# BEFORE THE MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA

In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	) ) )
CARMEN PROTESTS GONZALES-NATE, M.D.	) Case No. 09-2011-219583
Physician's and Surgeon's	)
Certificate No. A49248	)
Respondent	) ) _)

#### **DECISION**

The attached Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby adopted as the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California, Department of Consumer Affairs, State of California.

This Decision shall become effective at 5:00 p.m. on May 29, 2015.

IT IS SO ORDERED: April 30, 2015.

MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA

Jamie Wright, J.D., Chair

Panel A

1	Kamala D. Harris		
2	Attorney General of California THOMAS S. LAZAR		
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General JOSEPH F. MCKENNA III		
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 231195		
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101		
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266		
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2997 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061		
8	Attorneys for Complainant		
9			
10	BEFORE THE		
11	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS STATE OF CALIFORNIA		
12	STATE OF C	ALIFORNIA	
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 09-2011-219583	
14	CARMEN GONZALES-NATE, M.D.	OAH No. 2014-020902	
15	11660 Emerald Drive Loma Linda, CA 92354	STIPULATED SETTLEMENT AND DISCIPLINARY ORDER	
16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate	DISCH EMARKY STEELS	
17	No. A 49248,  Respondent.		
18	Respondent.		
19			
20	IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED AND AGREED by and between the parties to the above-		
21	entitled proceedings that the following matters are true:		
22	<u>PARTIES</u>		
23	1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant) is the Executive Director of the Medical Board		
24	of California. She brought this action solely in her official capacity as such and is represented in		
25	this matter by Kamala D. Harris, Attorney General of the State of California, by Joseph F.		
26	McKenna III, Deputy Attorney General.		
27	///		
28	///		
		1	

- 2. Respondent Carmen Gonzales-Nate, M.D. (respondent) is represented in this proceeding by Raymond J. McMahon, Esq., whose address is: 100 Spectrum Center Drive, Suite 520, Irvine, CA, 92618.
- 3. On or about March 20, 1991, the Medical Board of California issued Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 49248 to respondent Carmen Gonzales-Nate, M.D. The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the charges and allegations brought in Accusation No. 09-2011-219583 and will expire on September 30, 2016, unless renewed.

#### **JURISDICTION**

4. On August 23, 2013, Accusation No. 09-2011-219583 was filed before the Medical Board of California (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, and is currently pending against respondent. A true and correct copy of the Accusation and all other statutorily required documents were properly served on respondent on August 23, 2013. Respondent timely filed her Notice of Defense contesting the Accusation. A true and correct copy of Accusation No. 09-2011-219583 is attached hereto as Exhibit A and incorporated herein by reference as if fully set forth herein.

# ADVISEMENT AND WAIVERS

- 5. Respondent has carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and fully understands the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 09-2011-219583. Respondent has also carefully read, fully discussed with counsel, and fully understands the effects of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order.
- 6. Respondent is fully aware of her legal rights in this matter, including the right to a hearing on the charges and allegations in Accusation No. 09-2011-219583; the right to confront and cross-examine the witnesses against her; the right to present evidence and to testify on her own behalf; the right to the issuance of subpoenas to compel the attendance of witnesses and the production of documents; the right to reconsideration and court review of an adverse decision; and all other rights accorded by the California Administrative Procedure Act and other applicable laws, having been fully advised of same by her attorney of record, Raymond J. McMahon, Esq.

7. Having the benefit of counsel, respondent hereby voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently waives and gives up each and every right set forth above.

#### **CULPABILITY**

- 8. Respondent does not contest that, at an administrative hearing, Complainant could establish a *prima facie* case with respect to the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 09-2011-219583 and that she has thereby subjected her Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 49248 to disciplinary action
- 9. Respondent agrees that if she ever petitions for early termination or modification of probation, or if an accusation and/or petition to revoke probation is filed against her before the Medical Board of California, all of the charges and allegations contained in Accusation No. 09-2011-219583 shall be deemed true, correct and fully admitted by respondent for purposes of any such proceeding, or any other licensing proceeding involving respondent in the State of California, or elsewhere.

#### **CONTINGENCY**

- 10. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be subject to approval of the Board. The parties agree that this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order shall be submitted to the Board for its consideration in the above-entitled matter and, further, that the Board shall have a reasonable period of time in which to consider and act on this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order after receiving it. By signing this stipulation, respondent fully understands and agrees that she may not withdraw her agreement or seek to rescind this stipulation prior to the time the Board considers and acts upon it.
- and void and not binding upon the parties unless approved and adopted by the Board, except for this paragraph, which shall remain in full force and effect. Respondent fully understands and agrees that in deciding whether or not to approve and adopt this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, the Board may receive oral and written communications from its staff and/or the Attorney General's Office. Communications pursuant to this paragraph shall not disqualify the Board, any member thereof, and/or any other person from future participation in this or any

other matter affecting or involving respondent. In the event that the Board does not, in its discretion, approve and adopt this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, with the exception of this paragraph, it shall not become effective, shall be of no evidentiary value whatsoever, and shall not be relied upon or introduced in any disciplinary action by either party hereto. Respondent further agrees that should this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order be rejected for any reason by the Board, respondent will assert no claim that the Board, or any member thereof, was prejudiced by its/his/her review, discussion and/or consideration of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order or of any matter or matters related hereto.

