1	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California SANFORD H. FELDMAN, Deputy Attorney General, State Bar No. 47775 Department of Justice							
2								
3								
4	110 West A Street, Suite 1100 Post Office Box 85266							
5	San Diego, California 92186-5266 Telephone: (619) 645-2079							
6	Attorneys for Complainant							
7								
8	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA							
9	DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA							
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA							
11	In the Matter of the Accusation) No. 09-93-34741 Against:							
12	DENNIS R. L'ARDENT) DEFAULT DECISION							
13	P.O. Box 71137) Las Vegas, Nevada 89170) [Gov. Code \$11520]							
14	j , j							
15	Physician's and Surgeon's) Certificate No. G31487)							
16	Respondent.)							
17	·							
18	FINDINGS OF FACT							
19	1. On or about May 17, 1995, Complainant Dixon Arnett,							
20	in his official capacity as Executive Director of the Medical							
21	Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of							
22	California ("Board"), filed Accusation No. 09-93-34741 against							
23	Dennis R. L'Ardent ("respondent"). A copy of the Accusation is							
24	submitted herewith as Exhibit A.							
25	2. On or about May 17, 1995, Christine A. Isenagle, an							
26	employee of the Office of the Attorney General, sent by first							
27	class mail, a copy of Accusation No. 09-93-34741, Statement to							

Respondent, Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7, two copies of the Notice of Defense form, and a Request for Discovery, to respondent's address of record with the Board which was and is P.O. Box 71137, Las Vegas, Nevada 89170. The same day, copies of the foregoing documents were sent, by first class mail, to Laurence Wilson, an attorney who represented respondent in connection with an interim suspension hearing relating to some of the same charges alleged in the Accusation. Subsequently, the aforementioned documents, which had been mailed to respondent at his address of record on May 17, 1995, were returned to the Office of the Attorney General by the U.S. Postal Service, marked "BOX CLOSED UNABLE TO FORWARD RETURN TO SENDER". The above-described service was effective as a matter of law pursuant to the provisions of California Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c).

3. On or about May 25, 1995, counsel for complainant advised Laurence Wilson, counsel for respondent in connection with the interim suspension proceeding, that the documents mailed to respondent at his address of record had been returned. Mr. Wilson advised counsel for respondent that a current valid address for respondent was P.O. Box 19529, Jean, Nevada 89019. Accordingly, on or about May 25, 1995, Christine A. Isenagle, an employee of the Office of the Attorney General, sent by certified mail, a copy of Accusation No. 09-93-34741, Statement to Respondent, Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7, two copies of the Notice of Defense form, and a Request for Discovery, to respondent at P.O. Box 19529, Jean, Nevada

89019. On June 15, 1995, the aforementioned documents were returned, to the Office of the Attorney General by the U.S. Postal Service, marked "Unclaimed".

1

2

3

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

- 4. On or about June 2, 1995, Christine A. Isenagle, an employee of the Office of the Attorney General, sent by certified mail, a copy of Accusation No. 09-93-34741, Statement to Respondent, Government Code sections 11507.5, 11507.6, and 11507.7, two copies of the Notice of Defense form, and a Request for Discovery, to respondent's address of record with the Board which was and is P.O. Box 71137, Las Vegas, Nevada 89170. Subsequently, the aforementioned documents, which had been mailed, certified, to respondent at his address of record on June 2, 1995, were returned to the Office of the Attorney General by the U.S. Postal Service, marked "RETURN TO SENDER BOX CLOSED NO ORDER" . The above-described service was effective as a matter of law pursuant to the provisions of California Government Code section 11505, subdivision (c). A copy of each envelope of the returned mailing is submitted herewith as Exhibit B cumulatively.
- 5. On February 18, 1976, the Board issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. G31487 to respondent. At all times relevant herein, said Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect, except until May 9, 1995, when, following a hearing before an Administrative Law Judge, an interim order suspending respondent's license was entered. A copy of the interim order and some of the documents and

9

10

11

12

1.3

14 15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

declarations filed in support thereof and opposition thereto are submitted herewith cumulatively as Exhibit C.

