# BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation and First Amended Accusation Against:	)	
DOUGLAS PETER MURPHY, M.D.	)	File No. 08-2004-158376
Physician's and Surgeon's	) .	
Certificate No. A-65282	)	
Respondent	) ) )	

#### **DECISION**

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Division of Medical Quality of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on November 5, 2007.

IT IS SO ORDERED October 5, 2007.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Barbara Yaroslavsky Cha

Panel B

Division of Medical Quality

I								
1	EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General							
2	of the State of California GAIL M. HEPPELL Supervising Deputy Attorney General ISA R. RODRIGUEZ, State Bar No. 104838 Deputy Attorney General California Department of Justice 2550 Mariposa Mall, Room 5090 Fresno, CA 93721							
3								
4								
5								
6	Telephone: (559) 477-1679 Facsimile: (559) 488-7387	·						
7	Attorneys for Complainant							
8	Auomeys for complainant	·						
9	BEFORE T	HE						
10	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY  MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA  DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS  STATE OF CALIFORNIA							
10								
12								
13	In the Matter of the Accusation and First	Case No. 08-2004-158376						
13	Amended Accusation Against:	OAH No. L-2007-020177						
15	DOUGLAS PETER MURPHY, M.D.	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND						
16	2598 Main Street Morro Bay CA 93442	DISCIPLINARY ORDER						
17	William Bay Off 75 112							
18	Physician and Surgeon Certificate No. A 65282							
19	Respondent.							
20	In the interest of a prompt and speedy settlen	nent of this matter, consistent with the public						
21	interest and the responsibility of the Medical Board	•						
22	to the following Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order which will be submitted to the							
23	Board for approval and adoption as the final disposition of the Accusation.							
24	PARTIES							
25	1. David T. Thornton (Complainant) is the Former Executive Director of the							
26	Medical Board of California. He brought this action solely in his official capacity and was							
27	represented in this matter by Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney General of the State of California,							
28	by Isa R. Rodriguez, Deputy Attorney General. The current Executive Director of the Medical							

Board of California is Barbara Johnston who is also represented in this matter by Edmund G. Brown Jr., Attorney General of the State of California, by Isa R. Rodriguez, Deputy Attorney General.

- 2. Respondent Douglas Peter Murphy, M.D. (Respondent) is represented in this proceeding by attorney Mark B. Connely, whose address is Hall, Hieatt & Connely, LLP 1319 Marsh Street, Second Floor, San Luis Obispo, CA 93401.
- 3. On or about May 22, 1998, the Medical Board of California issued Physician and Surgeon Certificate No. A 65282 to Douglas Peter Murphy, M.D. (Respondent). The license will expire on May 31, 2008, unless previously renewed.

#### **JURISDICTION**

4. Accusation No. 08-2004-158376 was filed before the Board, and is currently pending against Respondent. The Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on Respondent on October 25, 2006. Respondent timely filed his Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. The First Amended Accusation No. 08-2004-158376 was filed on or about August 23, 2007, and was timely contested by Respondent. A copy of Accusation No. 08-2004-158376<sup>1</sup> is attached as exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference.

#### ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, discussed with counsel, and fully understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 08-2004-158376. Respondent has also carefully read, discussed with counsel, and fully understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of his legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in the Accusation; the right to be represented by counsel at his own expense; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against him; the right to present evidence and to testify on his own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>1</sup>References to Accusation shall be deemed to include and refer to the First Amended Accusation.

and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws.

7. Respondent voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

#### **CULPABILITY**

- 8. Respondent does not contest that, at an administrative hearing, Complainant could establish a prima facie case with respect to the charge and allegations of boundary violations contained in the First Cause for Discipline in Accusation No. 08-2004-158376 and that he has thereby subjected his license to disciplinary action.
- 9. Respondent does not contest that, at an administrative hearing, Complainant could establish a prima facie case with respect to the charges and allegations of failing to maintain adequate and accurate medical records contained in the Fourth Cause for Discipline in Accusation No. 08-2004-158376 and that he thereby subjected his license to disciplinary action.
- 10. Respondent denies all other charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 08-2004-158376 and specifically denies any allegations of gross negligence.
- 11. This Stipulated Settlement and Decision resolves these matters and all matters presently known to the Board.
- 12. Nonetheless, Respondent understands and agrees that if he ever petitions for early termination or modification of probation, or if the Board ever petitions for revocation of probation, all the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 08-2004-158376 shall be deemed true, correct, and fully admitted by Respondent for the purposes of that proceeding or any other licensing proceeding involving Respondent in the State of California.
- 13. Respondent agrees that his Physician and Surgeon Certificate No. A 65282 is subject to discipline and he agrees to be bound by the Board's imposition of discipline as set forth in the Disciplinary Order below.

