

**BEFORE THE
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

**In the Matter of the Accusation)
Against:)
)
)
ROBERT M. LITTMAN, M.D.)
)
Physician's and Surgeon's)
Certificate No. G39129)
)
Respondent)
_____)**

Case No. 800-2017-036131

DECISION

The attached Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on May 31, 2019.

IT IS SO ORDERED April 10, 2019.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

By: 
**Kimberly Kirchmeyer
Executive Director**

1 XAVIER BECERRA
Attorney General of California
2 ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 KEITH C. SHAW
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 227029
600 West Broadway, Suite 1800
5 San Diego, CA 92101
P.O. Box 85266
6 San Diego, CA 92186-5266
Telephone: (619) 738-9515
7 Facsimile: (619) 645-2012

8 *Attorneys for Complainant*

9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

**BEFORE THE
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS
STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:
Robert M. Littman, M.D.
6386 Alvarado Court, Suite 210
San Diego, CA 92120
**Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate
No. G 39129,**
Respondent.

Case No. 800-2017-036131
OAH No. 2018120670
**STIPULATED SURRENDER OF
LICENSE AND ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:

PARTIES

1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California (Board). She brought this action solely in her official capacity and is represented in this matter by Xavier Becerra, Attorney General of the State of California, by Keith C. Shaw, Deputy Attorney General.

///

1 **CULPABILITY**

2 8. Respondent understands that the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 800-2017-
3 036131, if proven at a hearing, constitute cause for imposing discipline upon his Physician's and
4 Surgeon's Certificate.

5 9. For the purpose of resolving the Accusation without the expense and uncertainty of
6 further proceedings, and given Respondent's intent to retire, Respondent agrees that, at a hearing,
7 Complainant could establish a factual basis for the charges in the Accusation and that those
8 charges constitute cause for discipline. Respondent hereby gives up his right to contest that
9 cause for discipline exists based on those charges.

10 10. Respondent understands that by signing this stipulation he enables the Executive
11 Director of the Medical Board to issue an order accepting the surrender of his Physician's and
12 Surgeon's License No. A 39129 on behalf of the Board, without further notice or opportunity to
13 be heard.

14 **RESERVATION**

15 11. The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this
16 proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Medical Board of California or other
17 professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or
18 civil proceeding.

19 **CONTINGENCY**

20 12.. Business and Professions Code section 2224, subdivision (b), provides, in pertinent
21 part, that the Medical Board "shall delegate to its executive director the authority to adopt a . . .
22 stipulation for surrender of a license."

23 12. This Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order shall be subject to
24 approval of the Executive Director on behalf of the Medical Board. The parties agree that this
25 Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order shall be submitted to the Executive
26 Director for her consideration in the above-entitled matter and, further, that the Executive
27 Director shall have a reasonable period of time in which to consider and act on this Stipulated
28 Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order after receiving it. By signing this stipulation,

1 respondent fully understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her agreement or seek to
2 rescind this stipulation prior to the time the Executive Director, on behalf of the Medical Board,
3 considers and acts upon it.

4 13. The parties agree that this Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order shall
5 be null and void and not binding upon the parties unless approved and adopted by the Executive
6 Director on behalf of the Board, except for this paragraph, which shall remain in full force and
7 effect. Respondent fully understands and agrees that in deciding whether or not to approve and
8 adopt this Stipulated Surrender of License, the Executive Director and/or the Board may receive
9 oral and written communications from its staff and/or the Attorney General's Office.
10 Communications pursuant to this paragraph shall not disqualify the Executive Director, the Board,
11 any member thereof, and/or any other person from future participation in this or any other matter
12 affecting or involving respondent. In the event that the Executive Director on behalf of the Board
13 does not, in her discretion, approve and adopt this Stipulated Surrender of License, with the
14 exception of this paragraph, it shall not become effective, shall be of no evidentiary value
15 whatsoever, and shall not be relied upon or introduced in any disciplinary action by either party
16 hereto. Respondent further agrees that should this Stipulated Surrender of License be rejected for
17 any reason by the Executive Director on behalf of the Board, respondent will assert no claim that
18 the Executive Director, the Board, or any member thereof, was prejudiced by its/his/her review,
19 discussion and/or consideration of this Stipulated Surrender of License or of any matter or matters
20 related hereto.

