26

2728

- d. Misdiagnosing Patient 2 with an anxiety disorder requiring treatment with chronic hypnotic sedatives.
- e. Misdiagnosing Patient 2 with Attention Deficit Hyperactivity Disorder (ADHD) persisting into adulthood, requiring treatment with high dose amphetamines.
- f. Failure to diagnose Patient 2 with introgenic amphetamine-induced malnutrition, which was potentially fatal.
- g. Prescribing of chronic extra-strength Hydrocodone to Patient 2 for pain, despite the improper diagnosis of same by Respondent.
- h. Prescribing of daily Zaleplon to Patient 2 for insomnia with no proper work-up for possible causes of insomnia in a young adult.
- i. Failure to make any corrections in prescribing, despite being notified by a pharmacy regarding Respondent's use of Zaleplon to Patient 2.
- j. Prescribing of chronic daily Alprazolam to Patient 2 for anxiety, without the proper work-up for said condition.
- k. Excessively prescribed Adderall, Alprazolam, Zalepelon, Norco, and Carisoprodol to Patient 2.

Patient 3

20. Respondent treated Patient 3 (a sixty-two-year old female) from about 1999 through 2016 for various conditions, but primarily for ADHD, despite no records/data which showed that Patient 3 had suffered from symptoms of ADHD, or had been treated or diagnosed with ADHD in the past, or during childhood. During this time period, Respondent prescribed medications such as Prozac and Celexa, which are antidepressants, to Patient 3, but the main medication which was prescribed to Patient 3 during this time period was Adderall (an amphetamine/stimulant), which was often specifically requested by Patient 3 for her own use, as well as for use by others in her family.

⁹ Patient 3's case came to the attention of the Medical Board via a complaint by a representative from Cigna Insurance Company, which alleged that Respondent may be overprescribing Adderall to Patient 3 and her son. Apparently, Patient 3 and her family was fully insured, but no claims were submitted for the numerous prescriptions for Adderall Patient 3 and (continued...)

.24

- 21. Throughout this seventeen year period, there was no history, symptom report, mental status examination, or diagnoses recorded. There was no documentation that Respondent inquired how Patient 3 obtained stimulant medication in the past, whether the medications were prescribed or purchased illicitly, the quantities Patient 3 was taking, over what period of time, Patient 3's use of other stimulants, or any general substance abuse treatment. There was also no documentation that Respondent made any inquiry about possible diagnoses to support the prescribing of Adderall or Ritalin to Patient 3 in the past, or how to obtain past records or to request past records. There was also no documentation that Respondent made any inquiry about Patient 3's deficits or symptoms. Also, there was also no documentation that Respondent ever took a history of Patient 3's depressive symptoms, sleep history, family history, or her use of alcohol, benzodiazepines, or other sedatives.
- 22. Respondent began prescribing dangerous controlled medications before ever recording any history or other information to warrant such prescribing practices. Respondent did not maintain records to explain the medical basis for the amounts of the controlled stimulants he was prescribing to Patient 3. There were also no explanations for the opioids he was prescribing to Patient 3.¹⁰
- 23. The following acts or omissions committed by Respondent in his care and treatment of Patient 3 constitute an extreme departure from the standard of care:
- a. Prescribing to Patient 3 dangerous drugs, including Adderall, Prozac, Celexa, and opioids without any basis or any good faith examination.
 - b. Failure to perform a thorough psychiatric diagnostic evaluation of Patient 3.
 - c. Failure to obtain a thorough medication history.

(...continued)
her son had obtained from Respondent during the period ranging from January 7, 2013 through

July 29, 2015.

Throughout this time period, Respondent continued to prescribe dangerous controlled substances (sometimes increasing the dosage) to Patient 3, and Respondent seemed to ignore many signs that Patient 3 may have been abusing drugs and/or diverting same (e.g. Patient 3 would request medications for her mother and children; Patient 3 would also use some of her daughter's Adderall, Patient 3 would, at times, request, the doses she wanted, the amounts, and the "brand name" medication, etc.). Patient 3, at one point, also claimed that her meds were stolen.

8

9 :10

11 12

13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

25

26 27

28

d. Failure to make a differential diagnosis.

- e. Failure to take an adequate past medical and social history,
- f. Failure to obtain medical records.
- Failure to recognize that Patient 3 was exhibiting drug-seeking behavior. g.
- Failure to recognize that Patient 3 was abusing her medication. h.
- i. Failure to recognize that Patient 3 was diverting medication.
- i. Allowing Patient 3 to dictate the course of her medical care.
- k. Excessively prescribed Adderall, Prozac, Celexa, and opioids to Patient 3.