#### RESERVATION

14. The admissions made by Respondent herein are only for the purposes of this proceeding, or any other proceedings in which the Board or other professional licensing agency is

involved, and shall not be admissible in any other criminal or civil proceeding.

#### **CONTINGENCY**

15. This stipulation shall be subject to approval by the Division of Medical Quality. Respondent understands and agrees that counsel for Complainant and the staff of the Medical Board of California may communicate directly with the Division regarding this stipulation and surrender, without notice to or participation by Respondent or his counsel. By signing the stipulation, Respondent understands and agrees that he may not withdraw his agreement or seek to rescind the stipulation prior to the time the Division considers and acts upon it. If the Division fails to adopt this stipulation as its Decision and Order, the Stipulated Surrender and Disciplinary Order shall be of no force or effect, except for this paragraph, it shall be inadmissible in any legal action between the parties, and the Division shall not be disqualified from further action by having considered this matter.

#### OTHER MATTERS

16. The parties understand and agree that facsimile copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including facsimile signatures thereto, shall have the same force and effect as the originals.

#### **DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree that the Medical Board of California may, without further notice or formal proceeding, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician and Surgeon Certificate No. A 65282 issued to Douglas Peter Murphy, M.D. (Respondent) is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and Respondent is placed on probation for five (5) years on the following terms and conditions.

1. <u>EDUCATION COURSE</u> Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, and on an annual basis thereafter, Respondent shall submit to the Division or its designee for its prior approval educational program(s) or course(s) which shall not be less than 25 hours per year, for each year of probation. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be aimed at correcting any areas of deficient practice or knowledge and shall be Category I certified,

28 / / /

limited to classroom, conference, or seminar settings. At least 16 of the 25 hours per year shall be in the area of boundaries and/or patient relations. The educational program(s) or course(s) shall be at Respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the 25 hours of Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirement for renewal of licensure. Following the completion of each course, the Division or its designee may administer an examination to test Respondent's knowledge of the course. Respondent shall provide proof of attendance for 50 hours of continuing medical education of which 25 hours were in satisfaction of this condition.

2. <u>MEDICAL RECORD KEEPING COURSE</u> Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in medical record keeping, at Respondent's expense, approved in advance by the Division or its designee. Failure to successfully complete the course during the first 6 months of probation is a violation of probation.

A medical record keeping course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Division or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Division or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Division or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

3. <u>ETHICS COURSE</u> Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a course in ethics, at Respondent's expense, approved in advance by the Division or its designee. Failure to successfully complete the course during the first year of probation is a violation of probation.

An ethics course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Division or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been

approved by the Division or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Division or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a professional boundaries program, at Respondent's expense, equivalent to the Professional Boundaries Program, Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program at the University of California, San Diego School of Medicine ("Program"). Respondent, at the Program's discretion, shall undergo and complete the Program's assessment of Respondent's competency, mental health and/or neuropsychological performance, and at minimum, a 24 hour program of interactive education and training in the area of boundaries, which takes into account data obtained from the assessment and from the Decision(s), Accusation(s) and any other information that the Division or its designee deems relevant. The Program shall evaluate Respondent at the end of the training, and the Program shall provide any data from the assessment and training as well as the results of the evaluation to the Division or its designee.

Failure to complete the entire Program not later than six months after Respondent's initial enrollment shall constitute a violation of probation unless the Division or its designee agrees in writing to a later time for completion. Based on Respondent's performance in and evaluations from the assessment, education, and training, the Program shall advise the Division or its designee of its recommendation(s) for additional education, training, psychotherapy and other measures necessary to ensure that Respondent can practice medicine safely. Respondent shall comply with Program recommendations. At the completion of the Program, Respondent shall submit to a final evaluation. The Program shall provide the results of the evaluation to the Division or its designee.

The Program's determination whether or not Respondent successfully completed the Program shall be binding.

Failure to participate in and complete successfully all phases of the Program, as outlined above, is a violation of probation.

If Respondent fails to complete the Program within the designated time period,
Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine within 72 hours after being notified by the
Division or its designee that Respondent failed to complete the Program.

5. <u>CLINICAL TRAINING PROGRAM</u> Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, Respondent shall enroll in a clinical training or educational program equivalent to the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program (PACE) offered at the University of California - San Diego School of Medicine ("Program").