21 14. The parties understand and agree that Portable Document Format (PDF) and
22 facsimile copies of this Stipulated Surrender of License and Disciplinary Order, including
23 Portable Document Format (PDF) and facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and
24 effect as the originals.

25 15. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that
26 the Board may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Order:

27 ///

28 ///

ORDER

1
2 IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 39129, issued
3 to Respondent Robert M. Littman, M.D., is surrendered and accepted by the Board.

4 1. The surrender of Respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate and the
5 acceptance of the surrendered license by the Board shall constitute the imposition of discipline
6 against Respondent. This stipulation constitutes a record of the discipline and shall become a part
7 of Respondent's license history with the Board.

8 2. Respondent shall lose all rights and privileges as a Physician and Surgeon in
9 California as of May 31, 2019, the effective date of the Board's Decision and Order.

10 3. Respondent shall cause to be delivered to the Board his pocket license and, if one was
11 issued, his wall certificate on or before the effective date of the Decision and Order.

12 4. If Respondent ever files an application for licensure or a petition for reinstatement in
13 the State of California, the Board shall treat it as a petition for reinstatement. Respondent agrees
14 that he will not petition for reinstatement for at least two (2) years following the effective date of
15 the decision. Respondent must comply with all the laws, regulations and procedures for
16 reinstatement of a revoked or surrendered license in effect at the time the petition is filed, and all
17 of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 800-2017-036131 shall be deemed to
18 be true, correct and admitted by Respondent when the Board determines whether to grant or deny
19 the petition.

20 5. If Respondent should ever apply or reapply for a new license or certification, or
21 petition for reinstatement of a license, by any other health care licensing agency in the State of
22 California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation, No. 800-2017-036131 shall
23 be deemed to be true, correct, and admitted by Respondent for the purpose of any Statement of
24 Issues or any other proceeding seeking to deny or restrict licensure.

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1
2
3
4
5
6
7
8
9
10
11
12
13
14
15
16
17
18
19
20
21
22
23
24
25
26
27
28

ACCEPTANCE

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Surrender of License and Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney Nicole Irmer, Esq. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate. By signing this stipulation to surrender my license, I recognize that as of May 31, 2019, I will lose all rights and privileges to practice as a Physician and Surgeon in the State of California, and, if I have not already done so, I will also cause to be delivered to the Board both my license and wallet certificates on or before the effective date of the decision. I enter into this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

DATED: 03/19/2019

Robert Littman

ROBERT M. LITTMAN, M.D.
Respondent

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Robert M. Littman, M.D. the terms and conditions and other matters contained in this Stipulated Surrender of License and Order. I approve its form and content.

DATED: 03/19/2019

Nicole Irmer

NICOLE IRMER, ESQ.
Attorney for Respondent

ENDORSEMENT

The foregoing Stipulated Surrender of License and Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California of the Department of Consumer Affairs.

Dated: 3/19/19

Respectfully submitted,

XAVIER BECERRA
Attorney General of California
ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ
Supervising Deputy Attorney General

Keith C. Shaw

KEITH C. SHAW
Deputy Attorney General
Attorneys for Complainant

Exhibit A

Accusation No. 800-2017-036131

1 XAVIER BECERRA
Attorney General of California
2 ALEXANDRA M. ALVAREZ
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
3 KEITH C. SHAW
Deputy Attorney General
4 State Bar No. 227029
600 West Broadway, Suite 1800
5 San Diego, CA 92101
P.O. Box 85266
6 San Diego, CA 92186-5266
Telephone: (619) 738-9515
7 Facsimile: (619) 645-2012