- California Business and Professions Code section 6. 11506 provides, in pertinent part:
 - "(a) Within 15 days after service upon him of the accusation, the respondent may file with the agency a notice of defense"
 - "(b) The respondent shall be entitled to a hearing on the merits if he files a notice of defense, and any such notice shall be deemed a specific denial of all parts of the accusation not expressly admitted. Failure to file such notice shall constitute a waiver of respondent's right to a hearing, but the agency in its discretion may nevertheless grant a hearing...."
- Respondent failed to file a Notice of Defense 7. within 15 days after service upon him of the Accusation, both at his address of record with the Board and at an address provided by his counsel in connection with the interim suspension proceeding, and therefore waived his right to a hearing on the merits of Accusation No. 09-93-34741.
- California Government Code section 11520 provides, in pertinent part:
 - "(a) If the respondent fails to file a notice of defense or to appear at the hearing, the agency may take action based upon the respondent's express admissions or upon other evidence and affidavits may be used as evidence without any notice to respondent; ... "

- 9. The Medical Board of California, Division of Medical Quality, Department of Consumer Affairs, is authorized to revoke respondent's Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate pursuant to the following provisions of the California Business and Professions Code:
 - a. Section 2227 provides that the Board may revoke, suspend for a period not to exceed one year, or place on probation, the license of any licensee who has been found guilty under the Medical Practice Act.
 - b. Section 2234 provides that unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:
 - "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, or assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate, any provision of this chapter.
 - (b) Gross negligence.
 - (c) Repeated negligent acts.
 - (d) Incompetence.
 - (e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon."
 - c. Section 726 provides that the commission of any act of sexual abuse, misconduct, or relations with a patient, client, or customer which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the occupation for which a license was issued constitutes

unprofessional conduct and grounds for disciplinary action for any person licensed under this division.

DETERMINATION OF VIOLATIONS

- 10. Pursuant to its authority under Government Code section 11520, and based on the evidence before it, the Board finds:
 - A. In connection with his treatment and care of patient J.F., respondent violated Business and Professions Code section 2234(b) gross negligence;
 - B. In connection with his treatment and care of patient J.F., respondent violated Business and Professions Code section 2234(d) incompetence;
 - C. In connection with his treatment and care of patient J.F., respondent violated Business and Professions Code section 726 sexual misconduct;
 - D. In connection with his treatment and care of patient C.H., respondent violated Business and Professions Code section 2234(b) gross negligence;
 - E. In connection with his treatment and care of patient C.H., respondent violated Business and Professions Code section 2234(d) incompetence;
 - F. In connection with his treatment and care of patient J.F., respondent violated Business and Professions Code section 726 sexual misconduct;
 - G. In connection with his treatment and care of patient S.M., respondent violated Business and Professions Code section 2234(b) gross negligence;

	н.	In connect	ion with	his	treatm	nent	and	care	of
patient	S.M.,	respondent	violate	d Bus	siness	and	Prof	essi	ons
Code sec	ction 2	2234(d) - i	ncompete:	nce;					

- I. In connection with his treatment and care of patient S.M., respondent violated Business and Professions Code section 726 sexual misconduct;
- J. In connection with his treatment and care of patients J.F., C.H. and S.M., respondent violated Business and Professions Code section 2234(c) repeated negligent acts; and,
- K. In connection with his declaration filed in the Interim Suspension proceeding, respondent violated Business and Professions Code section 2234(e) - dishonesty.

DETERMINATION OF ISSUES

11. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action pursuant to section 2227, 2234 and 726 of the California Business and Professions Code by reason of the finding of facts in paragraphs 1 through 9, above, and by reason, separately and severally, of each of the violations in paragraph 10 above.

