1	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California		
2	MICHAEL P. SIPE Deputy Attorney General, State Bar No. 47150		
3	Deputy Attorney General, State Bur No. 17201  Department of Justice  110 West A Street, Suite 700		
4	Post Office Box 85266 San Diego, California 92186-5266		
5	Telephone: (619) 238-3391		
6	Attorneys for Complainant		
7			
8	BEFORE THE		
9	DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY		
10	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA		
11	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS		
12	STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
13	•		
14	In the Matter of the Accusation and ) NO. D-4755 Petition to Revoke Probation )		
15	Against: ) STIPULATION IN		
16	ROBERT WARREN ADAMS, M.D. SETTLEMENT AND DECISION		
17	6380 Palm Avenue ) Highland, California 92346 )		
18	Physician's and Surgeon's )		
19	Certificate No. A-20726,		
20	Respondent. )		
21	In the interest of a prompt and speedy settlement of		
22	this matter, consistent with the public interest and the		
23	responsibility of the Division of Medical Quality, Medical Board		
24	of California, Department of Consumer Affairs ("Board") the		
25	parties submit this Stipulation and Decision to the Board for its		
26	approval and adoption as the final disposition of the Accusation		
27	The parties stipulate the following is true:		

- 2. At all times relevant herein, respondent has been licensed by the Medical Board of California under Physician's and Surgeon's License No. A-20726.
- 3. Respondent is not represented by counsel in this matter. Respondent fully understands his right to obtain counsel himself to represent him in this matter. Respondent has chosen to waive that right and to represent himself.
- 4. Respondent has carefully read and is fully aware of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation No. D-4755 on file with the Board.

  Respondent understands the nature of the charges alleged in the Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation as constituting causes for imposing discipline upon his Physician and Surgeon License.
- 5. Respondent is fully aware of his right to a hearing on the charges and allegations contained in said Accusation, his right to confront and cross-examine witnesses who may testify against him, his right to be represented by an attorney, his right to produce witnesses on his behalf or to testify himself. Respondent understands his right to reconsideration, appeal and

all other rights which are accorded to him pursuant to the California Business and Professions Code and Government Code and freely and voluntarily waives such rights.

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 6. Respondent admits the charges contained in Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation No. D-4577 as Respondent specifically admits that he was originally placed on probation by the Board in 1971 for abuse of alcoholic beverages; that his license was revoked in 1977 for continuing problems with alcoholic beverages; that on October 24, 1977, he again was placed on license probation for a period of 15 years on the condition that he completely abstain from use of alcoholic beverages in any form; that beginning in August 1989 he began using alcoholic beverages again; that on November 26, 1989, he was arrested for driving a vehicle while intoxicated in Oceano, California, and a breath test showed a blood alcohol level of .11; that on February 16, 1990, respondent pled no contest to the criminal charge of reckless driving as an alcohol related offense, all in violation of his license probation with the Board.
- 7. Respondent admits the truth of each and every allegation of the Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation No. D-4755, and agrees that respondent has thereby subjected his license to discipline. Respondent agrees to the Board's imposition of penalty as set out herein in the Order below. A copy of Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation No. D-4755 is attached hereto and incorporated herein by reference as though fully set forth.

- 8. The admissions, waivers and recitals set forth above are for the purpose of this stipulation only, and may not be used for any other purpose or in any other proceeding.
- 9. Respondent understands that by reason of the waivers and admissions set forth hereinabove, he is enabling the Division of Medical Quality to enter the following order from this stipulation without further process. Should the Division of Medical Quality not adopt this stipulation and order as its decision in this matter, the waivers and admissions between the parties shall have no force or effect.

ORDER

Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A20726 issued to respondent, Robert Warren Adams, M.D., is revoked, provided, however, that the revocation is stayed and respondent is placed on probation for ten years upon the following terms and conditions:

## CONDITIONS

- 1. Within 30 days of the effective date of this decision, respondent shall enroll and participate in the Division's Diversion Program until the Division determines that further treatment and rehabilitation is no longer necessary. Quitting the program without permission or being expelled for cause shall constitute a violation of probation by respondent.
- 2. Respondent shall continue to participate in Alcoholics Anonymous at least twice weekly until released by the Division.

27 | ///

3. Respondent shall completely abstain from the use of alcohol in any form.

- 4. Upon at least fifteen (15) days notice from the Division, respondent shall meet with a medical consultant of the Division at least once each year and not exceeding twelve times per year.
- 5. Respondent shall cooperate with the medical consultant and any authorized representative of the Division.
- 6. Respondent shall immediately submit to biological fluid testing, at respondent's cost, upon the request of the Division or its designee.
- 7. He shall practice only in a supervised and structured environment approved in advance by the Division. In the event the Division approves a change in the place of his practice, the Division may require additional appropriate terms and conditions of probation.
- 8. He shall not enter any place serving alcoholic beverages.
- 9. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, and all rules governing the practice of medicine in California.
- 10. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Division, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.
- 11. Respondent shall comply with the Division's probation surveillance program.

