BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

|)) | |
|--------|-------------------------|
| .) | File No. 03-2002-132281 |
|) | |
|) | |
|)) | |
| | |

DECISION

The attached Stipulation and Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on March 29, 2004.

IT IS SOORDERED February 27, 2004.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

RONALD H. WENDER, M.D. Chair, Panel B

Division of Medical Quality

| 1 | | | | |
|----|---|-------------------------------------|--|--|
| 2 | - 11 | · | | |
| 3 | 1 | | | |
| 4 | 1 | | | |
| 5 | Telephone: (415) 703-5552 5 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 | | | |
| 6 | 6 Attorneys for Complainant | | | |
| 7 | 7 | | | |
| 8 | BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL OUALITY | | | |
| 9 | DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS | | | |
| 10 | | | | |
| 11 | li . | se No. 03 2002 132281 | | |
| 12 | 2 | | | |
| 13 | · II | PULATION AND ORDER | | |
| 14 | 4 | • | | |
| 15 | Physician and Surgeon Certificate No. G 63287 | | | |
| 16 | Respondent. | | | |
| 17 | 7 | | | |
| 18 | 8 IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AG | REED by and between the parties to | | |
| 19 | the above-entitled proceedings, that the following matters a | re true: | | |
| 20 | 1. Complainant, Ron Joseph, is the Executiv | ve Director of the Medical Board of | | |
| 21 | California, Department of Consumer Affairs ("Board") and | is represented by Bill Lockyer, | | |
| 22 | Attorney General of the State of California and by Susan K | . Meadows, Deputy Attorney General. | | |
| 23 | 2. Michael Ryan Trindle, M.D. ("responden | t") is represented by Kenneth L. | | |
| 24 | Freeman, Attorney at Law, 711 Van Ness Avenue, Suite 240, San Francisco, California, 94102. | | | |
| 25 | Respondent has been advised by his attorney, and is fully aware of the effect of this stipulation | | | |
| 26 | which respondent and his counsel have carefully read and for | ully understand. | | |
| 27 | 3. Respondent has received and read the Ar | nended Accusation (hereinafter | | |
| 28 | "Accusation") which is presently on file and pending in Cas | se Number 03 2002 132281 before the | | |

7

8

9 10

11

12 13

14

15 16

17

18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs (hereinafter the "Division"), a copy of which is attached as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

- 4. Respondent has carefully read, been fully advised by his counsel, and understands the charges and allegations in the Accusation and the effects of this Stipulation for Settlement.
- 5. Respondent is aware of his rights, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations, the right to confront and cross-examine witnesses who would testify against respondent, the right to testify and present evidence on his own behalf, as well as to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents, the right to contest the charges and allegations, and other rights which are accorded respondent pursuant to the California Administrative Procedure Act (Gov. Code, § 11500 et seq.) and other applicable laws, including the right to seek reconsideration, review by the superior court, and appellate review.
- 6. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth in paragraph 5 above.
- 7. For purposes of the settlement of the action pending against respondent in this matter, and to avoid a costly administrative hearing, respondent admits that the charges and allegations contained in the Accusation, if proven at trial, would constitute a basis for imposing discipline upon his physician's and surgeon's certificate under Business and Professions Code section 2234. Respondent hereby gives up his right to contest those charges and agrees to be bound by the Division's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.
- 8. The admissions made by respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board of California, or any other professional licensing agency is involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.
- 9. This stipulation shall be subject to the approval of the Division. Respondent understands and agrees that the Medical Board's staff and counsel for complainant may

communicate directly with the Division regarding this stipulation and settlement, without notice to or participation by respondent. If the Division fails to adopt this Stipulation for Settlement as its Order, the stipulation, except for this paragraph, shall be of no force or effect and shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties and the division shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this settlement.

- 10. The parties agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulation for Settlement, including facsimile signatures, shall have the same force and effect as originals.
- 11. This stipulation and order and the discipline imposed by the Board shall encompass any conviction that respondent might suffer as a result of the arrest and criminal charges referred to in the Third Cause For Discipline in the Amended Accusation. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Division shall, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

DISCIPLINARY ORDER

Based upon these recitals, IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED that the Division will issue and enter the following Order and Decision:

Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G 63287 issued to respondent Michael Ryan Trindle, M.D. is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and respondent is placed on probation for five (5) years upon the following terms and conditions.

12. ACTUAL SUSPENSION: As part of probation, respondent is suspended from the practice of medicine for a period of six months beginning the 16th day after the effective date of this decision.