8 *Attorneys for Complainant*

FILED
STATE OF CALIFORNIA
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
SACRAMENTO Nov 8 2018
BY R. P. [Signature] ANALYST

10 **BEFORE THE**
11 **MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA**
12 **DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS**
13 **STATE OF CALIFORNIA**

14 In the Matter of the Accusation Against:

Case No. 800-2017-036131

15 **Robert M. Littman, M.D.**

A C C U S A T I O N

16 6386 Alvarado Court, Suite 210
San Diego, CA 92120

17 **Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate**
18 **No. G 39129,**

19 Respondent.

20 Complainant alleges:

21 **PARTIES**

22 1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official
23 capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer
24 Affairs (Board).

25 2. On or about April 16, 1979, the Medical Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's
26 Certificate No. G 39129 to Robert M. Littman, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician's and
27 Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought
28 herein and will expire on March 31, 2019, unless renewed.

JURISDICTION

1
2 3. This Accusation is brought before the Medical Board of California (Board),
3 Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section
4 references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.

5 4. Section 725 of the Code states:

6 “(a) Repeated acts of clearly excessive prescribing, furnishing, dispensing, or administering
7 of drugs or treatment, repeated acts of clearly excessive use of diagnostic procedures, or repeated
8 acts of clearly excessive use of diagnostic or treatment facilities as determined by the standard of
9 the community of licensees is unprofessional conduct for a physician and surgeon, dentist,
10 podiatrist, psychologist, physical therapist, chiropractor, optometrist, speech-language
11 pathologist, or audiologist.

12 “(b) Any person who engages in repeated acts of clearly excessive prescribing or
13 administering of drugs or treatment is guilty of a misdemeanor and shall be punished by a fine of
14 not less than one hundred dollars (\$100) nor more than six hundred dollars (\$600), or by
15 imprisonment for a term of not less than 60 days nor more than 180 days, or by both that fine and
16 imprisonment.

17 “(c) A practitioner who has a medical basis for prescribing, furnishing, dispensing, or
18 administering dangerous drugs or prescription controlled substances shall not be subject to
19 disciplinary action or prosecution under this section.

20 “(d) No physician and surgeon shall be subject to disciplinary action pursuant to this section
21 for treating intractable pain in compliance with Section 2241.5.”

22 5. Section 2227 of the Code authorizes the Board to discipline a licensee and obtain
23 probation costs.

24 6. Section 2228 of the Code authorizes the Board to discipline a licensee by placing
25 them on probation.

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 7. Section 2234 of the Code, states in part:

2 “The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional
3 conduct. In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not
4 limited to, the following:

5 “(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the
6 violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter.

7 “(b) Gross negligence.

8 “(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or
9 omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from
10 the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.

11 “(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate
12 for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.

13 “(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that
14 constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a
15 reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee’s conduct departs from the
16 applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the
17 standard of care.

18 “(d) Incompetence.

19 8. Section 2242 of the Code states:

20 “(a) Prescribing, dispensing, or furnishing dangerous drugs as defined in Section 4022
21 without an appropriate prior examination and a medical indication, constitutes unprofessional
22 conduct.

23 “(b) No licensee shall be found to have committed unprofessional conduct within the
24 meaning of this section if, at the time the drugs were prescribed, dispensed, or furnished, any of
25 the following applies:

26 “(1) The licensee was a designated physician and surgeon or podiatrist serving in the
27 absence of the patient’s physician and surgeon or podiatrist, as the case may be, and if the drug
28

1. were prescribed, dispensed, or furnished only as necessary to maintain the patient until the return
2. of his or her practitioner, but in any case no longer than 72 hours.

3. “(2) The licensee transmitted the order for the drugs to a registered nurse or to a licensed
4. vocational nurse in an inpatient facility, and if both of the following conditions exist:

5. “(A) The practitioner had consulted with the registered nurse or licensed vocational nurse
6. who had reviewed the patient’s records.

7. “(B) The practitioner was designated as the practitioner to serve in the absence of the
8. patient’s physician and surgeon or podiatrist, as the case may be.

