1	ROB BONTA
2	Attorney General of California MATTHEW M. DAVIS
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General JASON J. AHN
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 253172
5	600 West Broadway, Suite 1800 San Diego, CA 92101
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 738-9433
7	Facsimile: (619) 645-2061
8	Attorneys for Complainant
9	
10	BEFORE THE - MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
11	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
12	STATE OF CALIFORNIA
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against: Case No. 800-2019-058499
14	JONATHAN LAM YUEN WATT, M.D. A C C U S A T I O N
15	PO Box 12078 SAN BERNARDINO CA 92423-2078
16 17	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 107815,
18	Respondent.
19	
20	<u>PARTIES</u>
21	1. William Prasifka (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in his official capacity
22	as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs
23	(Board).
24	2. On or about May 15, 2009, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate
25	No. A 107815 to Jonathan Lam Yuen Watt, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and Surgeon's
26	Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will
27	expire on April 30, 2023, unless renewed.
28	
	1

6. Section 2234 of the Code, states in part:

"The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

""

- "(b) Gross negligence.
- "(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
- "(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.
- "(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.

" ,,,

7. Section 2228.1 of the Code states:

- (a) On and after July 1, 2019, except as otherwise provided in subdivision (c), the board shall require a licensee to provide a separate disclosure that includes the licensee's probation status, the length of the probation, the probation end date, all practice restrictions placed on the licensee by the board, the board's telephone number, and an explanation of how the patient can find further information on the licensee's probation on the licensee's profile page on the board's online license information Internet Web site, to a patient or the patient's guardian or health care surrogate before the patient's first visit following the probationary order while the licensee is on probation pursuant to a probationary order made on and after July 1, 2019, in any of the following circumstances:
- (1) A final adjudication by the board following an administrative hearing or admitted findings or prima facie showing in a stipulated settlement establishing any of the following:
- (A) The commission of any act of sexual abuse, misconduct, or relations with a patient or client as defined in Section 726 or 729.

٠...

(2) An accusation or statement of issues alleged that the licensee committed any of the acts described in subparagraphs (A) to (D), inclusive, of paragraph (1), and a

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

10. Respondent has subjected his Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 107815 to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2234, subdivision (b), of the Code, in that he committed gross negligence in his care and treatment of Patient A¹ and Patient B, as more particularly alleged hereinafter:

Patient A

- 11. On or about May 24, 2017, Patient A first presented to Respondent's office complaining of lack of motivation and unhappiness. At that time, Patient A was a twenty-seven (27) year-old female. At the end of this visit, Respondent hugged Patient A, while whispering into Patient A's ear, "We are not supposed to touch our patients." A follow-up appointment was scheduled in thirty (30) days.
- 12. After Patient A's visit on or about May 24, 2017 and before the next visit on or about June 26, 2017, Respondent repeatedly contacted Patient A via telephone and/or social media, expressing his desire to see Patient A again prior to the next scheduled appointment. Respondent repeatedly requested permission to visit Patient A at her residence. Patient A at first refused, but eventually agreed to allow Respondent to visit Patient A at Patient A's residence.
- 13. After Patient A's visit on or about May 24, 2017 and before the next visit on or about June 26, 2017, Respondent went to Patient A's residence and they had a sexual intercourse.
- 14. On or about June 26, 2017, Patient A returned to Respondent's office for a follow-up psychiatric care and/or treatment. During this visit, Patient A confronted Respondent by stating that Patient A believed Respondent had used her [for sex] and as a result, Patient A felt worthless. Respondent apologized to Patient A, broke down emotionally, and stated he did not want to hurt Patient A. Respondent then prompted Patient A to hug Respondent. Patient A obliged and they began kissing each other. The kissing eventually led to Patient A performing fellatio on Respondent, in his office, during this visit. Then, Patient A left.

¹ References to "Patient A" and "Patient B" are used to protect patient privacy.

83054265.docx

28