#### ADDITIONAL PROVISIONS

- 12. This Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is intended by the parties herein to be an integrated writing representing the complete, final and exclusive embodiment of the agreements of the parties in the above-entitled matter.
- 13. The parties agree that copies of this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order, including copies of the signatures of the parties, may be used in lieu of original documents and signatures and, further, that such copies shall have the same force and effect as originals.
- 14. In consideration of the foregoing admissions and stipulations, the parties agree the Board may, without further notice to or opportunity to be heard by respondent, issue and enter the following Disciplinary Order:

# **DISCIPLINARY ORDER**

IT IS HEREBY ORDERED that Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 49248 issued to respondent Carmen Gonzales-Nate, M.D. (respondent) is revoked. However, the revocation is stayed and respondent is placed on probation for two (2) years from the effective date of this Decision on the following terms and conditions.

1. <u>CONTROLLED SUBSTANCES – MAINTAIN RECORDS AND ACCESS TO</u>

<u>RECORDS AND INVENTORIES</u>. Respondent shall maintain a record of all controlled substances ordered, prescribed, dispensed, administered, or possessed by respondent, and any recommendation or approval which enables a patient or patient's primary caregiver to possess or cultivate marijuana for the personal medical purposes of the patient within the meaning of Health

and Safety Code section 11362.5, during probation, showing all the following: 1) the name and address of patient; 2) the date; 3) the character and quantity of controlled substances involved; and 4) the indications and diagnosis for which the controlled substances were furnished.

Respondent shall keep these records in a separate file or ledger, in chronological order. All records and any inventories of controlled substances shall be available for immediate inspection and copying on the premises by the Board or its designee at all times during business hours and shall be retained for the entire term of probation.

# 2. PRESCRIBING PRACTICES COURSE.

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall enroll in a course in prescribing practices equivalent to the Prescribing Practices Course at the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program, University of California, San Diego School of Medicine (Program), approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the program with any information and documents that the Program may deem pertinent. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course not later than six (6) months after respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully complete any other component of the course within one (1) year of enrollment. The prescribing practices course shall be at respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A prescribing practices course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

28 | ///

///

# 3. MEDICAL RECORD KEEPING COURSE.

Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall enroll in a course in medical record keeping equivalent to the Medical Record Keeping Course offered by the Physician Assessment and Clinical Education Program, University of California, San Diego School of Medicine (Program), approved in advance by the Board or its designee. Respondent shall provide the program with any information and documents that the Program may deem pertinent. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete the classroom component of the course not later than six (6) months after respondent's initial enrollment. Respondent shall successfully complete any other component of the course within one (1) year of enrollment. The medical record keeping course shall be at respondent's expense and shall be in addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A medical record keeping course taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the course would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the course been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the course, or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

4. PROFESSIONALISM PROGRAM (ETHICS COURSE). Within 60 calendar days of the effective date of this Decision, respondent shall enroll in a professionalism program, that meets the requirements of Title 16, California Code of Regulations (CCR) section 1358. Respondent shall participate in and successfully complete that program. Respondent shall provide any information and documents that the program may deem pertinent. Respondent shall successfully complete the classroom component of the program not later than six (6) months after respondent's initial enrollment, and the longitudinal component of the program not later than the time specified by the program, but no later than one (1) year after attending the classroom component. The professionalism program shall be at respondent's expense and shall be in

2.7

addition to the Continuing Medical Education (CME) requirements for renewal of licensure.

A professionalism program taken after the acts that gave rise to the charges in the Accusation, but prior to the effective date of the Decision may, in the sole discretion of the Board or its designee, be accepted towards the fulfillment of this condition if the program would have been approved by the Board or its designee had the program been taken after the effective date of this Decision.

Respondent shall submit a certification of successful completion to the Board or its designee not later than 15 calendar days after successfully completing the program or not later than 15 calendar days after the effective date of the Decision, whichever is later.

- 5. <u>OBEY ALL LAWS</u>. Respondent shall obey all federal, state and local laws, all rules governing the practice of medicine in California and remain in full compliance with any court ordered criminal probation, payments, and other orders.
- 6. QUARTERLY DECLARATIONS. Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations under penalty of perjury on forms provided by the Board, stating whether there has been compliance with all the conditions of probation.

Respondent shall submit quarterly declarations not later than 10 calendar days after the end of the preceding quarter.

# 7. GENERAL PROBATION REQUIREMENTS.

# Compliance with Probation Unit

Respondent shall comply with the Board's probation unit and all terms and conditions of this Decision.

# Address Changes

Respondent shall, at all times, keep the Board informed of respondent's business and residence addresses, email address (if available), and telephone number. Changes of such addresses shall be immediately communicated in writing to the Board or its designee. Under no circumstances shall a post office box serve as an address of record, except as allowed by Business and Professions Code section 2021(b).

///

Place of Practice

Respondent shall not engage in the practice of medicine in respondent's or patient's place of residence, unless the patient resides in a skilled nursing facility or other similar licensed facility.

#### License Renewal

Respondent shall maintain a current and renewed California physician's and surgeon's license.

#### Travel or Residence Outside California

Respondent shall immediately inform the Board or its designee, in writing, of travel to any areas outside the jurisdiction of California which lasts, or is contemplated to last, more than thirty (30) calendar days.