ORDER OF THE BOARD

Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate number G31487,
heretofore issued to respondent Dennis R. L'Ardent, is hereby
revoked for each of the violations set forth in paragraph 10
above, separately and severally. An effective date of

August 31

, 1995, has been assigned to this Order.

Pursuant to California Government Code section 11520, subdivision (b), respondent is entitled to make any showing by

way of mitigation; however, such showing must be made in writing to the Medical Board of California, 1426 Howe Avenue, Suite 54, Sacramento, CA 95825-3236, prior to the effective date of this decision.

Made this <u>lst</u> day of <u>August</u>, 1995

Inhulul W

IRA LUBELL, Chair
FOR THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
Division of Medical Quality

03573160-SD95AD0365

cai\c:\l'ardent\default.dec.6/19/95

	DANIEL E. LUNGREN, Attorney General of the State of California							
2	SANFORD H. FELDMAN, Deputy Attorney General, State Bar No. 47775							
3	Department of Justice 110 West A Street, Suite 1100							
4	Post Office Box 85266 San Diego, California 92186-5266							
5	Telephone: (619) 645-2079							
6	Attorneys for Complainant							
7	DEFONE MILE							
9	BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS							
10	STATE OF CALIFORNIA							
11	In the Matter of the Accusation) Case No. 09-93-34741							
12	Against:)							
13	DENNIS R. L'ARDENT) P.O. Box 71137 .)							
14	Las Vegas, Nevada 89170) ACCUSATION							
15	Physician's and Surgeon's) Certificate No. G31487)							
16	Respondent.)							
17								
18	Complainant Dixon Arnett, who as cause for disciplinary							
19	action, alleges:							
20	<u>PARTIES</u>							
21	1. Complainant is the Executive Director of the							
22	Medical Board, Department of Consumer Affairs, California State							
23	("Board") and makes and files this Accusation solely in his							
24	official capacity.							
25	2. On or about February 18, 1976, Physician's and							
26	Surgeon's Certificate No. G31487 was issued by the Board to							
27	Dennis R. L'Ardent ("respondent"), and at all times relevant							

herein, said Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full
force and effect. On May 9, 1995, in response to the Petition of
Dixon Arnett for Interim Order, respondent's Certificate was
suspended pending the outcome of the proceedings upon this
Accusation.

STATUTES

3. This accusation is made in reference to the

3. This accusation is made in reference to the following statutes of the California Business and Professions Code:

- A. Section 2227 provides that the Board may revoke, suspend for a period not to exceed one year, or place on probation, the license of any licensee who has been found guilty under the Medical Practice Act.
- B. Section 2234 provides that the Division of Medical Quality shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct.
 - C. Section 2234 provides that unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:
 - "(b) Gross negligence;
 - (c) Repeated negligent acts;
 - (d) Incompetence; and
 - (e) Dishonesty or corruption."
- D. Section 726 provides that the commission of any act of sexual abuse, misconduct, or relations with a patient, client, or customer which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of the occupation for which a license was issued constitutes

unprofessional conduct and grounds for disciplinary action for any person licensed under this division.

FACTS

- 4. Respondent Dennis R. L'Ardent is subject to disciplinary action on account of the following:
 - A. On February 10, 1994, J.F., an eighteen year old female, sought counseling from respondent because she was being sexually abused by her husband. She told respondent she needed to get out of the situation with her husband, and needed to become independent. Respondent gave her \$70.00 to get away. Respondent scheduled J.F. for another appointment, and told her to call him if she had to leave.
 - B. On February 14, 1994, J.F. left her husband, called respondent, and, at respondent's direction, went to respondent's office. On February 16, 1994, respondent told J.F. that he could get her into a shelter on February 17, 1994, but that he would have to take her to a hotel that night. Respondent told J.F. that she could not bring her daughter, so she decided not to go.
 - C. On February 21, 1994, J.F. called respondent and told him that she wanted to go to the shelter.