9. “(3) The licensee was a designated practitioner serving in the absence of the patient’s
10. physician and surgeon or podiatrist, as the case may be, and was in possession of or had utilized
11. the patient’s records and ordered the renewal of a medically indicated prescription for an amount
12. not exceeding the original prescription in strength or amount or for more than one refill.

13. “(4) The licensee was acting in accordance with Section 120582 of the Health and Safety
14. Code.”

15. 9. Section 2266 of the Code states: “The failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain
16. adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes
17. unprofessional conduct.”

18. 10. Section 2229 of the Code states that the protection of the public shall be the highest
19. priority for the Board in exercising their disciplinary authority. While attempts to rehabilitate a
20. licensee should be made when possible, Section 2229, subdivision (c), states that when
21. rehabilitation and protection are inconsistent, protection shall be paramount.

22. 11. Section 11165.1 of the Health and Safety Code states:

23. “(a)(1)(a)(i) A health care practitioner authorized to prescribe, order, administer, furnish, or
24. dispense Schedule II, Schedule III, or Schedule IV controlled substances pursuant to Section
25. 11150 shall, before July 1, 2016, or upon receipt of a federal Drug Enforcement Administration
26. (DEA) registration, whichever occurs later, submit an application developed by the department to
27. obtain approval to electronically access information regarding the controlled substance history of
28. a patient that is maintained by the department. Upon approval, the department shall release to that

1 practitioner the electronic history of controlled substances dispensed to an individual under his or
2 her care based on data contained in the CURES Prescription Drug Monitoring Program (PDMP).”

3 **PERTINENT DRUGS**

4 12. **Adderall**, a trade name for mixed salts of a single-entity amphetamine product
5 (dextroamphetamine sulphate, dextroamphetamine saccharate, amphetamine sulfate,
6 amphetamine aspartate), is a dangerous drug as defined in Business and Professions Code section
7 4022 and a schedule II controlled substance as defined by section 11055 of the Health and Safety
8 Code. Adderall is indicated for Attention Deficit Disorder with Hyperactivity and Narcolepsy for
9 Deficit Disorder with Hyperactivity, only in rare cases will it be necessary to exceed a total of 40
10 mg per day. For Narcolepsy, the usual dose is 5 mg to 60 mg per day in divided doses depending
11 on individual patient response. The DEA has identified amphetamines, such as Adderall, as a
12 drug of abuse. (Drugs of Abuse, DEA Resource Guide (2017 Edition), at p. 50.)

13 13. **Carisoprodol (Soma®)**, a Schedule IV controlled substance, is a muscle relaxer with
14 sedating effects primarily used to treat muscle pain. It is an addictive substance and may cause
15 withdrawal symptoms.

16 14. **Clonazepam**, known by the trade name Klonopin®, is an anticonvulsant of the
17 benzodiazepine class of drugs. It is a dangerous drug as defined in Business and Professions
18 Code section 4022 and a schedule IV controlled substance as defined by section 11057 of the
19 Health and Safety Code. It produces central nervous system depression and should be used with
20 caution with other central nervous system depressant drugs. Like other benzodiazepines, it can
21 produce psychological and physical dependence. Withdrawal symptoms similar to those noted
22 with barbiturates and alcohol have been noted upon abrupt discontinuance of clonazepam. The
23 initial dosage for adults should not exceed 1.5 mg per day divided in three doses. The DEA has
24 identified benzodiazepines, such as clonazepam, as a drug of abuse. (Drugs of Abuse, DEA
25 Resource Guide (2017 Edition), at p. 59.)

26 15. **Cogentin**, a benzotropine, is used to treat symptoms of Parkinson's disease or
27 involuntary tremors due to the side effects of certain psychiatric drugs.