In the event respondent should leave the State of California to reside or to practice respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing 30 calendar days prior to the dates of departure and return.

- 8. <u>INTERVIEW WITH THE BOARD OR ITS DESIGNEE</u>. Respondent shall be available in person upon request for interviews either at respondent's place of business or at the probation unit office, with or without prior notice throughout the term of probation.
- 9. NON-PRACTICE WHILE ON PROBATION. Respondent shall notify the Board or its designee in writing within 15 calendar days of any periods of non-practice lasting more than 30 calendar days and within 15 calendar days of respondent's return to practice. Non-practice is defined as any period of time respondent is not practicing medicine in California as defined in Business and Professions Code sections 2051 and 2052 for at least 40 hours in a calendar month in direct patient care, clinical activity or teaching, or other activity as approved by the Board. All time spent in an intensive training program which has been approved by the Board or its designee shall not be considered non-practice. Practicing medicine in another state of the United States or Federal jurisdiction while on probation with the medical licensing authority of that state or jurisdiction shall not be considered non-practice. A Board-ordered suspension of practice shall not be considered as a period of non-practice.

In the event respondent's period of non-practice while on probation exceeds 18 calendar months, respondent shall successfully complete a clinical training program that meets the criteria of Condition 18 of the current version of the Board's "Manual of Model Disciplinary Orders and Disciplinary Guidelines" prior to resuming the practice of medicine.

Respondent's period of non-practice while on probation shall not exceed two (2) years. Periods of non-practice will not apply to the reduction of the probationary term.

Periods of non-practice will relieve respondent of the responsibility to comply with the probationary terms and conditions with the exception of this condition and the following terms and conditions of probation: Obey All Laws; and General Probation Requirements.

- 10. <u>COMPLETION OF PROBATION</u>. Respondent shall comply with all financial obligations (e.g., restitution, probation costs) not later than 120 calendar days prior to the completion of probation. Upon successful completion of probation, respondent's certificate shall be fully restored.
- 11. <u>VIOLATION OF PROBATION</u>. Failure to fully comply with any term or condition of probation is a violation of probation. If respondent violates probation in any respect, the Board, after giving respondent notice and the opportunity to be heard, may revoke probation and carry out the disciplinary order that was stayed. If an Accusation, or Petition to Revoke Probation, or an Interim Suspension Order is filed against respondent during probation, the Board shall have continuing jurisdiction until the matter is final, and the period of probation shall be extended until the matter is final.
- 12. <u>LICENSE SURRENDER</u>. Following the effective date of this Decision, if respondent ceases practicing due to retirement or health reasons or is otherwise unable to satisfy the terms and conditions of probation, respondent may request to surrender his or her license. The Board reserves the right to evaluate respondent's request and to exercise its discretion in determining whether or not to grant the request, or to take any other action deemed appropriate and reasonable under the circumstances. Upon formal acceptance of the surrender, respondent shall within 15 calendar days deliver respondent's wallet and wall certificate to the Board or its designee and respondent shall no longer practice medicine. Respondent will no longer be subject

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

///

to the terms and conditions of probation. If respondent re-applies for a medical license, the 1 2 application shall be treated as a petition for reinstatement of a revoked certificate. 3 PROBATION MONITORING COSTS. Respondent shall pay the costs associated 4 with probation monitoring each and every year of probation, as designated by the Board, which 5 may be adjusted on an annual basis. Such costs shall be payable to the Medical Board of California and delivered to the Board or its designee no later than January 31 of each calendar 6 7 year. 8 **ACCEPTANCE** 9 I have carefully read the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order and have fully 10 discussed it with my attorney, Raymond J. McMahon, Esq. I understand the stipulation and the 11 effect it will have on my Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate No. A 49248. I enter into this Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order voluntarily, knowingly, and intelligently, and agree 12 to be bound by the Decision and Order of the Medical Board of California. 13 DATED: 14 CARMEN GON 15 Respondent 16 I have read and fully discussed with respondent Carmen Gonzales-Nate, M.D., the terms 17 and conditions and other matters contained in the above Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary 18 Order. I approve its form and content. 19 20 Attorney for Respondent /// /// /// /// /// 111 ///

#### **ENDORSEMENT**

The foregoing Stipulated Settlement and Disciplinary Order is hereby respectfully submitted for consideration by the Medical Board of California.

Dated: March 16, 2015

Respectfully submitted,

KAMALA D. HARRIS Attorney General of California THOMAS S. LAZAR Supervising Deputy Attorney General