12. The period of probation shall not run during the time respondent is residing or practicing outside the jurisdiction of California. If, during probation, respondent moves out of the jurisdiction of California to reside or practice elsewhere, respondent is required to immediately notify the Division in writing of the date of departure, and the date of return, if any.

- 13. Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate will be fully restored.
- 14. If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Division, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an accusation or petition to revoke probation is filed against respondent during probation, the Division shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.

I have read the above Stipulation and Order, understand their terms, and agree in all respects thereto.

Date: June 15, 1992.

DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California

MICHAEL P. SIPE

Deputy Attorney General

Attorneys for Complainant Medical Board of California Division of Medical Quality Department of Consumer Affairs State of California

I have read the above document, and I fully understand, accept and consent to all of the provisions of the above Stipulation and Order. Dated: Respondent 

## DECISION AND ORDER OF THE BOARD

Made this 24th day of September , 1992.

FOR THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA THERESA CLAASEN

Secretary/Treasurer

11	·		
ı	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California		
2	MICHAEL P. SIPE, Deputy Attorney General		
3	Department of Justice		
4	110 West "A" Street, Suite 700 San Diego, California 92101		
5	Telephone: (619) 238-3391		
6	Attorneys for Complainant		
7			
8	BEFORE THE DIVISION OF MEDICAL QUALITY MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA		
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
10			
11	In the Matter of the Accusation and) Petition To Revoke Probation	<b>Case No.</b> D-4755	
12	Against:		
13	ROBERT WARREN ADAMS, M.D. ) 6380 Palm Avenue )	ACCUSATION	
14	Highland, California 92346 )	&	
15	California Physician's and )	PETITION TO REVOKE PROBATION	
16	Surgeon's Certificate ) No. A20726	·	
17	Respondent.		
18			
19	COMES NOW Complainant Kenneth J. Wagstaff, and as cause		
20	for disciplinary action against the above-encaptioned Respondent,		
21	and as cause for revoking his probationary status with the Board,		
22	charges and alleges as follows.		
23	1. Complainant is the Executive Director of the		
24	Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs,		
25			
26	files this Accusation and Petition to Revoke Probation solely in		
27			

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

3. <u>Disciplinary History</u>. Respondent has the following history of discipline with the Board giving rise to his current probationary status:

1971: First Probation. In or about 1971, Respondent was charged in an Accusation with having demonstrated unprofessional conduct as defined in former section 2390 [now, § 2239(a)] of the Medical Practice Act, by reason of his having used alcoholic beverages to such an extent and in such a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to himself, other persons, or the public. The circumstances undergirding the charges were that (i) on three separate occasions while under the influence of an intoxicating liquor, Respondent had driven a vehicle in an erratic and careless manner so as to be dangerous to himself, to others, and to the public; and (ii) that for one of them Respondent was convicted of driving under the influence of alcohol in violation of former section 23102 (now, § 23152) of the Vehicle Code. A hearing was held on the matter (Case No. D-1265) and Respondent was found guilty of unprofessional conduct as charged. As a result, by Decision and Order of

22

23

24

25

26

27

the Board dated October 28, 1971, Respondent was placed on five years Probation under certain terms and conditions, one of which was that he was to comply with all laws of the State of California.

1976-77: Revocation of Probation & Subsequent Restoration of License Under Second and Current Probation. On May 25, 1976, an Accusation and Petition To Revoke Probation was filed against Respondent. It charged him with unprofessional conduct again under section 2390, for having used alcohol in such a manner as to be injurious to himself or to others, and to an extent that his ability to safely conduct the practice of medicine was impaired; and (b) it sought revocation of his Probation for having violated the above-mentioned condition of Probation. The circumstances undergirding the charges were that (i) on July 31, 1975, Respondent had reported to work and made his rounds while under the influence of alcohol, and (ii) on April 15, 1974, Respondent had been found guilty by a jury in Municipal Court of the misdemeanor offense of driving while under the influence of an intoxicating liquor, causing injury to another, a violation of former section 23101 (now, § 23153) of the State Vehicle Code.

A hearing was held on the matter following which Respondent's Certificate of Licensure was revoked "until such time as [he could] produce evidence satisfactory to the Board ... that he [was] no longer addicted to the use of alcohol in any form." (Decision (Apr. 28, 1977), Case No.

2

3

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 4. <u>Jurisdiction</u>. Section 2220 of California's Business and Professions Code [hereinafter, "the Code"] provides, in pertinent part, that the Division of Medical Quality may take action against all persons guilty of violating any of the provisions of the Medical Practice Act, i.e., Chapter 5 of Division 2 of the Code. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee whose matter has been heard by the Division of Medical Quality, by a medical quality review committee or a panel of such committee, or by an administrative law judge, or whose default has been entered, and who is found guilty may: (a) have his or her certificate revoked upon order of the division; (b) have his or her right to practice suspended for a period not to exceed one year upon order of the division or a committee or panel thereof; (c) be placed on probation upon order of the division or a committee or panel thereof; (d) be publicly reprimanded by the division or a committee or panel thereof; (e) have such other action taken in relation to discipline as the division, a committee or panel thereof, or an administrative law judge may deem proper.
  - 5. Summary of Allegations. This Accusation and

Petition To Revoke Probation is brought, and Respondent is subject to disciplinary action and to having his probationary status with the Board revoked, because Respondent has again demonstrated unprofessional conduct by his intemperate use of alcohol (§ 2239, formerly, § 2390), and because Respondent has thereby violated the aforementioned condition of his probation calling for him to completely abstain from the use of alcohol in any form.