28

1 16. **Lorazepam**, a benzodiazepine, is a centrally acting hypnotic-sedative that is a
2 Schedule IV controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision
3 (d), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022. When
4 properly prescribed and indicated, it is used for the management of anxiety disorders or for the
5 short term relief of anxiety or anxiety associated with depressive symptoms. Concomitant use of
6 lorazepam with opioids “may result in profound sedation, respiratory depression, coma, and
7 death.” The DEA has identified benzodiazepines, such as lorazepam, as a drug of abuse. (Drugs
8 of Abuse, DEA Resource Guide (2017 Edition), at p. 59.)

9 17. **Mirtazapine** is the generic name for Remeron®. It is an antidepressant used to treat
10 major depressive disorder. It is a dangerous drug as defined in section 4022.

11 18. **Olanzapine** (Zyprexa®) is an antipsychotic used to treat mental disorders, including
12 schizophrenia and bipolar disorder.

13 19. **Oxycodone HCL** (OxyContin®) is a Schedule II controlled substances pursuant to
14 Health and Safety Code section 11055, subdivision (b), and a dangerous drug pursuant to
15 Business and Professions Code section 4022. When properly prescribed and indicated,
16 Oxycodone HCL is used for the management of pain severe enough to require daily, around-the-
17 clock, long term opioid treatment for which alternative treatment options are inadequate. The
18 DEA has identified oxycodone as a drug of abuse. (Drugs of Abuse, A DEA Resource Guide
19 (2017 Edition), at p. 47.) The risk of respiratory depression and overdose is increased with the
20 concomitant use of benzodiazepines or when prescribed to patients with pre-existing respiratory
21 depression.

22 20. **Robaxin** is a muscle relaxer primarily used to treat muscle pain.

23 21. **Seroquel**, an antipsychotic, can be used to treat schizophrenia, bipolar disorder,
24 depression, as well as insomnia.

25 22. **Temazepam**, a benzodiazepine, is a centrally acting hypnotic-sedative that is a
26 Schedule IV controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision
27 (d), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022. When
28 properly prescribed and indicated, it is used to treat seizure disorders and panic disorders.

1 Concomitant use of temazepam with opioids “may result in profound sedation, respiratory
2 depression, coma, and death.” The Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) has identified
3 benzodiazepines, such as temazepam, as drug of abuse. (Drugs of Abuse, DEA Resource Guide
4 (2017 Edition), at p. 59.)

5 23. **Trazodone hydrochloride** is a triazolopyridine derivative antidepressant. It is a
6 dangerous drug as defined in section 4022.

7 24. **Vicodin®**, a benzodiazepine, is a centrally acting hypnotic-sedative that is a
8 Schedule IV controlled substance pursuant to Health and Safety Code section 11057, subdivision
9 (d), and a dangerous drug pursuant to Business and Professions Code section 4022. When
10 properly prescribed and indicated, it is used to treat pain and anxiety. It has a high risk for
11 addiction and dependence and can cause respiratory distress and death when taken in high doses
12 or when combined with other substances. The Drug Enforcement Administration (DEA) has
13 identified benzodiazepines, such as Vicodin, as drug of abuse. (Drugs of Abuse, DEA Resource
14 Guide (2017 Edition), at p. 59.)

15 25. **Zolpidem (Ambien®)**, a Schedule IV controlled substance, is a sedative primarily
16 used to treat insomnia. It is an addictive substance and users should avoid alcohol as serious
17 interactions may occur.

18 FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

19 (Gross Negligence)

20 26. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined
21 by section 2234, subdivision (b), of the Code, in that he committed gross negligence in his care
22 and treatment of a patient¹ (Patient), as more particularly alleged hereinafter:

23 27. According to Respondent’s certified medical records², Respondent, a psychiatrist,
24 first started treating Patient, a then-57-year old female, on or about February 8, 2012. Respondent
25 listed Patient’s primary complaints as major depression and generalized anxiety. Respondent
26 diagnosed Patient with major depression. He noted that she had no history of alcohol or

27 ¹ The patient is designated in this document as Patient to protect her privacy. Respondent
28 knows the name of the patient and can confirm her identity through discovery.