JOSEPH F. MCKENNA III Deputy Attorney General Attorneys for Complainant

SD2013805218 Doc.No.71030222

# Exhibit A

Accusation No. 09-2011-219583

1	Kamala D. Harris	
2	Attorney General of California THOMAS S. LAZAR	
3	Supervising Deputy Attorney General SAMUEL K, HAMMOND	FILED STATE OF CALIFORNIA
4	Deputy Attorney General State Bar No. 141135	MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA
5	110 West "A" Street, Suite 1100 San Diego, CA 92101	BY WOOD BELL ANALYST
6	P.O. Box 85266 San Diego, CA 92186-5266	Filed OAH
7	Telephone: (619) 645-2083 Facsimile: (619) 645-2061	Ey kdawlas Qua Qua 1
8	Attorneys for Complainant	
9		
10	BEFORE THE  MEDICAL BOARD OF CALIFORNIA  DEPARTMENT OF CONSUMER AFFAIRS  STATE OF CALIFORNIA	
11		
12	STATE OF C.	Case No. 09-2011-219583
13	In the Matter of the Accusation Against:	Case No. 09-2011-219363
14	CARMEN GONZALES-NATE, M.D.	ACCUSATION
15	11660 Emerald Drive Loma Linda, CA 92354	ACCUSATION
16	Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate	
17	No. A 49248  Respondent.	
18	Respondent.	
19		
20	Complainant alleges:	
21	PARTIES	
22	1. Kimberly Kirchmeyer (Complainant) brings this Accusation solely in her official	
23	capacity as the Interim Executive Director of the Medical Board of California, Department of	
24	Consumer Affairs.	
25		
26	Surgeon's Certificate Number A 49248 to CARMEN GONZALES-NATE, M.D. (Respondent).	
27	The Physician's and Surgeon's Certificate was in full force and effect at all times relevant to the	
23	charges brought herein and will expire on September 30, 2014, unless renewed.	
		1 Accusation
		Accusano

#### **JURISDICTION**

- 3. This Accusation is brought before the Medical Board of California (Board), Department of Consumer Affairs, under the authority of the following laws. All section references are to the Business and Professions Code (Code) unless otherwise indicated.
- 4. Section 2227 of the Code provides that a licensee who is found guilty under the Medical Practice Act may have his or her license revoked, suspended for a period not to exceed one year, placed on probation and required to pay the costs of probation monitoring, be publicly reprimanded, or have such other action taken in relation to discipline as the Board deems proper.
  - 5. Section 2234 of the Code states:

"The board shall take action against any licensee who is charged with unprofessional conduct.\(^1\) In addition to other provisions of this article, unprofessional conduct includes, but is not limited to, the following:

- "(a) Violating or attempting to violate, directly or indirectly, assisting in or abetting the violation of, or conspiring to violate any provision of this chapter [Chapter 5, the Medical Practice Act].
  - "(b) Gross negligence.
- "(c) Repeated negligent acts. To be repeated, there must be two or more negligent acts or omissions. An initial negligent act or omission followed by a separate and distinct departure from the applicable standard of care shall constitute repeated negligent acts.
- "(1) An initial negligent diagnosis followed by an act or omission medically appropriate for that negligent diagnosis of the patient shall constitute a single negligent act.
- "(2) When the standard of care requires a change in the diagnosis, act, or omission that constitutes the negligent act described in paragraph (1), including, but not limited to, a reevaluation of the diagnosis or a change in treatment, and the licensee's conduct departs

Unprofessional conduct has been defined as conduct which breaches the rules or ethical code of the medical profession, or conduct which is unbecoming a member in good standing of the medical profession, and which demonstrates an unfitness to practice medicine. (Shea v. Board of Medical Examiners (1978) 81 Cal.App.3d 564, 575.)

from the applicable standard of care, each departure constitutes a separate and distinct breach of the standard of care.

- "(d) Incompetence.
- "(e) The commission of any act involving dishonesty or corruption which is substantially related to the qualifications, functions, or duties of a physician and surgeon.
  - "(f) Any action or conduct which would have warranted the denial of a certificate."
- 6. Section 2242, subdivision (a) provides:

"Prescribing, dispensing, or furnishing dangerous drugs as defined in Section 4022 without an appropriate prior examination and a medical indication, constitutes unprofessional conduct."

7. Section 2266 of the Code states:

AThe failure of a physician and surgeon to maintain adequate and accurate records relating to the provision of services to their patients constitutes unprofessional conduct."

# FIRST CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

(Gross Negligence)

8. Respondent is subject to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2234, subdivision (b), of the Code, in that Respondent committed gross negligence in her care and treatment of patients: T.L., C.C., C.H., D.H., A.H., A.L. and J.M., as more particularly alleged hereinafter:

#### INTRODUCTION

A. In about December 2010, Respondent commenced providing care and treatment as a psychiatrist to patients at Orchid Court Board and Care (Orchid Court), a facility for the mentally ill located on S. Arrowhead Drive in San Bernardino. Respondent had been introduced to the owner of Orchid Court by SP (Pharmacist SP), a pharmacist at the Loma Linda Health Pharmacy in Loma Linda. Loma Linda Health Pharmacy (Pharmacy) regularly filled prescriptions for psychiatric and other medications for patients at Orchid Court. Respondent provided care and treatment to approximately thirty (30) of Orchid Court's patients including the patients: T.L.,

///

C.C., C.H., D.H., A.H., A.L. and J.M., named above. Respondent attended to the patients at Orchid Court approximately one day a month. Respondent routinely furnished psychiatric and other medications to these patients by issuing "verbal authorizations" or "verbal orders" for medication refills to Loma Linda Health Pharmacy. Pharmacist SP documented the verbal order on a Prescription Pad for Verbal Orders maintained at the Pharmacy. On occasion, Orchid Court personnel would contact Pharmacist SP when patients were "acting up" or being difficult. Pharmacist SP would contact Respondent who would issue verbal orders for additional medications for the patients. Periodically, Respondent travelled to the Pharmacy to initial or sign on the verbal orders. Although, Respondent prescribed and/or ordered refills for psychiatric and other medications for T.L., C.C., C.H., D.H., A.H., A.L. and J.M., patients over several months, Respondent failed to generate and/or maintain any chart notes of the care and treatment she provided to these patients.<sup>2</sup>