 Respondent told her to come to his office and he would help her get there. J.F. arrived at respondent's office at approximately 3:30 p.m. that day. Respondent gave J.F. \$20.00 and told her to go have dinner. In addition,

respondent gave J.F. three pills to relax. She took the pills.

D. J.F. returned to respondent's office that evening. Respondent told J.F. that he would get her a hotel room that night and put her in a shelter the next day, February 22, 1994. Respondent and J.F. went to the GoodNite Inn. He had J.F. take her things to Room 237. There, respondent told J.F. to shower. In addition, he told her that he was going out, would return with pizza, and would put her in her own room. Respondent left the room.

E. When respondent returned, he told J.F. that he hoped she did not mind staying in the room with him because he wanted to save money to spend on her, and there were no other rooms available. In fact, respondent lied about there being no other rooms available. 62 of 110 rooms in the GoodNite Inn were available that night.

F. Respondent also told J.F. that he did not usually have company so he did not have any pajamas.

Respondent then took off all of his clothes, and gave J.F. another pill. She asked what it was. He told her not to worry, it was for sleep. When she was reluctant to take it, respondent put the pill in her mouth. Respondent then asked J.F. if she had any "GYN" problems, if she needed to be checked, and if she needed a morning after pill because he did not want her to be "P.G." She replied that she did not and was on her period. Respondent told J.F. that he could

be her doctor for everything, and that he did not trust anyone else with her.

G. While J.F. was laying on the bed face-down and clothed, respondent, still naked, started pressing on her back with his fingers. Next, respondent got on top of her. He told her not to worry, he was "just doing traction and sexual favors [were not] required." Respondent put his hands on J.F.'s lower back and pushed with all his weight. He rubbed himself on J.F. He had an erection at the time. J.F. started crying because respondent was hurting her. J.F., who had been fully awake up to that time, started to feel groggy and dizzy. Her words became slurred and she could not pick her head up off the pillow. She passed out.

H. The next morning, respondent gave J.F. \$20.00 and insisted that she get her pants cleaned. He told her to go to the library and do research on tampons for him. J.F. did the research and presented it to respondent. Respondent got mad at her and told her that it was not what he wanted. He told her to do more research. In addition, he gave her the key to the room, told her buy a swimming suit, and sit in the jacuzzi or swim. J.F. went back to the room and fell asleep. Respondent returned to the room, and he and J.F. went to dinner.

I. Following dinner, respondent and J.F. returned to the room. While J.F. showered, "Steve" a person whom J.F. had met during the day, called and respondent answered the telephone. When J.F. finished showering, respondent,

who was very mad, confronted her about the telephone call. 1 J.F. suggested to respondent it was time for him to take her 2 to the shelter. Respondent offered J.F. pills to relax. 3 She declined to take them. she wanted her mother to come and take her home. Respondent 5 suggested he call J.F.'s mother and tell her mother that 6 J.F. was in the shelter. J.F., who was crying, said no. 7 Respondent then let her call her mother. J.F. told her 8 9 10 11 12 13 14 15 16 17

mother that respondent would not let her go. Respondent came on the line and spoke with J.F.'s mother. mother told respondent that she would call the police if respondent did not let J.F. go. Respondent then told J.F. she could leave. After J.F. left, respondent again spoke He tried to convince her that J.F. had with J.F.'s mother. mental problems and needed protection from her husband. Respondent asked J.F.'s mother to bring J.F. back to him so that he could take her to Nevada to work for him. After leaving, J.F. went to her mother's J. house, told her mother the story, and the police were called. J.F. was afraid of respondent because he sexually abused her. On February 23, 1994, respondent was arrested

She started to panic and said

22

23

18

19

20

21

24

25

26

27

at the GoodNite Inn on charges of sexual exploitation and

administering stupefying drugs. When interviewed by the

seeing on a professional basis. When asked by the officer

police, respondent stated that J.F. was someone he was

if respondent had been sharing a motel room with J.F.,

respondent replied that he wanted an attorney, and that "I'm surprised that she would say anything; I didn't think she was the type." A search of the motel room and of respondent's car revealed items J.F. had told the police she left behind.