² Patient’s medical records are handwritten and illegible in parts.

1 substance abuse, and took Vicodin and Robaxin as needed. Respondent noted that Patient had
2 increased agoraphobia, had been unemployed for the past 10 years, slept just 2-3 hours each
3 night, and lost 20 pounds over the past year. Under family history, it is recorded that Patient's
4 mother has major depression, her sibling suffers from bipolar disorder, and her cousin committed
5 suicide.³ Respondent did not refer Patient for psychotherapy. Respondent did not request copies
6 of her previous medical records or consult with her treating physicians. Respondent started
7 Patient on clonazepam 1 mg #90⁴ and Adderall 20 mg #60. Respondent did not initially start
8 Patient with an antidepressant, and indicated that Patient had "bad luck" with the numerous
9 antidepressants she has taken in the past, but did not document which antidepressants Patient had
10 previously taken, nor the dose or length of time. Respondent indicated that Adderall was being
11 prescribed primarily for depression. Respondent did not check CURES for Patient's prior
12 prescriptions, which included hydrocodone, zolpidem, carisoprodol, lorazepam, and clonazepam,
13 all filled within weeks of Patient's initial office visit with Respondent. Respondent did not
14 measure Patient's height or weight, or measure her blood pressure or heart rate. There is no
15 record that Respondent discussed the side effects of Adderall or clonazepam with Patient.

16 28. On or about March 5, 2012, Patient had her second office visit with Respondent,
17 where he noted that she had an "excellent response" to Adderall 20 mg and clonazepam 1 mg at
18 three times per day. Respondent prescribed a 2-month supply of Adderall 20 mg #180 and
19 clonazepam 1 mg #180. Patient had also received a prescription for clonazepam 1 mg #60 from
20 another physician just three days before this appointment.

21 29. On or about April 17, 2012, there is a subsequent office visit, where Respondent
22 noted that Patient was having persistent sleep disruption and her medication is well tolerated. He
23 started her on temazepam 15 mg #60, 1-2 pills at bedtime, and continued Adderall at a dosage
24 rate of 40 mg in the morning, and 20 mg in the evening, which is the maximum recommended
25 daily dosage of Adderall. Respondent scheduled a return visit in 1-2 months. Per CURES,

26 ³ Patient's family history suggests the possibility of bipolar disorder and suggests a strong
27 family history of serious mood disorder. There is no indication that Respondent considered
28 bipolar disorder.

⁴ The starting dose of clonazepam is generally 0.5 mg/day. Respondent starts Patient at
the maximum dose, which is 3-6 times higher than the recommended starting dose.

1 Patient filled the clonazepam prescription that same day, then filled another clonazepam
2 prescription 18 days later, indicating that she was taking a significantly higher dose than
3 prescribed by Respondent. Respondent did not document whether he provided Patient with refills
4 and indicated that he ordinarily does not document refills in patient records when a patient calls
5 for a requested refill.

6 30. On or about June 11, 2012, Patient is seen by Respondent following a hospital visit
7 where she suffered fractured ribs, a pleural effusion, and a pneumothorax from an apparent fall.⁵
8 She was started on Seroquel XR 50 mg by her primary care physician (PCP). It is noted that she
9 had a decreased appetite and severe anxiety. There was no inquiry as to how Patient fell, her
10 persistent insomnia, or an attempt to contact her PCP to discuss the reason Seroquel was
11 prescribed or the causation of her injury. Had Respondent obtained Patient's hospital records
12 from her fall, he would have learned that there were several times that Patient was found
13 unarousable during admission to the hospital, and hospital staff believed she was abusing her
14 prescription medication. Respondent quadrupled Patient's dosage of Seroquel to 200 mg per day,
15 continued temazepam, continued Adderall 60 mg per day, and raised clonazepam to 1-2 mg three
16 times per day. The next day, Patient filled the prescription for clonazepam 1 mg #360 and
17 Adderall 20 mg #180. Patient's dosage of clonazepam had nearly doubled since her initial office
18 visit approximately four months earlier.