Some time prior to November 3, 2011, the California State Department of Health Services conducted an audit of the Pharmacy. The audit revealed, among other things, that Respondent was furnishing medications for Orchid Court patients, including T.L., C.C., C.H., D.H., A.H., A.L. and J.M., patients, without generating any chart notes on the patients and without a documentation of the medical necessity for the medications. After the audit, Pharmacist SP requested Respondent submit signed prescription orders on her prescription pad to replace the verbal orders for the patients. Respondent refused. Instead, Respondent submitted to Pharmacist SP, a list of dates on which she indicated she provided services to patients at Orchid Court. Respondent did not identify any patients on the list. Respondent terminated her association with Orchid Court on or after December 2012.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>2</sup> Respondent produced one chart note for patient A.L. on the care and treatment she provided to the patient on or about April 18, 2011.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>3</sup> At the physician's interviews on December 11, 2012 and March 13, 2013, Respondent stated that the above-named patients could not have been her patients because the patients were Medi-Cal patients and she was not a Medi-Cal provider.

#### Patient T.L.

B. On or about January 27, 2011, Respondent commenced providing care and treatment as a psychiatrist to patient T.L. On this date, Respondent prescribed and/or ordered the refill of the following medications for patient T.L.: 30 tablets of Temazepam 30 mg<sup>4</sup> with three (3) refills, 30 tablets of Depakote ER 500 mg<sup>5</sup> with three (3) refills and 30 tablets of Zyprexa 20 mg<sup>6</sup> with three (3) refills. Respondent furnished these medications to patient T.L. without obtaining and documenting any history of the patient's illness, without performing and/or documenting a thorough physical examination of the patient, and without arriving at a diagnosis for the patient.

C. On or about April 20, 2011, Respondent prescribed and/or authorized refills of 31 tablets of Temazepam 30 mg, 31 tablets of Depakote 500 mg and 31 tablets of Zyprexa 20 mg, all with three (3) refills, for patient T.L., and on or about May 2, 2011, Respondent added a prescription for 30 tablets of Zyprexa 10 mg for the patient. Thereafter, every three (3) months, Respondent prescribed and/or authorized refills of the Temazepam, Zyprexa and Depakote medications at the same strength, quantity and dosage, each medication with three (3) refills, for patient T.L. On or about July 14, 2011, Respondent prescribed and/or authorized refills of the Temazepam, Depakote, Zyprexa 20 mg and Zyprexa 10 mg medications for patient T.L. And, on or about October 10, 2011, Respondent prescribed and/or authorized refills of the Temazepam, Depakote, Zyprexa 20 mg and Zyprexa 10 mg, medications for patient T.L.

D. Respondent continuously prescribed and/or ordered refills of psychiatric medications for patient T.L. over approximately a seven (7) month period without obtaining and documenting

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>4</sup> Temazepam is a Schedule IV controlled substance under Health and Safety Code under section 11057(d) (29) of the Health and Safety Code and is a dangerous drug under section 4022 of the Business and Professions Code. It is used for management of anxiety disorder.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>5</sup> Depakote, Divalproex Sodium, is dangerous drug under Business and Professions Code section 4022. It is indicated for treatment of manic episodes associated with bipolar disorder, and is also indicated for monotherapy or adjunctive therapy in patients with complex partial seizures.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>6</sup> Zypreza, Olanzipine, is a psychotropic agent and a dangerous drug under Business and Professions Code section 4022. It is indicated for the treatment of schizophrenia.

On or about April 22, 2011, another Orchid Court physician issued a verbal order for Depakote 500 mg, Zypreza 15 mg and Klonopin 0.5 mg for patient T.L.

a history of the patient's mental illness, without performing and/or documenting any physical examination of the patient, and without any documentation of an assessment of how the patient was functioning on the medications she was furnishing to the patient.

#### Patient C.C.

- E. On or about December 21, 2010, Respondent commenced providing care and treatment as a psychiatrist to patient C.C. On this date, Respondent failed to obtain and document a history of the patient's mental illness and failed to perform and/or document a physical examination of the patient. Nonetheless, Respondent prescribed and/or authorized refills of the following medications for patient C.C.: 31 tablets of Trazadone 150 mg,<sup>8</sup> 31 tablets of Ambien 10 mg,<sup>9</sup> 31 Navane 10 mg,<sup>10</sup> 31 tablets of Depakote 250 mg, 31 tablets of Zyprexa 10 mg, 31 tablets of Artane 5 mg<sup>11</sup> and 31 tablets Thorazine 100 mg.<sup>12</sup> Respondent ordered three (3) refills for each of these medications.
- F. Thereafter, every three (3) months, Respondent prescribed and/or authorized refills of these same seven (7) psychiatric and other medications at the same strength, quantity and dosage, each with three (3) refills, for patient C.C. On or about March 24, 2011, Respondent authorized refills of the Trazadone, Ambien, Navane, Depakote, Zyprexa, Artane and Thorazine for patient C.C. On or about July 8, 2011, Respondent again prescribed and/or authorized refills of the

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>8</sup> Trazadone is an antidepressant and a dangerous drug under Business and Professions Code section 4022. It is indicated for treatment of major depression.