PATIENT C.H.

I. In July, 1991, when she saw respondent for the first time, C.H. was suffering from chronic depression as a result of being sexual abused as a child by her father. Respondent was practicing at the High Desert Mental Health Clinic, 500 Melissa Street, Barstow. C.H.'s psychotherapy sessions with respondent at High Desert Mental Health Clinic occurred once a month for approximately three months and then increased to once a week psychotherapy sessions when respondent began practicing at 900 E. Main St., Barstow. Some of the sessions were scheduled for late afternoon/early evening in order for C.H. to be the last patient so respondent could spend more time with her.

M. Prior to October, 1992, and the death of C.H.'s father, respondent began making sexual comments and innuendos. The comments and innuendos increased over time. Respondent kept saying things about sex and constantly asked C.H. about her sexual fantasies. After the death of C.H.'s father in October, 1992, respondent began hugging C.H. and holding her hand. Respondent would touch C.H. on the arms and massaging the center of her back, especially when the sessions were over and they would say goodby. In addition,

respondent made strange comments to her, stating, "Don't keep me guessing I think I know the answer." Respondent also encouraged C.H. to talk about fantasies involving him.

- N. During two sessions, respondent examined C.H.'s heart with a stethoscope. Respondent did this by unbuttoning her blouse at the top and undoing her bra.
- O. In early 1993, C.H. went to respondent's office without an appointment. She asked respondent if he wanted to extend the therapeutic relationship. She asked him if he was interested in a sexual relationship.

 Respondent replied that he was not before, but was now.

 Respondent scheduled C.H. for a late evening appointment on another day. Prior to having sexual intercourse, respondent ordered an HIV test for C.H. The test was negative.
- p.m. and 7:00 p.m., C.H. returned to respondent's office at 900 Main St., Barstow. As she went into respondent's waiting room, respondent locked the door behind them. The office was closed and all employees had gone home for the day. C.H. and respondent sat on a couch and began talking. C.H. told him that she was nervous. Respondent placed his hand on her knee, and began kissing her. C.H. unhooked her bra and respondent unbuttoned and unzipped her jeans. Respondent placed his hand inside the front of her jeans. He still had his clothes on, but had unzipped his pants. Respondent asked C.H. if she would like to go to a back room. She replied she would, and they went to the back room

and laid on a big leather couch. They both removed the rest of their clothes. They engaged in foreplay and in sexual intercourse. C.H. then performed oral sex on respondent, telling him not to ejaculate in her mouth. Nevertheless, respondent became so excited he grabbed her head and held it down until he ejaculated in her mouth. C.H. felt trapped.

Q. Following sexual intercourse, C.H. went into one of two restrooms located in the office. While she was urinating, respondent jerked open the door and stared at her while she was sitting on the toilet. She asked respondent what he was doing. He said it was a mistake and closed the door. C.H. got dressed, and she and respondent returned to the waiting room, where respondent stroked her hair. Respondent told her that he would get her some birth control and would take care of her for a while.

R. Prior to February 8, 1993, C.H. and respondent had talked about doctor/patient sexual relations.

Respondent told C.H. that such a relation was frowned upon by some people. Respondent made C.H. promise not to tell anyone what they did or discussed. C.H. told respondent that she did not want a one night thing. He said "O.K.".

C. H. asked respondent if he could be objective, being both her lover and psychiatrist. He said that he could. In addition, respondent told her that if she was having any problems with the relationship between them, she should bring it directly to him and not to anyone else.