The Program shall consist of a Comprehensive Assessment program comprised of a two-day assessment of Respondent's physical and mental health; basic clinical and communication skills common to all clinicians; and medical knowledge, skill and judgment pertaining to Respondent's specialty or sub-specialty, and at minimum, a 40 hour program of clinical education in the area of practice in which Respondent was alleged to be deficient and which takes into account data obtained from the assessment, Decision(s), Accusation(s), and any other information that the Division or its designee deems relevant. Respondent shall pay all expenses associated with the clinical training program.

Based on Respondent's performance and test results in the assessment and clinical education, the Program will advise the Division or its designee of its recommendation(s) for the scope and length of any additional educational or clinical training, treatment for any medical condition, treatment for any psychological condition, or anything else affecting Respondent's practice of medicine. Respondent shall comply with Program recommendations.

At the completion of any additional educational or clinical training, Respondent shall submit to and pass an examination. The Program's determination whether or not Respondent passed the examination or successfully completed the Program shall be binding.

Respondent shall complete the Program not later than six months after Respondent's initial enrollment unless the Division or its designee agrees in writing to a later time for completion.

Failure to participate in and complete successfully all phases of the clinical training program outlined above is a violation of probation.

If Respondent fails to complete the clinical training program within the designated time period, Respondent shall cease the practice of medicine within 72 hours after being notified by the Division or its designee that Respondent failed to complete the clinical training program.

6. NOTIFICATION Prior to engaging in the practice of medicine, the Respondent shall provide a true copy of the Decision(s) and Accusation(s) to the Chief of Staff or the Chief Executive Officer at every hospital where privileges or membership are extended to Respondent, at any other facility where Respondent engages in the practice of medicine, including all physician and locum tenens registries or other similar agencies, and to the Chief Executive Officer at every insurance carrier which extends malpractice insurance coverage to Respondent. Respondent shall submit proof of compliance to the Division or its designee within 15 calendar days.

This condition shall apply to any change(s) in hospitals, other facilities or insurance carrier.

- 7. <u>SUPERVISION OF PHYSICIAN ASSISTANTS</u> During probation, Respondent is prohibited from supervising physician assistants.
- 8. <u>OBEY ALL LAWS</u> Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California, and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments and other orders.
- 9. <u>OUARTERLY DECLARATIONS</u> Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.
- 10. <u>PROBATION UNIT COMPLIANCE</u> Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation unit. Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Division informed of Respondent's business and residence addresses. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Division or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post

28 / / /

office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in Respondent's place of residence. Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

Respondent shall immediately inform the Division, or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than 30 calendar days.

- 11. <u>INTERVIEW WITH THE DIVISION, OR ITS DESIGNEE</u> Respondent shall be available in person for interviews either at Respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with the Division or its designee, upon request at various intervals, and either with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.
- should leave the State of California to reside or to practice, Respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding 30 calendar days in which Respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in Sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code.

All time spent in an intensive training program outside the State of California which has been approved by the Division or its designee shall be considered as time spent in the practice of medicine within the State. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term. Periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California will relieve Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; Probation Unit Compliance; and Cost Recovery.

7

8

12

13

11

14 15

16 17

18 19

20

21

22 23

24

25 26

27

28

Respondent's license shall be automatically canceled if Respondent's periods of temporary or permanent residence or practice outside California total two years. However, Respondent's license shall not be canceled as long as Respondent is residing and practicing medicine in another state of the United States and is on active probation with the medical licensing authority of that state, in which case the two year period shall begin on the date probation is completed or terminated in that state.

#### FAILURE TO PRACTICE MEDICINE - CALIFORNIA RESIDENT 13.

In the event Respondent resides in the State of California and for any reason Respondent stops practicing medicine in California, Respondent shall notify the Division or its designee in writing within 30 calendar days prior to the dates of non-practice and return to practice. Any period of non-practice within California, as defined in this condition, will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term and does not relieve Respondent of the responsibility to comply with the terms and conditions of probation. Non-practice is defined as any period of time exceeding 30 calendar days in which Respondent is not engaging in any activities defined in sections 2051 and 2052 of the Business and Professions Code.

All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Division or its designee shall be considered time spent in the practice of medicine. For purposes of this condition, non-practice due to a Board-ordered suspension or in compliance with any other condition of probation, shall not be considered a period of non-practice.

Respondent's license shall be automatically canceled if Respondent resides in California and for a total of two years, fails to engage in California in any of the activities described in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052.