13. CONTROLLED SUBSTANCE-TOTAL RESTRICTION

Respondent shall not order, prescribe, dispense, administer, or possess any controlled substances as defined in the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act.

Respondent shall not issue an oral or written recommendation or approval to a patient or a patient's primary caregiver for the possession or cultivation of marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 11362.5. If

respondent forms the medical opinion, after a good faith prior examination, that apatient's medical condition may benefit from the use of marijuana, respondent shall so inform the patient and shall refer the patient to another physician who, following a good faith examination, may independently issue a medically appropriate recommendation or approval for the possession or cultivation of marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient within the meaning of Health and Safety Code section 11362.5. In addition, respondent shall inform the patient or the patient's primary caregiver that respondent is prohibited from issuing a recommendation or approval for the possession or cultivation of marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient and that the patient or the patient's primary caregiver may not rely on respondent's statements to legally possess or cultivate marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient. Respondent shall fully document in the patient's chart that the patient or the patient's primary caregiver was so informed. Nothing in this condition prohibits respondent from providing the patient_or the patient's primary caregiver information about the possible medical benefits resulting from the use of marijuana.

14. CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES - SURRENDER OF DEA PERMIT

Respondent is prohibited from practicing medicine until respondent provides documentary proof to the Division or its designee that respondent's DEA permit has been surrendered to the Drug Enforcement Administration for cancellation, together with any state triplicate forms and all controlled substances order forms. Thereafter, respondent shall not reapply for a new DEA permit without the prior written consent of the Division or its designee.

15. CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES - ABSTAIN FROM USE

Respondent shall abstain completely from the personal use or possession of controlled substances as defined in the California Uniform Controlled Substances Act, dangerous drugs as defined by Business and Professions Code section 4022, and any drugs requiring a prescription. This prohibition does not apply to medications lawfully prescribed to respondent by another practitioner for a bona fide illness or condition.

Within 15 calendar days of receiving any lawful prescription medications, respondent shall notify the Division or its designee of the: issuing practitioner's name, address, and telephone number; medication name and strength; and issuing pharmacy name, address, and telephone number.

16. **ALCOHOL - ABSTAIN FROM USE**

1

2

3

4

5

6

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

Respondent shall abstain completely from the use of products or beverages containing alcohol.

17. **BIOLOGICAL FLUID TESTING**

Respondent shall immediately submit to biological fluid testing, at respondent's expense, upon the request of the Division or its designee. A certified copy of any laboratory test results may be received in evidence in any proceedings between the Board and the respondent. Failure to submit to, or failure to complete the required biological fluid testing, is a violation of probation.

18. **DIVERSION PROGRAM**

Within 30 calendar days from the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall enroll and participate in the Board's Diversion Program until the Diversion Program determines that further treatment and rehabilitation are no longer necessary. Upon enrollment, respondent shall execute a release authorizing the Diversion Program to notify the Division of the following: 1) respondent requires further treatment and rehabilitation; 2) respondent no longer requires treatment and rehabilitation; and 3) respondent may resume the practice of medicine. Respondent shall execute a release authorizing the Diversion Program to provide confirmation to the Division whenever the Diversion Program has determined that respondent shall cease the practice of medicine.

Within 5 calendar days after being notified by the Diversion Program of a determination that further treatment and rehabilitation are necessary, respondent shall notify the Division in writing. The Division shall retain continuing jurisdiction over respondent's license and the period of probation shall be extended until the Diversion Program determines that further treatment and rehabilitation are no longer necessary. Within 24 hours after being notified by the Diversion Program of a determination that respondent shall cease the practice of medicine, respondent shall notify the Division and respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine until notified in writing by the Division or its designee of the Diversion Program's determination that respondent may resume the practice of medicine. Failure to cooperate or comply with the Diversion Program requirements and recommendations, quitting the program without permission, or being expelled for cause is a violation of probation.

STANDARD CONDITIONS

19. **NOTIFICATION**

Prior to engaging in the practice of medicine the respondent shall provide a true copy of the Decision(s) and Accusation(s) to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to respondent, at any other facility where respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Division or its designee within 15 calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

20. SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS

During probation, respondent is prohibited from supervising physician assistants.

21. **OBEY ALL LAWS**

Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.

22. **QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS**

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

23. **PROBATION UNIT COMPLIANCE**

Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation unit. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Division informed of respondent's business and residence addresses. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Division or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

- -

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in respondent's place of residence. Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

Respondent shall immediately inform the Division or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) calendar days.