- T. During an evening session after February 8, 1993, respondent and C.H., who was undressed from the waist up, were again in the waiting room laying on the couch when respondent said, "I can't, I can't", and "I'm sorry". C.H. got dressed. Respondent vaguely stated that he was sexually attracted to her, and could not promise that it would not happen again.
- U. C.H. continued to go to respondent's office for treatment, but respondent talked mostly about his problems with his wife and kids, about traveling and about other things, rather than about her problems.
- V. Several months after they had intercourse,
 C.H. asked respondent about their relationship, referring
 back to her not wanting to have a one-night sexual
 encounter. Respondent told her that he could not be
 sexually available to her because he had a heart problem and
 was afraid to have sex for fear it might cause a heart
 attack. That bothered C.H. because respondent had not
 previously said anything about having heart problems. C.H.
 asked respondent if he was not interested in her. He
 replied that he had small vessel heart disease which was not
 treatable, he was going to die, and he did not want to put

her through that. This made C.H. feel really bad because her father had recently died from a heart problem. Respondent was aware of the fact C.H.'s father had died from heart problems.

W. C.H.'s last appointment with respondent occurred in January 1994. During that session, she and respondent went for a walk and talked about their relationship. He was very defensive and compared her to "street people". He said he had compassion for her, just like he had compassion for "street people". He felt that it was unfair for her to chastise him, and he felt pressured by her. When they returned to respondent's office, C.H. told respondent that she could not be objective anymore, but that she would still continue to be his patient, but without any sexual encounters. C.H. could not deal with the mixed messages. There was crying and hugging. C.H. asked respondent not to abandon her. He replied, "you promised you could handle this".

X. During a phone conversation with respondent in approximately February 1994, C.H. told respondent that she was seeing another therapist. Respondent said that they could still see each other.

Y. Respondent also treated the 13 year-old daughter of C.H. and the mother of C.H. At times, respondent would mention a few things to them about C.H., and this bothered her. C.H. asked respondent not to talk to her daughter or her mother about any of her problems.

Respondent told her not to worry about it.

1

2

4

5

6

7

8

9

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

When C.H. first went to respondent for therapy, he signed her disability papers so that she could receive Medicare disability. Respondent helped her with In November, 1992, C.H. received back numerous appeals. payments totalling approximately \$13,000.00 (several checks). One of the checks she received was dated December 1, 1992. It was in the amount of \$7,959.00. Respondent knew C.H. had the money, and told her she should give it to him so that he could keep it in an account for her at his medical office. Respondent told her that he would give her the money a little at a time, so she would not go out and spend it all at once. C.H. signed over the check to respondent so that he could put it in an account for her. Respondent slowly returned some of the money to her, in checks from several of his personal bank accounts. Every time she asked respondent for her money, he asked her how much she really needed and then gave her less than what she asked to get.

AA. On March 1, 1994, C.H. called respondent and told him that she wanted the rest of her money back by March 15, 1994. Respondent promised he would, but only sent a \$100.00 check. As of November 11, 1994, respondent still owed C.H. almost \$5,000.00 from the money she gave to him to hold for her.

AB. Respondent treated C.H. with Librium, Buspar, Prozac, Synthroid, Restoril and Darvocet.

PATIENT S.M.

AC. Respondent first saw S.M. in 1991 after he took over the practice of Dr. B. S.M. was in therapy for depression, anxiety and stress.

AD. Even though Dr. B had taken S.M.'s off of Xanax, respondent put her back on Xanax and kept increasing her dosages without telling her of the increases.

Subsequently, S.M. asked respondent to take her off of the Xanax, but he refused, telling her that she must follow orders given by him or he would not be her doctor.

AE. S.M. felt that the medication gave respondent control over her. She felt that she was addicted to the Xanax and had to continue to see respondent in order to keep from going through withdrawal.

AF. In addition to Xanax, respondent prescribed other medications including Buspar, Desipramine, Synthroid, Trimox, Erethyromycan, Anafranil, Triavil, Meclazyne, Antivert and Vicadin.

AG. S.M. told respondent that she did not have any money and that she had female medical problems.

Respondent treated S.M. with out charging for his services, telling her that he would help her out any way he could.

AH. Respondent offered to perform a vaginal examination on S.M., and scheduled the examination for 9 o'clock at night. S.M. showed up for the appointment, but did not let respondent examine her because she felt uncomfortable about the situation. In fact, she brought her

boyfriend with her to the office. Respondent became very upset with S.M. when she showed up with her boyfriend.