Patient 4

- 24. Patient 4 is a twenty-seven-year-old male who treated with Respondent from approximately 1998 to approximately 2015.11 Per records, Patient 4 was on Dexadrine given to Patient 4 by his mother, but Respondent does not document how Patient 4's mother obtained the Dexadrine, or why the Dexadrine was given to Patient 4. Respondent also did not document any diagnosis or treatment plan, nor did he request to speak with Patient 4's teachers or obtain any of Patient 4's school records, and pediatric records. Throughout this time period, Respondent prescribed controlled medications to Patient 4, including Ritalin, Vyvanse, and Adderall. 12
- Respondent was apparently treating Patient 4 for ADHD, but Respondent did not obtain any history of Patient 4's time in utero, any toxic substances to which Patient 4's mother may have been exposed, any perinatal history, any description of Patient 4's relations with his family, or any indication of Patient 4's strengths, weaknesses, and emerging personality. There is no documentation that Respondent ever performed the most basic psychological or neuropsychological testing on Patient 4 to confirm his diagnosis of ADHD. There is no

¹¹ Patient 4 began treating with Respondent at around age 7. Apparently, Respondent had been treating Patient 4 for ADHD, despite no objective testing by Respondent to confirm said diagnosis other than Respondent's dependence on the account of Patient 3, who was Patient 4's mother.

¹² Throughout Respondent's treatment of Patient 4, most of Respondent's assessments were that Patient 4 was "doing great" or "doing well." Despite these assessments, Respondent often increased the dosages of the meds prescribed to Patient 4, often at the suggestion of Patient 4's mother, and without explanation by Respondent justifying the change in meds and/or the change in dosing.

documentation that Respondent ever obtained objective testing of the severity of Patient 4's hyperactivity, impulsivity, or attentional problems.

- 26. Also, Respondent never obtained any metabolic testing to determine why he believed that Patient 4 needed the doses of medications which were often many times above the recommended maximum dosage. There is no documentation that Respondent ever considered, discussed, or referred Patient 4 to a treatment program for non-medication treatments for the alleged ADHD.¹³ There is no documentation that Respondent ever considered any treatment for Patient 4 other than stimulant medications.
- 27. Respondent's records are extremely inadequate. There is no documentation explaining or justifying any dose increases or decreases. There is no report of any attempt to verify Patient 4's symptoms. It is unclear if Patient 4 ever had symptoms of ADHD, or whether the medications prescribed to Patient 4 was ever justified or indicated.¹⁴
- 28. The following acts or omissions committed by Respondent in his care and treatment of Patient 4 constitute an extreme departure from the standard of care:
 - a. Failure to perform a thorough diagnostic evaluation of Patient 4.
 - b. Failure to take a complete medical history of Patient 4.
 - c. Failure to have psychological and neuropsychological testing performed on Patient 4.
- d. Failure to obtain any testing on Patient 4 regarding the severity of hyperactivity, impulsivity, and attentional problems.
 - e. Failure to obtain a full medical history from Patient 4's mother.
 - f. Failure to obtain Patient 4's pediatric records.
 - g. Failure to obtain Patient 4's school records.

13 At one point, Patient 4 was involved in non-medication treatment, yet there is no documentation that Respondent ever sought those records or any information about the treatment

that was being provided.

14 As stated above, Patient 3 and 4's health care insurer filed a complaint with the Board after noticing signs often associated with substance abuse (i.e. paying for the prescriptions in cash, despite having insurance, as well as the large amounts of high dose abusable medications that were being prescribed).

28

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

(DAVID E. SOSIN, M.D.) FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION

800-2015-01.6817

Failure to follow up with laboratory screening/testing while Patient 4 was on

26

27

28

FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Prescribing Without Exam/Indication-4 patients)

34. By reason of the facts and allegations set forth in the First Cause for Discipline above, Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2242 of the Code, in that Respondent prescribed dangerous drugs to Patients 1 through 4 without an appropriate prior examination or medical indication therefor.

FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Excessive Prescribing- 4 patients)

35. By reason of the facts and allegations set forth in the First Cause for Discipline above, Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 725 of the Code, in that Respondent excessively prescribed dangerous drugs to Patients 1 through 4.

SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Prescribing to an Addict-Patient 3)

- 36. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2241 of the Code in that Respondent prescribed controlled substances to Patient 3, a patient who had signs of substance abuse/dependency.
- 37. The facts and circumstances in paragraphs 20 through 23, above, are incorporated by reference as if set forth in full herein.

SEVENTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

. (Inadequate Records-4 patients)

38. By reason of the facts and allegations set forth in the First and Second Causes for Discipline above, Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under section 2266 of the Code, in that Respondent failed to maintain adequate and accurate records of his care and treatment of Patients 1 through 4.