24. <u>INTERVIEW WITH THE DIVISION OR IT'S DESIGNEE -</u>

Respondent shall be available in person for interviews either at respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with the Division or its designee upon request at various intervals and either with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.

25. RESIDING OR PRACTICING OUT-OF-STATE

In the event respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding thirty calendar days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code.

All time spent in an intensive training program outside the State of California which has been approved by the Division or its designee shall be considered as time spent in the practice of medicine within the State. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California will relieve respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; Probation Unit Compliance; and Cost Recovery.

Respondent's license shall be automatically cancelled if respondent's periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California totals two years. However, respondent's license shall not be cancelled as long as respondent is residing and practicing

4

5

6 7

8

9

10

11 12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

medicine in another state of the United States and is on active probation with the medical licensing authority of that state, in which case the two year period shall begin on the date probation is completed or terminated in that state.

FAILURE TO PRACTICE MEDICINE - CALIFORNIA RESIDENT

In the event respondent resides in the State of California and for any reason respondent stops practicing medicine in California, respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing within 30 calendar days prior to the dates of non-practice and return to practice. Any period of non-practice within California, as defined in this condition, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term and does not relieve respondent of the responsibility to comply with the terms and conditions of probation. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding thirty calendar days in which respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code.

All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Division or its designee shall be considered time spent in the practice of medicine. For purposes of this condition, non-practice due to a Board-ordered suspension or in compliance with any other condition of probation, shall not be considered a period of non-practice.

Respondent's license shall be automatically cancelled if respondent resides in California and for a total of two years, fails to engage in California in any of the activities described in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052.

COMPLETION OF PROBATION 27.

Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., cost recovery, restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. Upon completion successful of probation, respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.

VIOLATION OF PROBATION 28.

Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension

Order is filed against respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

29. **COST RECOVERY**

Within 90 calendar days from the effective date of the Decision or other period agreed to by the Division or its designee, respondent shall reimburse the Division the amount of FIVE THOUSAND DOLLARS (\$5,000.00) for its investigative and prosecution costs. The filing of bankruptcy or period of non-practice by respondent shall not relieve the respondent his/her obligation to reimburse the Division for its costs.

30. LICENSE SURRENDER

Following the effective date of this Decision, if respondent ceases practicing due to retirement, health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may request the voluntary surrender of respondent's license. The Division reserves the right to evaluate respondent's request and to exercise its discretion whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, respondent shall within 15 calendar days deliver respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Division or its designee and respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation and the surrender of respondent's license shall be deemed disciplinary action. If respondent re-applies for a medical license, the application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.

31. PROBATION MONITORING COSTS

Respondent shall pay the costs associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Division, which may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California and delivered to the Division or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar year. Failure to pay costs within 30 calendar days of the due date is a violation of probation.

1 **ACCEPTANCE** 2 I, Michael Ryan Trindle, M.D., have carefully read the above stipulation. I enter into 3 it freely, voluntarily, with the advice of counsel, and with full knowledge of its force and effect. 4 I agree to be bound by the Order and Decision of the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board 5 of California. I agree that a facsimile copy of my signature to this stipulation and order 6 shall be binding/on me as if it were the original. 7 DATED: 8 9 Michael Ryan Trindle, MA Respondent 10 11 I concur in the stipulation and agree that a facsimile copy of my signature to this stipulation and order shall be binding as if it were the original. 12 13 DATED: 14 15 Kenneth L. Freeman Attorney for Respondent 16 17 I concur in the stipulation. 18 1-13-04 19 20 BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General 21 of the State of California 22 23 Deputy Attorney General 24 Attorneys for Complainant 25 26