## ALLEGATIONS IN SUPPORT OF ACCUSATION

6. Section 2234 of the Medical Practice Act provides that the Division of Medical Quality shall take action against any licensee who is guilty of unprofessional conduct. Section 2239 provides that the unprofessional conduct for which the Division of Medical Quality may discipline a licentiate includes the following:

"The use ... of alcoholic beverages, to the extent, or in such a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to the licensee, or to any other person or to the public..."

7. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to section 2234 for unprofessional conduct as defined by section 2239, because Respondent has again used alcoholic beverages in such a manner as to be dangerous or injurious to himself, to other persons, or to the public. The circumstances are as follows:

On or about November 26, 1989, Respondent while intoxicated operated a motor vehicle on the beach in the Pismo Dunes State Vehicular Recreation Area in Oceano, California, at a speed (30 mph) that was twice the posted

officer Tolin) with the State Department of Parks and Recreation. The peace officer noted that Respondent had a moderate odor of alcohol on his breath and watery glassy eyes, and that he spoke with some slurred speech. The Officer had also seen an open bottle of vodka on the front passenger seat, with about 2 or 3 ounces left in it; a subsequent search of the vehicle also found an open can of beer that was about 1/3 full.

Officer Tolin administered a series of field sobriety coordination tests to Respondent. Respondent could not successfully complete the one leg stand or the heel-toe walk. Officer Tolin placed Respondent under arrest for driving under the influence of alcohol and transported him to the Ranger Station where he administered a breath test. The resulting reading was a blood alcohol level of .11.

As a consequence, Respondent was charged in San Luis Obispo County Municipal Court in a four count Complaint (Case No. M000149311). On February 16, 1990, Respondent pled no contest (nolo contendere) to violating section 23103 of the Vehicle Code, to wit, reckless driving, i.e., driving in willful or wanton disregard for the safety of persons or property. The Court found Respondent guilty thereon and also found that the offense was alcohol related. (Cf. Veh. Code, § 23103.5<sup>±/</sup>

<sup>\*</sup>Section 23103.5 of the Vehicle Code provides that when the prosecution agrees to a person pleading guilty or nolo contendere to a charge of violating section 23103 [reckless driving] as a

- 8. As mentioned hereinabove at paragraph 3, by
  Decision of the Board dated October 27, 1977, Respondent was
  placed on Probation for fifteen (15) years under certain terms
  and conditions, among which was that
  - "7. He shall completely abstain from the use of alcohol in any form."
- 9. Respondent's current probationary status with the Board is subject to revocation because he has violated condition #7 of his Probation in that he has not completely abstained from the use of alcohol during his probationary period, as is more particularly alleged as follows:
  - A. In mid-August 1989, Respondent had a "relapse" from a six-years period of sobriety and started drinking one to three bottles of beer a night. As a result, he intensified his AA involvement, attending meetings 3-5 times weekly. However, in mid-October his wife was diagnosed with a lymphoma, underwent surgery on November 1<sup>st</sup> and thereafter began chemotherapy. Respondent forgot his AA program and started drinking heavily again.
  - B. The matters set forth above at paragraph 9 disclose that on or about November 26, 1989, Respondent consumed a sufficient quantity of alcohol to raise his blood alcohol

substitute for an original charge of violating section 23152 of the Code [driving under the influence of alcohol/driving with a blood alcohol level of more than .10% g/ml.], the prosecution must state a factual basis as to whether or not there had been consumption of any alcoholic beverage by the defendant in connection with the offense. The Municipal Court Complaint against Respondent had originally charged him with violating section 23152.

level to .11, and thereafter drove his vehicle in an unlawful manner. When apprehended by a peace officer, two open bottles of alcohol were found in his vehicle.

Respondent was unable to pass at least two of the field sobriety coordination tests administered by the officer.

Respondent was arrested and was subsequently convicted, upon his plea of nolo contendere, of reckless driving, or driving in willful or wanton disregard for the safety of persons or property. The Court found the offense to be alcohol related.

WHEREFORE, Complainant requests that a hearing be held on the matters alleged herein, and that following said hearing, the Board issue a decision:

- Revoking the Probationary Status of Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A20726 heretofore issued to respondent Robert Warren Adams, M.D.; and/or
- 2. Taking such other and further action as the Board deems meet in the premises.

DATED: June 5, 1992

KENNETH J. WAGSTAF

Executive Director

Medical Board of California Department of Consumer Affairs State of California

Complainant