- COMPLETION OF PROBATION Respondent shall comply with all financial 14. obligations (e.g., cost recovery, restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, Respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- VIOLATION OF PROBATION Failure to fully comply with any term or 15. condition of probation is a violation of probation. If Respondent violates probation in any

6

7

8

10 11

12 13

14 15

16

17 18

19

20 21

22

23

24 25

26

27 28 respect, the Division, after giving Respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against Respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

- LICENSE SURRENDER Following the effective date of this Decision, if 16. Respondent ceases practicing due to retirement, health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, Respondent may request the voluntary surrender of Respondent's license. The Division reserves the right to evaluate Respondent's request and to exercise its discretion whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, Respondent shall within 15 calendar days deliver Respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Division or its designee and Respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject to the terms and conditions of probation and the surrender of Respondent's license shall be deemed disciplinary action. If Respondent re-applies for a medical license, the application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate.
- PROBATION MONITORING COSTS Respondent shall pay the costs 17. associated with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Division, which are currently set at \$3,173.00, but may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California and delivered to the Division or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar year. Failure to pay costs within 30 calendar days of the due date is a violation of probation.

#### **ACCEPTANCE**

I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully discussed it with my attorney, Mark B. Connely. I understand the stipulation and the effect it will have on my Physician and Surgeon Certificate No. A 65282. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and

1	Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the							
2	Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.							
3	DATED:							
4								
5	DOUGLAS PETER MURPHY, M.D.							
6	Respondent							
7								
8	I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Douglas Peter Murphy, M.D. the term							
9	and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary							
10	Order. I approve its form and content.							
11	DATED:							
12								
13								
14	MARK B. CONNELY							
15	Attorney for Respondent							
16								
17								
18								
19								
20								
21								
22								
23								
24								
25								
26								
27	7							

Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree to be bound by the

Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California.

DATED:

8.27-07

DOUGLAS PETER MURPHY, M.D.

Respondent

1.1 1.2

and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary

Order. I approve its form and content.

I have read and fully discussed with Respondent Douglas Peter Murphy, M.D. the terms

DATED: 8-21-0)

MARK B. CONNELY Attorney for Respondent

## DOJ Matter ID: SA2006301105 95014481.wpd

#### **ENDORSEMENT**

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.

DATED: August 28, 2007

EDMUND G. BROWN JR., Attorney General of the State of California

GAIL M. HEPPELL Supervising Deputy Attorney General

Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant

STATE OF CALIFORNIA
MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
SACRAMENTO August 23, 20,07

EDMUND G. BROWN JR.
Attorney General of the State of California
GAIL M. HEPPELL
Supervising Deputy Attorney General
ISA R. RODRIGUEZ, State Bar No. 104838
Deputy Attorney General
California Department of Justice
2550 Mariposa Mall, Room 5090
Fresno, CA 93721
Telephone: (559) 477-1679
Facsimile: (559) 488-7387

Attorneys for Complainant

8

9

10

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

# BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Amended Accusation
Against:

DOUGLAS PETER MURPHY, M.D.

2598 Main Street
Morro Bay, CA 93442

Physician and Surgeon No. A 65282

Respondent.

Case No. 08-2004-158376

OAH No. L-2007-020177

FIRST AMENDED ACCUSATION

Complainant alleges:

#### **PARTIES**

- 1. Barbara Johnston (Complainant) brings this First Amended Accusation solely in her official capacity as the Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs.
- 2. On or about May 22, 1998, the Medical Board of California issued Physician and Surgeon Certificate Number A 65282 to Douglas Peter Murphy, M.D. (Respondent). The Physician and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges brought herein and will expire on May 31, 2008, unless renewed.

#### JURISDICTION

3. This Accusation and First Amended Accusation are brought before the Division

28

"(b) Gross negligence.

"(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or
omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct
departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
"(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate
for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.
"(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that
constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a
reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs
from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct
breach of the standard of care.
W. D. T.

- "(d) Incompetence.
- "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
- "(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate."
- 8. Section 2263 of the Code states: "The willful, unauthorized violation of professional confidence constitutes unprofessional conduct."