CAUSE TO REVOKE PROBATION

(Incompetence/Failure to Pass CPEP)

39. At all times after the effective date of Respondent's probation, Condition 7 of the 2016 Decision states in pertinent part:

"Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a clinical training or educational program equivalent [e.g. Center for Personalized Education for Physicians (CPEP)] to the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education ("PACE") Program offered at the University of California - San Diego School of Medicine ("Program").

... Respondent shall comply with Program recommendations.

At the completion of any additional educational or clinical training, Respondent shall submit to and pass an examination. Determination as to whether Respondent successfully completed the examination or successfully completed the program is solely within the program's jurisdiction...

If Respondent fails to....successfully complete the clinical training program....Respondent shall.....cease the practice of medicine within three (3) calendar days after being so notified....Respondent shall not resume the practice of medicine until a final decision has been rendered on the accusation and/or a petition to revoke probation. The cessation of practice shall not apply to the reduction of the probationary time period."

- 40. Respondent has failed to pass the CPEP program, thus violating his probation.

 The circumstances are as follows:
- A. Respondent enrolled in CPEP program on July 31 through August 1, 2017, after settlement of an Accusation concerning Respondent's care and treatment of patient MC, which is more fully described in the 2016 Decision.
- B. Overall, CPEP found that Respondent's medical knowledge and patient care was not at the level of a practicing psychiatrist. Per CPEP'S assessment, Respondent's most significant weaknesses were in the areas of psychopharmacology, evaluation of suicidal ideation,

10

·11

13 14

15 16

17

.18 .19

·20

21 22

23 24

25

26 27

28

and ADD/ADHD evaluation components.

- C. Respondent completed a Psychiatry Clinical Science Subject Test examination and achieved a score of 58% correct with a total test percentile rank of 1%. Overall, Respondent's performance on the examination was poor with need for further study in psychiatry.
- D. CPEP also assessed Respondent's clinical judgment and reasoning and identified significant concerns regarding Respondent's controlled substance prescribing. For example, CPEP consultants opined that Respondent was not applying evidence-based principles to his patient care, and that Respondent rarely considered or used non-controlled substances in his ADD/ADHD patients. CPEP consultants also found that Respondent prescribed benzodiazepines in several of his simulated patients without clear indication, and the consultants opined that Respondent needs to be more cautious in his prescribing of benzodiazepines. There was no indication that Respondent was reviewing the prescription drug monitoring program (CURES) to see if his patients were receiving controlled substances from other providers.
- E. CPEP reviewed Respondent's documentation of simulated patient charts, as well as evaluated Respondent's physician-patient communication. Overall, CPEP found that the quality of documentation in Respondent's outpatient charts was poor, and that Respondent's physician-patient communication skills during the exercise were poor.
- 41. Respondent's lack of basic medical knowledge as shown by objective and subjective factors shows that he is incompetent and subjects his license to discipline.

DISCIPLINE CONSIDERATIONS

42. To determine the degree of discipline, if any, to be imposed on Respondent, Complainant alleges that effective December 2, 2016 (the "2016" Decision), in a prior disciplinary action entitled *In the Matter of the Accusation Against David E. Sosin, M.D.*, case no. 8002013000597, before the Medical Board of California, Respondent's license was placed on five

years probation, with terms and conditions. The 2016 Decision is now final and is incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

43. Effective June 14, 1999 (the "1999" Decision), in a prior disciplinary action entitled In the Matter of the Accusation Against David E. Sosin, M.D., case no. 04-1996-66892, before the Medical Board of California, Respondent's license was placed on three (3) years probation with terms and conditions. Moreover, on February 24, 2012, a Public Letter of Reprimand (PLR) was issued against Respondent's physician's and surgeon's certificate for overprescribing stimulants to a patient. The "1999" Decision and PLR are now final and are incorporated by reference as if fully set forth.

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged, and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

- Revoking the probation that was granted by the Board in Case No. 8002013000597 and imposing the disciplinary order that was stayed, thereby revoking Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G13099 issued to Respondent;
- Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number G13099,
- Revoking, suspending or denying approval of David E. Sosin, M.D.'s authority to supervise physician assistants, pursuant to section 3527 of the Code and advanced practice
- Ordering David E. Sosin, M.D., if placed on probation, to pay the Board the costs of
 - Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

Executive Director

Medical Board of California

Department of Consumer Affairs

State of California Complainant

52868211.docx

.3

_

,

.

.

,11

:14 :

. 16

.

(DAVID E. SOSIN, M.D.) FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION & PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION NO. 800-2015-016817