19 31. On or about July 26, 2012, Respondent notes for Patient's visit that she has
20 experienced weight loss since breaking her ribs and has persistent insomnia. He starts
21 mirtazapine 15-30 mg at bedtime. He continues Patient with clonazepam 1-2 mg three times per
22 day #360, Adderall 60 mg per day, and Seroquel XR 50-200 mg before bedtime. Respondent
23 indicated that 60 mg of Adderall is a "good dose" for patient's size and weight, even though
24 neither was documented in Patient's chart.⁶

25
26
27 ⁵ Falls present an increased risk with high doses of benzodiazepines and/or sedatives.

28 ⁶ According to Patient's autopsy report, she was 65.5 inches and weighed 118 pounds at the time of her death. She was on the low end of normal for body weight, yet was prescribed the maximum daily dosage of Adderall by Respondent.

1 32. On or about September 3, 2012, Respondent noted that Patient was still underweight
2 with persistent insomnia. Respondent added Cogentin 1-2 mg three time per day and Zyprexa 5-
3 10 mg as necessary, started trazodone 50-100 mg daily and mirtazapine 15-30 mg before bedtime,
4 continued Adderall 60 mg per day, and discontinued Seroquel XR. Clonazepam was not
5 mentioned, but another prescription of 1mg #360 was given to Patient. Respondent indicated that
6 Patient had stopped Seroquel on her own, but did not document the reasons. Patient has been
7 started on three new medications at this visit after being recently hospitalized for broken ribs from
8 a fall. A follow-up visit was not scheduled for 1-2 months.

9 33. On or about October 5, 2012, Patient had her last office visit with Respondent.
10 Respondent noted that she had improved, the "meds are well tolerated," and to return in two
11 months. He continued her medications without change. That same day, Patient filled a
12 prescription written by Respondent for clonazepam 2 mg #150.

13 34. Patient was found dead in her home on or about November 5, 2012. The official
14 cause of death was listed as "carisoprodol, lorazepam, oxycodone, zolpidem, and trazodone
15 toxicity" with "coronary artery atherosclerosis" listed as a contributing condition. Amphetamines
16 and clonazepam were also detected. Patient's friend was interviewed following her death, who
17 reported that Patient had a history of overmedicating, her speech was often slurred, and she had
18 sustained multiple falls. In June 2011, Patient had been appointed a county caretaker because of
19 multiple falls, chronic pain issues, and bipolar disorder.

20 35. Patient's CURES indicates that she was on high dosages of prescription
21 benzodiazepines and opiates since January 2009 through her death, and that she received these
22 prescriptions from multiple physicians concurrently, or "doctor shopped." While she was a
23 patient of Respondent, she was also receiving prescriptions on a regular basis for hydrocodone,
24 oxycodone, lorazepam, Zolpidem, carisoprodol, and clonazepam from numerous other
25 physicians, and filling these prescriptions at numerous pharmacies.

26 36. In an interview on or about September 19, 2018, Respondent indicated that he does
27 not conduct drug toxicology screenings on patients because the clinical interview at a patient's
28 first appointment provides him with all the information he needs. Respondent reported that he

1 now checks CURES regularly, but only for the past several months. He did not check CURES at
2 any time for Patient. He reported that he has yet to register with CURES. Respondent did not
3 consider tapering back Adderall despite Patient's persistent sleep problems and weight loss.
4 When asked if he would have done anything different in regards to his treatment of Patient,
5 Respondent stated, "Not that I can recall."

6 37. Respondent committed gross negligence in his care and treatment of Patient which
7 included, but was not limited to, the following:

- 8 (a) Respondent failed to obtain records or speak with Patient's treating
9 physicians following her hospital admission for fractured ribs, a pleural
10 effusion, and a pneumothorax resulting from a sustained fall;
- 11 (b) Respondent prescribed Adderall to a new patient diagnosed with major
12 depression;
- 13 (c) Respondent failed to document and monitor refill prescriptions,
14 including telephone refills, and include prescriptions with the patient
15 chart;
- 16 (d) Respondent failed to carefully monitor Patient's use of controlled
17 substances to ensure she did not overuse or abuse the medications; and
- 18 (e) Respondent started Patient on multiple sedating medications at once
19 without close monitoring.