Ambien, zolpiderm tartrate, is a non-benzodiazepine hypnotic and a Schedule IV controlled substance under Health and Safety Code section 11057(d)(32) and a dangerous drug under Business and Professions Code section 4022. It is indicated for short term treatment of insomnia.

Navane is a dangerous drug under Business and Professions Code section 4022. It is indicated for management of manifestations of psychotic disorders.

Artane is a dangerous drug under Business and Professions Code 4022. It is indicated as an adjunct in the treatment of all forms of Parkinsonism.

Thorazine is a dangerous drug under Business and Professions Code 4022. It is indicated for management of manifestations of psychotic disorders.

On or about March 14, 2011, Respondent authorized a prescription for Navane 5 mg for patient C.C., however.

Trazadone, Ambien, Navane, Depakote, Zyprexa, Artane and Thorazine medications for patient C.C. And, on or about October 1, 2011, Respondent again authorized refills of the Trazadone, Ambien, Navane, Depakote, Zyprexa, Artane and Thorazine for patient C.C.

G. Respondent continuously prescribed and/or ordered refills of psychiatric medications for patient C.C. over approximately a ten month period without obtaining and documenting a history of the patient's mental illness, without performing and/or documenting any physical examination of patient C.C., and without any documentation of an assessment of how the patient was functioning on the medications Respondent was furnishing.

#### Patient C.H.

- H. On or about December 16, 2010, Respondent commenced providing care and treatment as a psychiatrist to patient C.H. On this date, Respondent prescribed and/or authorized the refill of Geodon 80 mg<sup>14</sup> and Depakote 250 mg, each with three (3) refills, for patient C.H. On or about December 23, 2010, Respondent also prescribed Zyprexa 15 mg and Benadryl, an antihistamine, for patient C.H. Respondent prescribed and/or authorized these medications for patient C.H. without obtaining and documenting a history of the patient's mental illness, without performing and/or documenting she performed a physical examination of the patient, and without documenting a medical necessity for the medications. Thereafter, every three (3) months, Respondent prescribed and/or authorized refills of these same four (4) psychiatric and other medications at the same strength, quantity and dosage, each with three (3) refills, for patient C. H.
  - I. On or about April 22, 2011, Respondent prescribed and/or authorized refills of Geodon, Depakote, Zypreza and Benadryl for patient C.H. On or about July 14, 2011, Respondent prescribed and/or authorized refills of Geodon, Depakote, Zypreza, and Benadryl for patient C.H. And, on or about September 10, 2011, Respondent prescribed and/or authorized refills of the Geodon, Depakote, Zypreza and Benadryl medications for patient C.H. Respondent continuously prescribed and/or ordered refills of psychiatric medications for patient C.H. without

Geodon, ziprasidone hydrochloride, is an antipsychotic agent and a dangerous drug under Business and Professions Code section 4022. It is indicated for the treatment of schizophrenia.

obtaining and documenting a history of the patient's mental illness, without performing and/or documenting any physical examination of the patient, and without any documentation of an assessment of how patient C.H. was functioning on the medications Respondent was furnishing.

#### Patient D.H.

J. On or about December 15, 2010, Respondent commenced providing care and treatment as a psychiatrist to patient D.H. On this date, Respondent failed to obtain and document a history of the patient's mental illness and failed to perform and/or document a physical examination of the patient. However, Respondent prescribed and/or authorized refills of the following medications for patient D.H.: Lexapro 10 mg, <sup>15</sup> Depakote 500 mg, Seroquel 200 mg, <sup>16</sup> Cogentin 1 mg <sup>17</sup> and Zyprexa 10 mg. On or about December 23, 2010, Respondent prescribed and/or ordered refills of Zyprexa 10 mg, Depakote 500 mg and Trazadone 150 mg (all with three (3) refills) for patient D.H. Respondent failed to document any justification for prescribing and/or authorizing refills of these medications.

K. Thereafter, Respondent continued to prescribe and/or authorize refills of psychiatric and other medications at the same strength, quantity and at the same dosage, each with three (3) refills, for patient D.H. every three (3) months. On or about April 22, 2011, Respondent prescribed and/or authorized the refill of Lexapro, Depakote, Seroquel, Cogentin, and Zyprexa medications for patient D.H. On or about July 14, 2011, Respondent again prescribed and/or authorized the refill of the Lexapro, Depakote, Seroquel, Cogentin and Zyprexa medications for patient D.H. And, on or about October 11, 2011, Respondent again prescribed and/or authorized the Lexapro, Depakote, Seroquel, Cogentin and Zyprexa medications for patient D.H.

Cogentin, benztropine, is a dangerous drug under Business and Professions Code section 4022. It is indicated for the treatment of Parkinson Disease.

Lexapro, escitalopram oxadate, is a dangerous drug under Business and Professions Code section 4022. It is indicated for the treatment of acute major depression and acute generalized anxiety disorder.

Seroquel, quetiapine fumarate, is an antipsychotic drug and is a dangerous drug under Business and Professions Code section 4022. It is indicated for the treatment of schizophrenia.