#### I. - PATIENT B.

### FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence/Repeated Negligence - Bus. & Prof. Code sec. 2234(b)/(c))

- 9. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action, under sections 2234(b) and/or 2234(c), in that he was grossly negligent and/or repeatedly negligent in the care he provided Patient B. The circumstances are as follows:
- 10. Patient B<sup>1</sup> began seeing Respondent on or about January 17, 2001, after her primary care provider retired. At that time, Respondent worked for Coastal Psychiatric Care on Portola Road in Atascadero, California. Patient B informed Respondent she was in ongoing psychotherapy with another psychotherapist and was seeing Respondent merely to obtain

<sup>1.</sup> Initials used to protect privacy. Name will be provided through discovery.

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

medications for sleeping and for panic disorder. Patient B refused permission for Respondent to contact the psychotherapist. Patient B also informed Respondent of her diagnosis of Dissociative Identity Disorder (DID) and Borderline Personality Disorder. Respondent diagnosed Patient B as having DID, along with Borderline Personality Disorder, Post-Traumatic Stress Disorder, Somatization Disorder, Somatoform Disorder, Major Depression, Dysthymic Disorder, Panic Disorder with Agoraphobia, Obsessive-Compulsive Disorder, Avoidant Personality Disorder, and Anorexia Nervosa.

- 11. Initially, Patient B wanted to see Respondent every two to three months for the purpose of obtaining needed medication checks. However, Respondent scheduled appointments for therapy starting at approximately monthly intervals then increased it to four to five times a week. This schedule lasted until approximately June 2, 2003, when Patient B informed Respondent that she wished to terminate therapy. Respondent did not respect Patient B's stated desire to terminate and kept communicating with her trying to continue the therapeutic relationship.
- 12. As therapy continued and the frequency of the sessions increased, Respondent became overly involved in Patient B's life and with her illness to the point of infatuation. Respondent would see Patient B outside the office at the "Rock" in Morro Bay, California and on "Chalk Mountain" ostensibly for therapy where they would have lunch together. Respondent took Patient B shopping and took her to his church. Respondent began buying gifts for Patient B including religious tapes, music CD's, and homemade cassette recordings. At times, Respondent would play his guitar and sing for Patient B during therapy sessions. Respondent began calling Patient B by telephone at home in the early morning and in the evening along with sending sometimes lengthy, almost daily emails. On the weekend, Respondent would be in contact with Patient B by pager, telephone, and email.
- 13. Respondent also became involved in Patient B's religious life and took her to his church in or about November 2001. There, he introduced her to Mrs. F.<sup>2</sup>, a woman he hoped

<sup>2.</sup> Initials used to protect privacy. Name will be provided through discovery.

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

 $\cdot 20$ 

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

would be friend Patient B and become a positive influence. Thereafter, Respondent called Mrs. F. and proceeded to tell her about Patient B's condition, mailed Mrs. F. information about DID, and asked Mrs. F. not to tell Patient B. Respondent also would call Mrs. F. and tell her about alternate personalities ("alters") he had encountered in Patient B's therapy and again, asked that Mrs. F. not tell Patient B. As Mrs. F. began to spend time with Patient B, she discovered that Patient B had never given consent, either written or verbal, for these disclosures and was uncomfortable with the situation. Mrs. F. advised Respondent he was no longer to tell her things she could not tell Patient B. Respondent also approached Mr. A., another friend of Patient B, in the same manner based on the concept of forming a "triangle team" to deal with Patient B's ongoing situation. Additionally, Respondent invited Mrs. F. to a therapy session with Patient B but Patient B was uncomfortable with the session and Mrs. F. never attended again.

- Patient B grew increasingly uncomfortable with the therapy provided by 14. Respondent and felt she was getting worse. However, Respondent kept telling her he was the only one able to treat her and he knew her better than anyone else. Patient B felt torn between believing Respondent, and thus being dependent on him, and her feeling that the more she saw him, the worse she felt.
- After a therapy session in which Respondent yelled at Patient B and scared her to 15. the point she hid behind a couch, she decided to terminate therapy with Respondent. On or about June 2, 2003, Mr. F.<sup>3</sup> accompanied Patient B to Respondent's office to be a witness to Patient B telling Respondent of her decision to terminate therapy with Respondent. (Note: Patient B had written a letter to Respondent telling him she was terminating therapy with him but she kept the letter and did not send it or show it to Respondent.) Respondent did not accept this notice of termination and attempted to continue to engage Patient B in treatment despite repeated requests from Patient B not to contact her anymore. Respondent continued sending frequent, long emails to Patient B, written anytime from the early morning to late at night, and trying to contact several "alters." Despite six written (emailed) requests that he stop contacting Patient B, Respondent

<sup>3.</sup> Initials used to protect privacy. Name will be provided through discovery.

persisted saying he would never "go away" and that he would leave Wednesdays open for Patient B to meet him at the "Rock" in Morro Bay. Respondent went so far as to email photos of the "Rock" to try and entice Patient B to go there. Respondent apparently did entice Patient B to go with him to "Chalk Mountain" sometime in September 2003, where he told Patient B he missed her, gave her a frontal hug and kissed her on the cheek. The last time Patient B met with Respondent was on or about November 5, 2003.