20 **SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

21 **(Repeated Negligent Acts)**

22 38. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as
23 defined by section 2234, subdivision (c), of the Code, in that he committed repeated negligent
24 acts in his care and treatment of Patient, as more particularly alleged herein.

25 39. Respondent committed repeated negligent acts in his care and treatment of Patient
26 which included, but was not limited to, the following:

- 27 (a) Paragraphs 26 through 37, above, are hereby incorporated by reference
28 and realleged as if fully set forth herein;

- 1 (b) Respondent failed to document the details of Patient's previous
2 medication trials, including name of medication, dosage, duration,
3 benefit and side effects, and reason for discontinuation;
- 4 (c) Respondent failed to communicate with or obtain records from
5 Patient's other treating physicians at the beginning of treatment;
- 6 (d) Respondent started a new patient on a high dose of clonazepam rather
7 than the lowest dosage needed to stabilize the patient;
- 8 (e) Respondent failed to refer Patient to psychotherapy or other methods of
9 therapy, and instead treated Patient with medication alone;
- 10 (f) Respondent fails to document Patient's progress in a detailed,
11 quantitative way;
- 12 (g) Respondent failed to monitor Patient's weight, heart rate, and blood
13 pressure while prescribing Adderall;
- 14 (h) Respondent produced illegible medical records for Patient; and
- 15 (i) Respondent failed to learn from his clinical errors regarding his
16 treatment of Patient.

17 **THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

18 **(Repeated Acts of Clearly Excessive Prescribing)**

19 40. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as
20 defined by section 725, of the Code, in that he has committed repeated acts of clearly excessive
21 prescribing of drugs to Patient, as determined by the standard of the community of physicians, as
22 more particularly alleged in paragraphs 26 through 39, above, which are hereby incorporated by
23 reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

24 **FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

25 **(Failure to Maintain Adequate and Accurate Records)**

26 41. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as
27 defined by section 2266, of the Code, in that Respondent failed to maintain adequate and accurate
28 records regarding his care and treatment of Patient, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 26

1 through 40, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth
2 herein.

3 **FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

4 **(Failure to Register for CURES)**

5 42. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under section 11165.1,
6 subdivision (a)(1)(a)(i), of the Health and Safety Code, in that he failed to register for CURES as
7 required for a health care practitioner, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 26 through 41,
8 above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

9 **SIXTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE**

10 **(Prescribing Without an Appropriate Prior Examination and Medical Indication)**

11 43. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under section 2242, subdivision
12 (a), of the Code, in that he prescribed dangerous drugs without an appropriate prior examination
13 and a medical indication, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 26 through 42, above, which
14 are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

15 ///

16 ///

17 ///

18 ///

19 ///

20 ///

21 ///

22 ///

23 ///

24 ///

25 ///

26 ///

27 ///

28 ///

1 PRAYER

2 WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters herein alleged,
3 and that following the hearing, the Medical Board of California issue a decision:

4 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 39129, issued
5 to Robert M. Littman, M.D.;

6 2. Revoking, suspending or denying approval of Robert M. Littman, M.D.'s authority to
7 supervise physician assistants and advanced practice nurses;

8 3. Ordering Robert M. Littman, M.D., if placed on probation, to pay the Board the costs
9 of probation monitoring; and

10 4. Taking such other and further action as deemed necessary and proper.

11
12 DATED:
13 November 8, 2018


14 KIMBERLY KIRCHMEYER
15 Executive Director
16 Medical Board of California
17 Department of Consumer Affairs
18 State of California
19 Complainant

20 SD2018702212
21 71657669.docx
22
23
24
25
26
27
28