Exhibit A: Amended Accusation

27

EXHIBIT A

| 1 2 | BILL LOCKYER, Attorney General of the State of California SUSAN K. MEADOWS, State Bar No. 115092 Deputy Attorney General | FILED STATE OF CALIFORNIA MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA | |
|--------|--|---|--|
| 3 | California Department of Justice 455 Golden Gate Avenue, Suite 11000 | MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA SACRAMENTO LECEMBER 1920 | |
| 4 | San Francisco, California 94102 | 7-11-8 | |
| 5 | Telephone: (415) 703-5552 Facsimile: (415) 703-5480 | | |
| 6 | Attorneys for Complainant | | |
| 7 | | | |
| 8 | BEFORE THE | | |
| 9 | DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA | | |
| 10 | | | |
| 11 | | | |
| 12 | In the Matter of the Accusation Against: | Case No. 03 2002 132281 | |
| 13 | MICHAEL RYAN TRINDLE, M.D. 611 Laurel Ave. | AMENDED ACCUSATION | |
| 14 | Millbrae, CA 94030 | | |
| 15 | Physician and Surgeon Certificate No. G 63287 | | |
| 16 | Respondent. | | |
| 17 | | J | |
| 18 | The Complainant alleges: | • | |
| 19 | PARTIE | <u>ES</u> | |
| 20 | 1. Complainant, Ronald Joseph, is the Executive Director of the Medical Board of | | |
| 21 | California ("Medical Board" or "Board") and brings this accusation solely in his official capacity. | | |
| 22 | 2. At all times material to this action, respondent, Michael Ryan Trindle, M.D. | | |
| 23 | ("respondent") has held physician and surgeon certificate No. G 63287, which was issued to him | | |
| 24 | by the Board on or about July 5, 1988. Unless renewed, the certificate will expire on July 31, | | |
| | by the board on or about July 5, 1768. Onless tenev | 2004. Said certificate has not been subject to previous disciplinary action by the Board. | |
| 25 | | | |
| 25 | | ous disciplinary action by the Board. | |
| | 2004. Said certificate has not been subject to previo | ous disciplinary action by the Board. | |
| - 26 | 2004. Said certificate has not been subject to previo | ous disciplinary action by the Board. FION ision of Medical Quality of the Medical | |

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

violation of any federal statute. . . or any of the statues or regulations of this state regulating

9. Section 2238 of the Business and Professions Code provides, in pertinent part, that a

dangerous drugs or controlled substances constitutes unprofessional conduct.

- 10. Welfare and Institutions Code section 14124.12 provides, in part, that a physician whose license has been placed on probation by the Medical Board shall not be reimbursed by Medi-Cal for "the type of surgical service or invasive procedure that gave rise to the probation."
- 11. Section 125.3 of the Code provides, in part, that the Board may request the administrative law judge to direct any licentiate found to have committed a violation or violations of the licensing act, to pay the Board a sum not to exceed the reasonable costs of the investigation and enforcement of the case.
- 12. Section 2227 (also part of Article 12) provides that if probation is imposed, the respondent may be ordered to pay the cost of probation monitoring.
- 13. This case was initiated upon receipt by the Board on March 21, 2002 of a Health Facility Reporting Form ("805") from The Permanente Medical Group, Inc., (hereinafter "TPMG") indicating that a summary suspension on the employment of respondent had been imposed, effective March 6, 2002, due to concerns about inconsistencies discovered in a routine audit of controlled substances and his unusual behavior. On March 18, 2002, while on suspension, Dr. Trindle was granted a leave of absence to attend a 30-day inpatient treatment program. No patient harm was reported. On June 3, 2002, the Board received a subsequent 805 report from TPMG indicating that respondent resigned from staff effective August 2, 2002.

DRUGS

- 14. Sufenta is a schedule II narcotic controlled substance as defined in Health & Safety Code Section 11055 (c)(25) and a dangerous drug. It is an opioid analgesic used as a primary analgesic agent, approximately 5-7 times as potent as Fentanyl.
- 15. Fentanyl is a schedule II narcotic controlled substance & dangerous drug. It is used primarily for pain control, and has a high potential for abuse leading to physical and psychological dependence.
- 16. Propofol (diprivan 1% injectable emulsion) is for intravenous administration. It is a dangerous drug and an intravenous sedative-hypnotic agent used in the induction and maintenance of anesthesia or sedation.

FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation of Drug Statutes and Unprofessional Conduct - Sections 2238 and 2234)