AI. On one occasion between approximately August, 1992 and January, 1993, respondent gave S.M. an injection of B-12. Even though S.M. asked that the injection be given in her arm, respondent insisted in giving it to her in the buttocks. S.M. pulled her pants down just below her hip for the shot. Respondent told her that she had not pulled them down far enough. As respondent's instruction, S.M. then pulled her pants and underwear down below the bottom of her buttocks. S.M. felt uncomfortable.

AJ. On one occasion, S.M. told respondent about a soreness on her lip, whereupon, respondent immediately got out of his chair, went to her and told her to stand up.

Respondent then rubbed and patted S.M.'s leg and buttocks through her clothing. This upset S.M.

AK. On many occasions, when S.M. did not have money to pay for drugs prescribed by respondent, respondent went to the drug store, had the prescription filled and paid for it.

AL. Respondent, in an effort to receive compensation for his services, instructed S.M. to sign up for Social Security benefits even though she told him that she did not think she qualified. Respondent told her not to worry, that he would take care of it, and that she did qualify.

AM. Respondent told S.M. that she and her children needed to move to Northern California or Nevada and that he would help her obtain state assistance.

FALSE STATEMENTS UNDER OATH

AN. On April 17, 1995, respondent filed a declaration executed under penalty of perjury in opposition to the Petition for Interim Order of Suspension, by petitioner Dixon Arnett, dated March 17, 1995. In addition to falsely denying the sexual misconduct alleged in the Petition, respondent made numerous other false or misleading statements, including but not limited to:

- i. Respondent falsely stated under oath that J.F.'s mother told him that J.F. had been raped at least four times;
- ii. Respondent falsely or misleadingly stated under oath that C.H. was a known former prostitute;
- iii. Respondent falsely stated under oath that, at the time of his arrest in connection with the allegations of J.F., he was not read his rights; and,
- iv. Respondent falsely or misleading stated under oath that he did offer to do a pelvic examination for a female patient at 9:00 p.m.

CHARGES AND ALLEGATIONS

5. Respondent's conduct, as described in paragraphs
4(A) through 4(AM) above, which paragraphs are incorporated
herein by reference, constitutes gross negligence, repeated
negligent acts, incompetence, dishonesty and corruption, and acts

of sexual abuse, misconduct and relations, all in violation of Business and Professions Code sections 2234(b)-(e) and 726.

- 6. Respondent's conduct, as described in paragraph 4(AN) above, which is incorporated herein by reference, constitutes dishonesty and corruption in violation of Business and Professions Code sections 2234(e).
- 7. As a result of the conduct described above, respondent is in violation of Business and Professions Code sections 2234 (general unprofessional conduct), 2234(b) (gross negligence), 2234(c) (repeated negligent acts), 2234(d) (incompetence), 2234(e) (dishonesty and corruption) and 726 (sexual misconduct), and his certificate is subject to revocation and other discipline.

PRAYER

WHEREFORE, complainant requests that the hold a hearing on the matters alleged herein, and that following said hearing, the issue a decision:

- Revoking or suspending Physician and Surgeon'
 Number G31487, heretofore issued to respondent
 Dennis R. L'Ardent;
- 2. Directing respondent Dennis R. L'Ardent to pay to the Board a reasonable sum for its investigative and enforcement costs of this action; and

III

27 ///

1	3. Taking such other and further action as the deems
2	appropriate to protect the public health, safety
3	and welfare.
4	DATED: 5/17/95
5	
6	DIXON ARNETT In Sajon July, DAG
7	
8	Dixon Arnett Executive Director
9	Medical Board State of California
10	Complainant
11	
12	cai\c:\L'Ardent\accusati.5/16/95
13	
14	· •••
15	
16	
17	
18	
19	
20	
21	
22	
23	•
24	
25	
26	