L. Respondent continuously prescribed and/or ordered refills of psychiatric medications for patient D.H. without obtaining and documenting a history of the patient's mental illness, without performing and/or documenting any physical examination of the patient, and without any documentation of an assessment of how patient D.H. was functioning on the medications Respondent was furnishing.

#### Patient A.H.

M. On or about December 23, 2010, Respondent commenced providing care and treatment as a psychiatrist to patient A.H. On this date, Respondent failed to obtain and document a history of patient A.H.'s mental illness, failed to perform and/or document a physical examination of the patient and failed to arrive at and/or note she arrived at a diagnosis for the patient. However, Respondent prescribed and/or authorized refills of Zyprexa 10 mg, Depakote 500 mg and Trazadone 150 mg, all with three (3) refills for patient A.H. Thereafter, every three (3) months, Respondent prescribed and/or authorized refills of these same psychiatric medications in the same strength, quantity and dosage, each with three (3) refills, for patient A.H.

N. On or about April 22, 2011, Respondent prescribed and/or authorized refills of Zypraxa, Depakote and Trazadone medications for patient A.H. Respondent also prescribed and/or authorized refills of Zyprexa, Depakote and Trazadone medications for patient A.H. on or about July 14, 2011. And, on or about October 11, 2011, Respondent prescribed and/or authorized Zyprexa, Depakote and Trazadone for patient A.H. At no time during the period of treatment did Respondent obtain and document a history of the patient's mental illness. Further, Respondent failed to perform and or document she performed a physical examination of patient A.H. during the period of treatment, and failed to perform and or document she performed an assessment of patient A.H. to determine how the patient was functioning on the medications she was furnishing to the patient.

| | | | |

26 | ///

2.4

27 | ///

28 | ///

#### Patient A.L.

2.1

On or about December 10, 2010, <sup>18</sup> Respondent commenced providing care and treatment as a psychiatrist to patient A.L. On this date, Respondent failed to obtain and document a history of patient A.L.'s mental illness, failed to perform and/or document a physical examination of the patient and failed to arrive at and/or note she arrived at a diagnosis for the patient. However, Respondent prescribed and/or authorized refills of 31 tablets of Trazadone 50 mg with three refills for patient A.L. On or about December 15, 2010, Respondent prescribed and/or authorized refills of the following medications, each with three (3) refills, for patient A.L.: 31 tablets of Topamax, 100 mg, <sup>19</sup> 31 tablets of Seroquel 300 mg, 31 tablets of Cogentin 1 mg and 31 tablets of Effexor 150 mg. <sup>20</sup> Respondent failed to document any justification for providing these drugs for patient A.L.

P. Thereafter, every three (3) months, Respondent prescribed and/or authorized refills of these same psychiatric medications at the same strength, quantity and dosage, each with three (3) refills, for patient A.L. On or about April 20, 2011, Respondent prescribed and/or authorized refill of the Topamax, Seroquel, Cogentin, Effexor and Trazadone medications for patient A.L. On or about July 8, 2011, Respondent again prescribed and/or authorized refill of the Topamax, Seroquel, Cogentin, Effexor and Trazadone medications for patient A.L. And, on or about October 12, 2011, Respondent prescribed and/or authorized refill of the Topamax, Seroquel, Cogentin, Effexor and Trazadone medications for patient A.L. With the exception of the single chart note on April 18, 2011, Respondent failed to perform and or document she performed a physical examination of patient A.L. during the period of treatment. Moreover, during the period of treatment, Respondent failed to perform and or document she performed an assessment of

2.5

At the physician's interview on or about December 11, 2012, Respondent stated she started providing care and treatment to patient A.L. on April 18, 2011. She produced a one-page "Initial Psychiatric Evaluation" note of the patient dates April 18, 2011.

Topamax, topiramate, is an antiepileptic drug and a dangerous drug under Business and Professions Code section 4022. It is indicated as an adjunct therapy in the treatment of seizures.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>20</sup> Effexor, venlafaxine hydocloride, is an antidepressant and a dangerous drug under Business and Professions Code section 4022. It is indicated for the treatment of depression.

#### Patient J.M.

2.1

- Q. On or about December 11, 2010, Respondent commenced providing care and treatment as a psychiatrist to patient J.M. Respondent failed to obtain and document a history of patient J.M.'s mental illness, failed to perform and/or document a physical examination of the patient and failed to arrive at and/or note she arrived at a diagnosis for the patient. However, on this date, Respondent prescribed and/or authorized refills of 31 tablets of Trazadone 150 mg, 31 tablets of Cogentin 1 mg and Haldol 10 mg, 21 each prescription with three (3) refills, for patient J.M. On or about December 15, 2010, Respondent prescribed and/or authorized refills for 31 tablets of Risperidone 2 mg 22 and 31 tablets of Prozac 20 mg, 23 each with three (3) refills for patient J.M. Respondent failed to document any justification for providing these drugs for patient J.M.
- R. Thereafter, every three (3) months, Respondent prescribed and/or authorized refills of these same psychiatric medications at the same strength, quantity and dosage, with three (3) refills of each prescription, for patient J.M. On or about April 20, 2011, Respondent prescribed and/or authorized the Risperidone, Prozac, Haldol, Cogentin and Trazadone medications for patient J.M. On or about July 8, 2011, Respondent again prescribed and/or authorized the Risperidone, Prozac, Haldol, Cogentin and Trazadone medications for patient J.M. And, on or about October 12, 2011, Respondent prescribed and/or authorized the Risperidone, Prozac, Haldol, Cogentin and Trazadone medications for patient J.M. At no time during the period of treatment did Respondent obtain and document a history of the patient's mental illness. Further,

Haldol, a brand of haloperidol, is a dangerous drug under Business and Professions Code section 4022. It is indicated for use in the management of the manifestations of psychotic disorders.