- 17. Respondent has been in the Diversion program since 1998 when he self-referred. The instant investigation commenced when the Board received information from TPMG through the 805 process that respondent, an anesthesiologist, had been removed from duty and placed on administrative leave due to concerns about inconsistencies revealed in a routine pharmacy audit of controlled substances and suspicious behavior. Respondent voluntarily submitted to a urine test at the request of TPMG on March 5, 2002 and that urine test came back positive for Fentanyl. Respondent was in the Board's Diversion Program during the time that he tested positive for Fentanyl.
- 18. On or about June 26, 2002, respondent was interviewed by the Medical Board investigator in San Jose, California. Respondent was very cooperative and told the investigator the following:
 - a) He suffers from chronic depression which became progressively worse during the 2001 holiday season;
 - b) On Christmas day (December 25) of 2001 he was on call, alone in the hospital, and in emotional pain. He relapsed that day. His relapse lasted approximately seventy days (until approximately March 5, 2002) and he admitted that his drug of choice during that time period was Sufenta. Respondent further admitted that he used Fentanyl and Propofol. Respondent admitted that he diverted the Sufenta, Fentanyl, and Propofol from the hospital by over stating wastage of Sufenta, Fentanyl, and Propofol. His diversion of these drugs were discovered by TPMG during a routine pharmacy audit that is performed for each anesthesia provider at the hospital.
 - c) Respondent could not recall specifics but stated that he was certain that he worked at the hospital while under the influence of controlled substances.
 - d) Respondent advised the investigator that after his termination from TPMG he entered a treatment program. At the interview, on June 26, 2002, respondent signed a Statement of Understanding to enter into the Medical Board's Diversion Program.

19. Based on the allegations in paragraphs and subparagraphs 17 and 18 above, inclusive, respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2238 and 2234 of the Code in that respondent violated, separately or jointly, the following state statutes governing dangerous drugs and controlled substances: (1) Section 4060 of the Business of Professions Code in that respondent possessed Sufenta, Fentanyl, and Propofol without having a valid prescription for such possession, and (2) Section 11173 of the Health and Safety Code in that respondent obtained Sufenta and Fentanyl, controlled substances, by fraud, deceit and misrepresentation, or subterfuge. Therefore, cause for discipline exists pursuant to section 2234 and section 2238 of the Code.

SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Violation of Section 2239 - Self-Administration of Controlled Substances)

- 20. The allegations of paragraphs 17 and 18, inclusive, are incorporated herein as if fully set forth.
- 21. Respondent's license is subject to disciplinary action under section 2239(a) in that respondent admitted that he had a substance abuse problem and that he relapsed while in the Board's Diversion Program. Respondent admitted that he diverted Sufenta, Fentanyl, and Propofol from the hospital for self-use and that he had gone to work at the hospital on occasion while under the influence of these controlled substances. Respondent's behavior as described above was injurious to himself and potentially injurious to the patients at the hospital that he was treating while under the influence of a controlled substance. Therefore, cause for discipline exists.

THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Section 2239 - Self Administration of Alcohol in Such a Manner as to be Dangerous or Injurious to Himself or the Public)

- 22. The facts and allegations of the First and Second Causes of Discipline are incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth.
- 23. After the initial Accusation was filed on July 17, 2003, respondent, while driving under the influence of alcohol hit another vehicle causing injury to the other driver and then he

2

3

4

5

7

8

9

28

(c) Dr. Trindle must undergo periodic random urine testing; and,

| .1 | (d) Dr. Trindle must continue in psychopharmacological treatment and | | |
|----|---|--|--|
| 2 | psychotherapy. Dr. Trindle should undergo psychotherapy more than once a week and should | | |
| 3 | undergo psychodynamic therapy at least twice per week for a period of time. | | |
| 4 | 29. Based upon the above recommendations of the psychiatric evaluator, respondent | | |
| 5 | must have restrictions placed on his medical license in order to practice safely and prevent future | | |
| 6 | relapses. Therefore, cause exists for discipline pursuant to section 822 of the Code. | | |
| 7 | <u>PRAYER</u> | | |
| 8 | WHEREFORE, complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters alleged above, | | |
| 9 | and that following the hearing, the division issue a decision: | | |
| 10 | 1. Revoking or suspending Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate Number | | |
| 11 | G 63287 issued to respondent Michael R. Trindle, M.D.; | | |
| 12 | 2. Ordering respondent to pay the division the actual and reasonable costs of the | | |
| 13 | investigation and enforcement of this case and, if placed on probation, the costs of probation | | |
| 14 | monitoring; | | |
| 15 | 3. Prohibiting respondent from continuing to be or becoming the supervisor of a | | |
| 16 | physician assistant; | | |
| 17 | 4. Taking such other and further action as the division deems necessary and proper. | | |
| 18 | DATED: December 19, 2003 | | |
| 19 | $\bigcap \bigwedge$ | | |
| 20 | RONALD JOSEPH, Executive Director | | |
| 21 | Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs | | |
| 22 | State of California | | |
| 23 | Complainant | | |
| 24 | | | |
| 25 | Trindle.Amended.Acc | | |
| 26 | | | |