- 16. Respondent's conduct as set forth in paragraphs 10 through 15, above, has exposed his certificate to discipline for gross negligence and/or repeated negligence pursuant to Code sections 2234(b) and/or 2234(c), as follows:
  - a.) Respondent failed to maintain appropriate boundaries by having therapy in romantic secluded places such as the "Rock" and "Chalk Mountain" which led to confusion about the structure of therapy;
  - b.) Respondent failed to maintain appropriate boundaries, by having lunch with Patient B, playing his guitar for Patient B during therapy, going shopping with Patient B, and buying gifts for Patient B;
  - c.) Respondent failed to maintain appropriate boundaries by becoming overly intrusive and controlling in Patient B's daily and religious life by calling Patient B at her home from the early morning hours to the late evening hours, by repeatedly emailing Patient B over an extended period of time, by being in constant communication with Patient B by telephone, pager, and emails, by taking her to church twice and trying to enlist the services of church members in Patient B's therapy; and by losing therapeutic neutrality and detachment necessary for therapy and the patient's welfare;
  - d.) Respondent failed to consolidate and integrate the multiple "alters" or personalities by calling out or addressing all of the multiple "alters" or personalities in emails, cassette tape recording, and even in an emailed prayer;
  - e.) Respondent failed to maintain patient confidentiality and trust by telling and emailing Patient B's circle of friends about his therapeutic work with Patient B.

### 

4. Initials used to protect privacy.

#### II. - PATIENT T.B.

## FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Repeated Negligent Acts - Bus. Prof. Code sec 2234(c))

- 21. Patient T.B.<sup>4</sup>, a then almost 17 year-old-boy began seeing Respondent for sychiatric care on or about December 2, 2002, following discharge from an in-patient psychiatric unit. Immediately prior to his care by respondent, T.B. had been psychiatrically hospitalized for seven days following the ingestion of approximately 10 Benadryl tablets, in an apparent suicide attempt. T.B. had previously been diagnosed as suffering from Major Depressive Disorder, Single Episode, and was prescribed Effexor and Paxil. That diagnosis was revised during the psychiatric hospitalization to Bipolar Disorder, Mixed Moderate. Depakote for mood stabilization and Trazedone as needed for sleep were added to the Effexor and Paxil.
- 22. Initially, Respondent accepted T.B.'s diagnosis at psychiatric hospitalization discharge of Bipolar I Disorder, Most Recent Episode Depressed, Moderate, and continued the four medications. On or about April 22, 2003, Respondent changed T.B.'s diagnosis from Bipolar Disorder to Major Depressive Disorder. Over the next three months, Respondent weaned T.B. off of, and then discontinued, Depakote and Paxil. On or about August 13, 2003, T.B.'s medical records reflect a revised diagnosis of Major Depressive Disorder, Recurrent, Moderate and treatment with Effexor and Trazedone.
- 23. On or about September 10, 2003, Respondent documented a phone contact with R.B., T.B.'s father, noting depression and suicidal ideation within the last two weeks. On September 25, 2003, T.B. passed away due to suicide by acute Benadryl intoxication. On September 26, 2003, the medical records show an "Addendum of 9-26-03" to the September 10, 2003, phone contact. The Addendum was initialed by Respondent and indicated Respondent's recommendation that an appointment for T.B. be made "ASAP." However, the medical records do not document at the time of the September 10, 2003, phone contact any recommendation to R.B. that T.B. be seen immediately for evaluation nor is there any documentation during the two weeks prior to the death

- 1	,							
1	4.	Taking such o	ther and	l further acti	on as deen	ned neces	ssary ar	nd proper.
2	DATED: _	August 23,	2007	7			^	
3	-			PAR BARA	A. A.	dizue	4, D.	A. C.
4			+OV	Executive I Medical Bo	Director			
5				State of Ca	lifornia	понна		
6				Complaina	IIL			
7	,							
8					,			
9								
10								
11	95014603							
12	SA2006301105							
13								
14								
15				. '				
16		•						
17		•						
18				·				
19								
20								
21								•
22								
23								
24								
25								