Risperdone is an antipsychotic agent and a dangerous drug under Business and Professions Code section 4022. It is indicated for use in the management of the manifestations of psychotic disorders.

<sup>&</sup>lt;sup>23</sup> Prozac, fluoxetine hydrocloride, is a dangerous drug under Business and Professions Code section 4022. It is indicated for management of anxiety.

Respondent failed to perform and or document she performed a physical examination of patient J.M. during the period of treatment, and failed to perform and or document she performed an assessment to determine how patient J.M. was functioning on the medications Respondent was furnishing.

- 9. Respondent committed gross negligence in her care and treatment of patients: T.L., C.C., C.H., D.H., A.H., A.L. and J.M., which included, but was not limited to, the following: Patient T.L.
- A. Between about January 27, 2011, and about October 10, 2011, Respondent repeatedly prescribed and/or authorized refills of Temazepam, Zyprexa and Depakote medications for patient T.L. without obtaining and documenting a history of the patient's mental illness, without performing and/or documenting any physical examination of the patient, and without any documentation of an assessment of how patient T.L. was functioning on the medications.

#### Patient C.C.

B. Between about December 21, 2010, and about October 1, 2011, Respondent repeatedly prescribed and/or authorized refills of Trazadone, Ambien, Navane, Zyprexa, Depakote, Artane and Thorazine medications for patient C.C. without obtaining and documenting a history of the patient's mental illness, without performing and/or documenting any physical examination of the patient, and without any documentation of an assessment of how patient C.C. was functioning on the medications.

#### Patient C.H.

C. Between about December 16, 2010, and about September 10, 2011, Respondent repeatedly prescribed and/or authorized refills of Geodon, Zyprexa, Depakote and Benadryl medications for patient C.H. without obtaining and documenting a history of the patient's mental illness, without performing and/or documenting any physical examination of the patient, and without any documentation of an assessment of how patient C.H. was functioning on the medications.

1

8 9

7

10 11

12

13 14

15

16

17 18

19

20

21 22

23

24 25

26

27

28

Between about December 15, 2010, and about October 11, 2011, Respondent repeatedly prescribed and/or authorized refills of Lexapro, Zyprexa, Depakote, Seroquel and Cogentin medications for patient D.H. without obtaining and documenting a history of the patient's mental illness, without performing and/or documenting any physical examination of the patient, and without any documentation of an assessment of how patient D.H. was functioning on the medications.

#### Patient A.H.

Between about December 23, 2010, and about October 11, 2011, Respondent E. repeatedly prescribed and/or authorized refills of Zyprexa, Depakote and Trazadone medications for patient A.H. without obtaining and documenting a history of the patient's mental illness, without performing and/or documenting any physical examination of the patient, and without any documentation of an assessment of how patient A.H. was functioning on the medications.

#### Patient A.L.

Between about December 10, 2010, and about October 12, 2011, Respondent repeatedly prescribed and/or authorized refills of Topamax, Seroquel, Cogentin, Effexor and Trazadone medications for patient A.L. without obtaining and documenting a history of the patient's mental illness, without performing and/or documenting any physical examination of the patient, and without any documentation of an assessment of how patient A.L. was functioning on the medications.

#### Patient J.M.

Between about December 11, 2010, and about October 12, 2011, Respondent repeatedly prescribed and/or authorized refills of Risperidone, Prozac, Haldol, Cogentin and Trazadone medications for patient J.M. without obtaining and documenting a history of the patient's mental illness, without performing and/or documenting any physical examination of the patient, and without any documentation of an assessment of how patient J.M. was functioning on the medications.

# SECOND CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

#### (Repeated Negligent Acts)

10. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2234, subdivision (c), of the Code, in that she committed repeated negligent acts in her care and treatment of patients: T.L., C.C., C.H., D.H., A.H., A.L. and J.M., as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 8 and 9, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

# THIRD CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Incompetence)

11. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2234, subdivision (d), of the Code, in that she demonstrated incompetence in her care and treatment of patients: T.L., C.C., C.H., D.H., A.H., A.L. and J.M., as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 8 and 9, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

# FOURTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Prescribing without an Appropriate Prior Exam)

12. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2242, of the Code, in that she prescribed and/or authorized psychiatric and other medications to patients: T.L., C.C., C.H., D.H., A.H., A.L. and J.M., without performing an appropriate prior examination and a documentation of medical indication, as more particularly alleged in paragraphs 8 and 9, above, which are hereby incorporated by reference and realleged as if fully set forth herein.

# FIFTH CAUSE FOR DISCIPLINE

# (Failure to Maintain Adequate and Accurate Medical Records)

13. Respondent is further subject to disciplinary action under sections 2227 and 2234, as defined by section 2266 of the Code, in that Respondent failed to maintain adequate and accurate records regarding her care and treatment of patients: T.L., C.C., C.H., D.H., A.H., A.L. and J.M.,

Accusation